



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_14300
Title of the Manuscript:	GESTATIONAL DIABETES IN A TERTIARY HEALTHCARE CENTRE IN ABEOKUTA SOUTH WESTERN NIGERIA A FIVE YEAR RETROSPECTIVE REVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1-In methodology, line 91, 92 the diagnosis of GDM only by 2h above 140 is not enough, we should rely upon both fasting & 2 h & recent consensus by International Association of Diabetes in Pregnancy Groups Consensus Panel, 2010 recommend to make diagnosis if fasting above 92 & 2h above 153. 2-line 123 fourteen.threepersent (six) what does it mean? 3-line 248 other studies & you put only one reference. 4- References is not writing in the same manner & order also in ref 3 there is no need to mention Doi. 5- word writing is not perfect & there is a lot spaces separating the word is missing & need to be revised.	Thanks. The hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcome published since 2010 recommended that cut- off you mentioned. However, many tertiary centres in my region still use the World Health Organization criteria of >140mg after a 2hr OGTT. Correction noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 21, put space between mellitus & cases	Correction noted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		Thanks