



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_14300
Title of the Manuscript:	GESTATIONAL DIABETES IN A TERTIARY HEALTHCARE CENTRE IN ABEOKUTA SOUTH WESTERN NIGERIA A FIVE YEAR RETROSPECTIVE REVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment:	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Thank you for your article. Your manuscript should consider the following points: 1-In the background section of abstract you wrote: to determine the incidence.....and to evaluate Feto – maternal outcome. If you intend it, please change your title: Incidence of gestational diabetes and Feto-maternal outcome in tertiary..... . 2-Statistical tests should be mentioned in both abstract and full text. 3-In full text: please rephrase the sentences in results and conclusion to make it clearer. 4-The Introduction and Discussion did not highlight the significance of the results and how can it be implicated for health professionals and future research. 5- In the discussion lines 228-241 can be summarized. 6- As midwife, I do not know why author state breech presentation in outcome of pregnancy? Do you think breech have related to gestational diabetes? If it is so please write its references. 7- Author has not mentioned about ethical issue in methodology, please write it.	Corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected Breech did not influence the pregnancy outcome in this study Thanks for mentioning it.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1-In Abstract: please change headline recording to author instructions. For instance: please write material and methods	Corrected



SDI Review Form 1.6

	instead of methodology. 2-In the introduction of text: Other studies should be rephrased and it is not necessary to state with heading. 3- Table 1-6 can become one table. 4-some references should be changed by new references. Such as: 2, 8, 12, and 13,14,15,16. 5- Please edit English grammar in some lines: 28/ 262. 6- In bar chart, the phrase of “BAD Obstetrical outcome” would be better change to “pregnancy outcome”.	Corrected Bad obstetric outcome refers to adverse pregnancy outcome
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I suggest major revision of paper before considering acceptance.	Thank you. Major revision has been done in the revised paper.