



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_14282
Title of the Manuscript:	Association between albumin creatinine ratio and e-GFR in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in Oredo Local Government Area, Benin City, South-South Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- The rational of study should be mentioned. -In abstract what is mean by modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) formula? - What is difference between Micro and Macroalbuminuria.	-The rational of the study is to assess the usefulness of the parameters in the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus, as this will help in early detection and intervention to prevent overt diabetic nephropathy. This has been mentioned in the introduction as highlighted. - MDRD formula is the formula for estimating eGFR as recommended by the national kidney foundation - Microalbuminuria is albumin creatinine ratio between 30mg/g and 300mg/g. While macroalbuminuria is albumin creatinine ratio of greater than 300mg/g
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please clarify the ethical issues if any	There were no ethical issues.