SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_IJTDH_14277**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Physicochemical and thermotolerant faecal coliformsquality of commercial bottled water in Dar es Salaam city in Tanzania**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	- **Explain what was the method of collection of tap water, because contamination can occur in collecting.** - **The number of samples can not prove that the bottled water is safe for human consumption. You can say only that the samples are analyzed in accordance with the parameters of the laws used in this study.** - **There are many published studies on the subject that should be used to study not only the cases of Tanzania. The bibliographic references are lower than the study requires.** - **If it is an independent study, without corporate sponsorship, I believe that the names of manufacturers and brands should not be displayed, because it may induce the reader to consume them.** - **The main objective of the study should be alert to the need and the human right to safe tap water and the consumer's decision by bottled water except for water security in relation to human health.**	Method of collection provided Lack of contamination shows purity of the process for the batch True, our interest was to assess quality rather than reproducing much of the existing literature We don't have any interest, our role is to advance understanding on the safety of bottled water in Tanzania This is an already concluded study, the main objective cannot be revised.

SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Please clarify the ethical issues if any	