



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_43629
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of a Dazel-Kit (fluconazole 150 mg, azithromycin 1 gm, secnidazole 1 g two tablets) for Syndromic Management of Vaginal Discharge in Women of Kazakhstan
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This is a study of the efficacy of the Dazel Kit for treatment of vaginal pathology.</p> <p>The manuscript presents and informative INTRODUCTION.</p> <p>The subject matter is very relevant and would be of substantial help to developing nations.</p> <p>The Figures and Tables are clear, interpretable, and contribute to the subject matter of the study.</p> <p>The paper is well prepared. I cannot find any significant grammar issues.</p> <p>The paper is prepared according to the style of the journal.</p>	

Reviewer Details:



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Name:	Ronald Bartzatt
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska, USA