



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_43629
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of a Dazel-Kit (fluconazole 150 mg, azithromycin 1 gm, secnidazole 1 g two tablets) for Syndromic Management of Abnormal Vaginal Discharge in Women of Kazakhstan
Type of Article:	Short Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>As this research article is a direct impact on society. Research can be positive results or negative results or both. As this research is not approval from the human ethical committee. So, according to me, this manuscript can be accepted if the authors mentioned the following note (Authors mentioned in the comments box, mentioned in green font colour) continuing with ethical approval points. (Row number 188)</p> <p>This study did not have any fix clinical protocol as mentioned, so that we could harmonise the treatment of each physician. It was their individual judgement. It is the feedback of treating physicians from their routine clinical practice.</p> <p>In Clinical practice physicians use both topical and systemic antibiotic / antifungal agent depending on their acumen and clinical experience. Each individual may have received different type of treatment protocol. They may have added additional antibiotic and antifungal agent in suppository form(topical), details of which were not captured.</p> <p>Dazel kit is an oral one-day treatment. Application of topical (suppository) antibiotic /antifungal agent along with oral agent.</p>	<p>Added as suggested in Ethical approval column.</p>