SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJRRGY_43629**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessment of a Dazel-Kit (fluconazole 150 mg, azithromycin 1 gm, secnidazole 1 g two tablets) for Syndromic Management of Vaginal Discharge in Women of Kazakhstan**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This is a study of the efficacy of the Dazel Kit for treatment of vaginal pathology. The manuscript presents and informative INTRODUCTION. The subject matter is very relevant and would be of substantial help to developing nations. The Figures and Tables are clear, interpretable, and contribute to the subject matter of the study. The paper is well prepared. I cannot find any significant grammar issues. The paper is prepared according to the style of the journal.	Noted.