SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJRRGY_43629**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessment of a Dazel-Kit (fluconazole 150 mg, azithromycin 1 gm, secnidazole 1 g two tablets) for Syndromic Management of Vaginal Discharge in Women of Kazakhstan**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments Line 32 Paragraph starting Line 46 – using 2001 WHO guidelines – too old a reference. Author needs to check CDC Guidleines Line 101 – what is the treatment? Line 105 what antispasmodic used? Line 138 – 140 – not current in literature 2018.	Vaginal discharge is a normal physiologic finding – the author uses it as a problem throughout – need to use "abnormal" many times throughout article **Another example is line 69 – need to insert abnormal**	1.Added abnormal ,total 15 replacement done 2.because of some limitation molecule or brand name of other medicine not captured 3. Reference updated by replacing 7 in place of 6. In both 6 & 7 reference risk factors are included as same .
Minor REVISION comments Line 20 – word after sensation needs to change from "while" to with. Line 23-24 "both partners" confusing – would be patient and her partner **Line 32 – change word it to vaginitis** Line 41 – the word "the" is needed before word lower; comma needed after vomiting Line 57 – typo Secnidazole spelled wrong Line 62 – grammar problem need "of" before azithromycin and "for" after it Line 65 –need the word "are after kit and no space between sentences Line 77 – comma needed after "treatment" Line 127 – need word "and" before epigastic Line 156 – need word "complaints" after discharge Line 180 Practice should not be capitalized and need word was instead of is	The result paragraph is confusing to the reader. Sentence run on – suggest cleaning it up.	Done with yellow highlight
Optional/General comments This paper will be read internationally – needs up to date references as specified and please see comments about in red.		