



## SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJRRD_44931</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Apply 'Dam' before you say 'Damn': A case report</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>This is a still very topical subject</b>	Ya i agree that but in many countries still procedures are done without rubberdam . So our motive is to aware the dentists about these accidents and how to prevent them.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Review some spelling errors</li> <li>-Use RCT as an abbreviation for root canal treatment</li> <li>Line 53: What is the number of the treated tooth?</li> <li>-Lines: 65 and 66: "root canal treatment was completed successfully after that". The author didn't precise how the RCT was completed?. What kind of precaution did he use, knowing that the tooth is severely broken down?</li> <li>"She reported healthy...." replace by the patient...</li> <li>-Line 97 and 98: the sentences are not clear</li> <li>Although rubber dam application is always advised!!!. It's rather mandatory in endodontics</li> <li>-Line 103: correct the sentence "the main and most? Patient's safety</li> <li>-Line 112: Why did you cite 3 authors? And reference 13 is not matching!!</li> <li>Line 128: replace "s" by "a"</li> <li>Line 168: ...have happened</li> <li>For Latex allergy, there is free latex rubber dam</li> <li>References: ref 7, 12 and 13. There is no match with the cited authors in the text</li> </ul>	I corrected all these errors.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The tooth is severely broken down: you may add "the split dam technique" in the discussion</li> <li>-You may add the following references: 1.Rubber Dam isolation for Endodontic treatment in difficult clinical situations. Mithra N Hegde, Priyadarshini Hegde, and Ashwith Hegde. RRJDS   Volume 2   Issue 2   April - June, 2014</li> </ul>	I added this reference. Thank you for ur suggestion.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>Line 63: "Consent was obtained from the guardians accompanying the Patient »</p>	No there are no ethical issues. Consent form was obtained before bronchoscopy procedure because it is mandatory to take consent before any operative procedure.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>