



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_44125
Title of the Manuscript:	Oral bacteriome compositions identified by 16S rRNA metagenomics in a randomly selected “healthy” Nigerian male and female subjects.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Very commendable attempt to describe the oral bacteriome in a general population. Given the number of variables that can affect it, such as those cited in the article, I think that the casuistry is very small, and the authors should propose among the conclusions that should be extended.	We thank the reviewer for commending the study. We agree with the reviewer that the sample size is small. However, we have added that there is need for a large sample in future investigations. “The genera and species-level core oral bacteriome from this Nigerian populations could be used as a reference in comparison with oral diseased conditions and future investigations involving a larger sample size ”.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	