



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                             |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJR2H_45338                                                              |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Interaction between malnutrition and severe malaria                         |
| Type of the Article      | Short Research Article                                                      |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                         |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p><b>Line 2: The study population and study location/area should be included in the topic.</b></p> <p><b>Line 15 -18: RR (Relative Risk) = 0.7, p=0.3 for a retrospective data? And not cohort data? Noting that Relative Risk analysis as an effect measure is carried out on data obtained prospectively to determine incidence and mortality among study population.</b></p> <p><b>Line 79: Measure of malnutrition using MUAC is not applicable to 0-5 months children, making results of the study as analysed unreliable.</b></p>                                                                                                                                                             | <p>Line 2: Interaction between malnutrition and severe malaria_in children at the National Hospital of Niamey-Niger</p> <p>Line 15: OK</p> <p>Line 79: Malnutrition is assessed by measuring the brachial perimeter or weight-height index. The age of the population change from 0 to 15 years</p>                                   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p>Line 5: responsible "for" not "of"</p> <p>Line 12: Insert point between Nine and thirty-four</p> <p>Line 20-21: Sentence should be rephrased</p> <p>Line 26: Insert "among" between are and the</p> <p>Line 59: Change aims to "aimed"</p> <p>Line 60: She was taken to should read "The study was undertaken at"...</p> <p>Line 63: 0-15; Is it 0-15 months or 0-15years?</p> <p>Line 98: Change "are" between people and severe to "were"</p> <p>Line 110: Is the study about Malaria and Malnutrition or Sickle cell disease?</p> <p>Line 115: Change "are" between files and review to "were"</p> <p>Line 116: delete "were reviewed"</p> <p>Line 157: References should be improved upon</p> | <p>Line 5: for</p> <p>Line 12: We conclusion was modified</p> <p>Line 59: among was insert between are and the</p> <p>Line 59: OK</p> <p>Line 60: OK</p> <p>Line 63: It is 0-15 years</p> <p>Line 98: OK</p> <p>Line 110: The study is about malaria and malnutrition</p> <p>Line 115: OK</p> <p>Line 116: OK</p> <p>Line 157: OK</p> |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | Generally, the manuscript has reasonable technicalities but the compulsory and minor comments as stated should be given appropriate attention before publication.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | OK                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

|                                              | Reviewer's comment                                                           | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |                                                                                                                                                                                      |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>