



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_44265
Title of the Manuscript:	Recurrent thrombocytopenia in a patient with severe iron deficiency anemia
Type of the Article	Case Study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Line 77: replace "thrombosis" with "thrombocytosis"	This has been done.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Line 6: replace "to" with "with"</p> <p>Line 7: rephrase "Recurrent cases of iron deficiency with thrombocytopenia are even more unusual; therefore"</p> <p>Line 28: replace "woman" with "female"</p> <p>Line 29: Were the presenting symptoms (pagophagia, and heavy menstrual bleeding) or this was from the medical history of the patient?</p> <p>Line 37 replace "an" with "a"</p> <p>Line 39: commonly used reference range of MCV starting from 80 not 85 fL</p> <p>Line 40: normal range of platelet count (150 – 450)</p> <p>Line 44: normal range of ferritin (12 – 150)</p> <p>You did not mention the used complete blood count or chemistry analysers</p> <p>Line 47: you did not mention the dose of intravenous iron and for how long it was prescribed.</p> <p>Line 49: why CT scan was ordered instead of Abdominopelvic ultrasound?</p> <p>Line 53: why celiac disease was even suspected in this case?</p> <p>Line 57: please mention the time between packed cell transfusion and the stabilization of platelet count</p> <p>Line 65: anemia is one of the cytopenias, no need to mention severe anemia again</p> <p>Line 72: replace "pediatric literature" with children or pediatric cases</p> <p>Line 75: replace "in respect to" with "with respect to"</p> <p>Line 89: add "a" after "is"</p> <p>Line 91: replace "course: with "change" or "variation"</p> <p>Line 122: replace "Hosp Physician" with "Hospital Physician"</p> <p>Line 144: abbreviated journal name</p> <p>Line 155: replace "production" with "count"</p>	<p><b>This has been done.</b></p> <p><b>This has been done.</b></p> <p><b>This has been done.</b> Both, those were her presenting symptoms obtained during admission history and physical.</p> <p><b>This has been done.</b> <b>This has been done.</b> <b>This has been done.</b> They use automated analyzers</p> <p>Dose and length of iron treatment added. Internal medicine team made decision, not us. Internal medicine team looked at that differential diagnosis.</p> <p>See figure 1, it shows platelet recovery time during both episodes.</p> <p><b>This has been done.</b> <b>This has been done.</b> <b>This has been done.</b> <b>This has been done.</b> <b>This has been done.</b> <b>This has been done.</b> <b>This has been done.</b> Cannot replace a citation, that is how it is originally listed.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		Thank you for you thorough review of the context and GRAMMAR of our paper, we read it so many times and still had so many obvious mistakes. Glad you were able to apply your detailed and critical eye to it!



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> NO ETHICAL ISSUES EXIST.	No ethical issues exist.