



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJR2H_41466 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Rare double heterozygous of HbD/HbG in a Nigerian: A case report |
| Type of the Article | Case Study |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>Although it is a technical case report, probably in that region of Africa in the absence of other diagnostic techniques this is a good strategy for your region. The impact of the publication can be local.</p> <p>The interpretation of the authors is correct, however it is not the correct technique to know the mutations related to the clinical presentation of their case, so their conclusions ca not be substantiated</p> <p>Figure No. 2 is of very poor quality and the details of it are not correctly appreciated, they must be improved.</p> | <p>The conclusion of the manuscript has been corrected</p> <p>Figure 2 has now been enlarged and more legible.</p> |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |