#### **Original Research Article**

# 2 EFFECT OF SULPHUR AND BORON LEVELS ON SOIL AVAILABLE 3 NUTRIENTS AFTER HARVESTING OF SESAME (*SESAMUM INDICUM* L.) 4 IN RED SOIL OF MIRZAPUR

- 5
- 6

7 Abstract: To study the effect of sulphur and boron on post-harvest soil fertility status, a pot experiment was conducted at Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, 8 Institute of Agricultural sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi during the kharif 9 season of 2017 taking sesame as a test crop in red soil of Mirzapur district of Uttar Pradesh. 10 The available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur and boron contents were recorded 11 significantly higher in soil after harvesting of the crop over control. The nitrogen, 12 phosphorus, potash, sulphur and boron content recorded131.58 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 9.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 228.48 13 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 32.79 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 5.58 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively when soil treated with 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2 14 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> after harvest of the crop. Correlation study of the data shows significant and 15 positive interaction between soil properties. Available sulphur was positively correlated with 16 available phosphorus ( $r = 0.875^*$ ) while as organic carbon was also significant and 17 positively correlated with available nitrogen ( $r = 0.935^*$ ), phosphorus ( $r = 0.891^*$ ) and potash 18  $(r = 0.882^*)$ . Multiple regression equation revealed that more than 90% variation in available 19 S was attributed by physicochemical properties of the soil. 20

21 Key words: Sulphur, boron, available nutrients, correlation

22 Introduction

Sulphur (S) is a fourth essential element among the 17 essential nutrients required by most of the crops. It plays a key role in augmenting the production and productivity of oilseeds and it has a significant influence on quality of produce. It is a constituent of three

amino acids (cystine, cysteine and methionine) and thus play vital role for protein production 26 (Takkar, 1987). The main sources of sulphur are organic matter, atmospheric deposition and 27 parent material from which soil has been developed. Depletion in organic pools also reduces 28 the carbon content and ultimately influences the soil properties (Kumar et al. 2013). In recent 29 survey, sulphur deficiency in soil and status of available sulphur in the soils of sesame 30 growing area is depleted in considerable amount because of continuous use of high analysis 31 32 sulphur less fertilizers coupled with intensive cropping using high yielding varieties and reduction in use of organic manure. Wide spread sulphur deficiencies have been reported in 33 soils of India (Tandon, 1986). In recent years sulphur and boron deficiency in eastern part of 34 35 Uttar Pradesh is also reported (Singh et al. 2015; Singh and Kumar 2012).

Boron is a unique among the essential mineral micronutrients because it is the only 36 element that is normally present in soil solution as a non-ionized molecule over the pH range 37 suitable for plant growth. Among the micronutrient deficiency, boron deficiency is the 38 second most dominant problem globally (Alloway, 2008). The importance of boron 39 deficiency has been reported by Chatterjee and Nautiyal (2000). Availability of sulphur in 40 soil significantly increased by the application of gypsum @30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in presence of 41 Bradyrhizobium inoculation in clay loam soil as reported by Vijaypriya et al. (2005). Singh 42 and Maan (2007) studied the effect of sulphur on groundnut (Arachis hypogea L.) and proven 43 the use efficiency of S with increasing level of sulphur. Gupta and Jain (2009) revealed that 44 sulphur fertilization up to 45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased apparent S recovery in 45 groundnut-wheat system. Availability of N and K<sub>2</sub>O content in soils are increased with 46 increase in sulphur level in the soil as reported by Vaghani et al. (2010); Vidyathi et al. 47 48 (2011); Mathew et al. (2013); Pagal et al. (2017). Viewing above facts, a pot experiment was conducted to study the effect of sulphur and boron levels on fertility status of soil. 49

50 Materials and methods

To study the effect of sulphur and boron levels on post-harvest physico-chemical soil 51 properties a pot experiment was conducted in red soils of Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh. Bulk 52 surface (0-15 cm) soil samples were collected from upland area of Rajiv Gandhi South 53 Campus, Barkaccha, Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh, a sub-campus of Banaras Hindu University, 54 Varanasi. The selected site falls under Vindhyan zone and has an average elevation of 80 m. 55 It lies between the parallels of 23.52<sup>°</sup> and 25.32<sup>°</sup> North latitude and 82.7<sup>°</sup> and 83.33<sup>°</sup> East 56 longitude with warm climate and an average annual temperature of 26.0°C. This zone 57 receives an average rainfall of 975 mm per annum. A pot experiment was conducted from the 58 collected upland red soil with sesame (var. G-4) during kharif season of 2017 in the 59 Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, 60 Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi (U.P.). After processing the bulk soil samples total 32 61 62 pots were taken and filled with 10 kg of soil in each pot. Completely randomized design was laid down with eight treatments: T<sub>1</sub>- Absolute control (without fertilizer), T<sub>2</sub>- Recommended 63 dose of N, P and K fertilizers (a) 60:60:30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (RDF), T<sub>3</sub>- RDF + 25 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub>- RDF + 64  $50 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$ , T<sub>5</sub>- RDF + 1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub>- RDF + 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>7</sub>- RDF + 25 kg S + 1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>, 65  $T_{8}$ - RDF + 50 kg S + 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> with four replications. Two split doses of N and full 66 amounts of P, K, S and B were applied basal as per the treatments at sowing time and mixed 67 in soil uniformly. The sources of N, P and K were Urea, DAP, MOP, gypsum and borax, 68 respectively. Standard procedures were adopted for analysis of soil were as follows: Soil pH 69 (Jackson 1973); Electrical conductivity (Jackson 1973); Organic carbon (Walkley and Black 70 1934); available N by alkaline permanganate method (Subbiah and Asija 1956); available K 71 by ammonium acetate method (Hanway and Heidel 1952); available P (Bray and Kurtz 72 73 1945); 0.15% CaCl<sub>2</sub> extractable available S (Williams and Steinbergs 1969) and hot-water soluble available B (Berger and Troug 1939). Initial soil test values are presented in the Table 74 1. 75

Soil Test Parameter	Initial value	Method
Soil pH (1:2.5)	6.21	Jackson (1973)
Electrical conductivity (1:2.5) dSm <sup>-1</sup> at 25	0.33	Jackson (1973)
°C		
Organic carbon (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	3.3	Walkley and Black (1934)
Available nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	112.8	Subbiah and Asija (1956)
Available phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	7.34	Bray and Kurtz (1945)
Available potash (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	160.3	Hanway and Heidel (1952)
Available sulphur (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	5.75	Williams and Steinberg (1969)
Available boron (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.54	Berger and Troug (1939)

#### 76 Table 1 Initial physico-chemical properties of the experimental soil

77

### 78 Statistical analysis

The raw data observed during the whole experiment, putted for statistical analysis following

the Complete Randomized Design (CRD) to draw the valid differences among the treatments.

81 Correlation and regression analysis were done following data analysis in excel sheet.

## 82 Results & Discussion

83 Soil pH

Soil pH after the harvest of sesame crop differed significantly over initial pH value (6.21). Soil pH values are presented in Table 2. Data shows that highest pH was found with combined application of sulphur and boron in  $T_8$  (pH 6.87). Effect of sulphur and boron application on soil pH was not found significant. It increases by increasing level of sulphur and boron up to 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>.

# 89 Electrical conductivity (dSm<sup>-1</sup>)

Electrical conductivity in soil, after the harvest of sesame crop was not significantly 90 influenced by sulphur and boron applications. EC of surface soil at harvest did not differ 91 significantly over initial value (0.33  $dSm^{-1}$ ). Slight increase in the EC was observed in T<sub>8</sub> 92 (0.39 dSm<sup>-1</sup>) which was insignificant with other treatments (Table 2). It might be due to 93 short duration of crop cycle and result is in agreement with the findings of Arbad et al. 94 (2008).95

#### **Organic carbon** 96

The data recorded on organic carbon content (g kg<sup>-1</sup>) are presented in Table 2. It was 97 noted that levels of sulphur and boron affect the organic carbon in post-harvest soil 98 significantly over the control. It is indicated that 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> along with 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> noticed 99 maximum organic carbon in soil (4.13 g kg<sup>-1</sup>). Organic carbon content under varying levels 100 of boron indicates a significant response with the change in levels of boron from 1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> 101 to 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The results were corroborated with Tripathy and Bastia (2012). 102

#### Available nitrogen 103

Study of data on nitrogen availability in soil after harvest of the sesame as influenced 104 by application of sulphur and boron is presented in the Table 2. The perusal of the data of 105 post harvest soil analysis of sesame was significantly influenced by application of sulphur 106 107 and boron levels. There was significant improvement in available nitrogen in the soil crop harvest as compared to initial soil value (112.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Maximum available nitrogen (131.58 108 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in  $T_8$  which was statistically significant over control while statistically 109 at par with other treatments except RDF. The results of present investigation are conformity 110 111 with results observed by Mathew et al. (2013); Pabitra and Haider (1996); Vidyathi et al. 112 (2011). Vaghani *et al.* (2010) reported that the availability of N and  $K_2O$  content in soil are increased with increasing in sulphur level. 113

#### 114 Available phosphorus

Persual of the data on available phosphorus in soil after harvest of the sesame as 115 influenced by application of sulphur and boron are presented in the Table 2. The data of post-116 harvest soil analysis of available phosphorus revealed the significance of S and B. There was 117 significant improvement in available phosphorus in the soil after the crop harvested as 118 compared to initial soil status (7.34 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Available phosphorus increased with RDF along 119 with 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (8.57 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to sole application of RDF (7.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 120 control (5.27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The combine application of sulphur and boron levels up to 50 Kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> 121 <sup>1</sup> and 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> increases phosphorus availability (9.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) after harvest of sesame and 122 was found to be significant and more available as compared to control. Results are 123 124 conformity with result observed by Kumar et al. (2017).

## 125 Available potassium

126 Data pertaining to available potassium in soil after harvest of the sesame is presented in the Table 2. The perusal of the data of post harvest soil analysis of available potassium in 127 128 soil revealed the importance of sulphur and boron application in soil. There was significant improvement in available potassium in the soil after the crop harvested as compared to initial 129 soil status (160.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Available potassium was increased when treated with RDF along 130 with 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (216.72 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 25 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (212.80 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>) application 131 compared to sole application of RDF (179.40 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>) and control (161.56 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>). The 132 soil potassium after crop harvest was found higher in combined application of sulphur and 133 boron up to 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> (228.48 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>) after harvest of sesame but at par 134 135 with T<sub>7</sub>. Similar result was reported by (Devi et al., 2012); Laxminarayan and Patiram (2006).136

#### 137 Available sulphur

138 The data on post harvest soil analysis of available sulphur of sesame was significantly 139 influenced by the application of sulphur and boron levels. There was increase in the available

sulphur content with application of RDF along with 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (30.44 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 25 kg 140 S ha<sup>-1</sup> (23.72 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by application of boron levels 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> (18.98 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) 141 and 1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> (15.99 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to application of RDF alone (12.27 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) 142 and control (9.18 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 2). The soil sulphur after crop harvest was found higher in 143 combined effect of sulphur and boron up to 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> with 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> (32.79 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) 144 and availability of sulphur after harvest of sesame was found to be significant. It might be 145 146 due to the use of higher dose of S and B in soil which increased the availability of the S in soil. Increased levels of S and B influenced the S status in the soil. Similar results were found 147 by Bhagyalakshmi et al. (2009). Application of gypsum @30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in presence of 148 149 Bradyrhizobium inoculation significantly increases the availability of sulphur in clay loam soil as reported by Vijaypriya et al. (2005). Singh and Maan (2007) studied the effect of 150 sulphur (0, 20, 40 and 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) on groundnut (Arachis hypogea L.) and the use efficiency 151 of S with increase in the level of S, and maximum S use efficiency was recorded at lower 152 levels of S application. Gupta and Jain (2009) reported that continuous sulphur application 153 increased the available S status in soil when S applied @30 and 45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. 154

#### 155 Available boron

Perusal of the data on available boron in soil after harvest of the crop as influenced by 156 application of sulphur and boron is presented in Table 2. There was significant improvement 157 in available boron in the soil after the crop harvest as compared to initial soil ( $0.54 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ). 158 There was increase in the available boron content with application of RDF along with 50 kg 159 S ha<sup>-1</sup> (1.49 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) and 25 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (1.56 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and followed by application of boron 160 levels up to 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> (5.31 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and 1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> (4.68 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to 161 application of RDF alone (1.34 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and control (1.16 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). Increased level of B 162 influenced the boron status and its increment in the soil. Similar results were found by Sarkar 163 et al. (2005). Mathew et al. (2013) revealed that application of sulphur up-to 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> 164

increased the availability of soil nutrients including sulphur and boron. Pabitra and Haider
(1996) found that application of boron increased the content of hot water soluble boron in
soil due to beneficial sffects of liming on boron recovery in the given soil. Synergistic effect
of sulphur and boron were recorded in the available nutrient status by the application of these
nutrients as reported by Mathew *et al.* (2013).

#### 170 Correlation of available S with soil properties

171 The relationship of the amount of sulphate sulphur extracted  $(0.15\% \text{ CaCl}_2)$  with the physicochemical properties of the soil and regression analysis have been studied for post-harvest 172 soils and presented in Table 3 and 4, respectively. Available S was well correlated with the 173 174 soil properties. These observations were substantiated by the significant positive correlation 175 of available S with available P and organic carbon of the soil. These observations corroborate 176 the finding of Das et al. (2011). Correlation studies indicated positive and significant correlation of available S with P ( $r = 0.875^*$ ) and organic carbon content of the soil (r =177  $0.882^*$ ). The multiple regression equations revealed that 100 % variation in available S was 178 attributable to the collective effect of soil physico-chemical properties. Soil pH, EC and 179 organic carbon collectively accounted for about 84.4% variation in available S. This 180 observation is in close agreement with that of Borkotoki and Das (2008). Electrical 181 conductivity had significant and positive correlation with available P ( $r = 0.867^*$ ), while as 182 organic carbon had significant and positive correlation with available P ( $r = 0.891^*$ ) and K (r183  $= 0.882^{*}$ ). The regression analysis shows that soil pH, EC, N, P and K contributed 92.9% 184 variation in soil available S while inclusion of K improved the contribution level to 95.7%. 185

#### 186 Table 2 Effect of sulphur and boron levels on pH, electrical conductivity, organic

#### 187 carbon, available N, P, K, S and B content on post-harvest soil

Treatment	рН	EC	OC	Ν	Р	K	S	В
		(dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	(g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )			

Control	6.69	0.35	3.40	112.33	5.27	161.56	9.18	1.16
RDF	6.74	0.37	3.73	125.01	7.50	179.40	12.27	1.34
$RDF + 25 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$	6.66	0.38	3.85	127.05	7.41	212.80	23.72	1.56
$RDF + 50 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$	6.70	0.37	4.05	131.17	8.57	216.72	30.44	1.49
$RDF + 1 \text{ kg B ha}^{-1}$	6.77	0.36	3.93	129.06	6.97	218.68	15.99	4.68
$RDF + 2 \text{ kg B ha}^{-1}$	6.70	0.37	3.75	128.37	7.86	218.60	18.98	5.31
$RDF + 25 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1} + 1 \text{ kg B ha}^{-1}$	6.76	0.38	4.00	129.84	9.04	225.40	27.08	4.64
$RDF + 50 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1} + 2 \text{ kg B ha}^{-1}$	6.87	0.39	4.13	131.58	9.25	228.48	32.79	5.58
SEm (±)	0.04	0.013	0.13	1.90	0.24	5.84	0.982	0.09
CD (P=0.05)	0.118	NS	0.383	5.60	0.70	17.16	2.892	0.263

# 189 Table 3 Correlation of available S amongst various soil properties

Soil Parameter	S	pН	EC	OC	N	Р	K	В
S (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1							
Soil pH	0.402	1						
$EC (dSm^{-1})$	0.810**	0.478	1					
OC (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.882*	0.569	0.756**	1				
N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.771**	0.425	0.728**	0.935*	1			
$P (kg ha^{-1})$	0.875*	0.527	0.867*	0.891*	0.882*	1		
K (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.809**	0.407	0.696	0.882*	0.913*	0.807**	1	
$B (mg kg^{-1})$	0.375	0.658	0.412	0.494	0.540	0.515	0.712**	1

190 \*\* And \* significant at 5 and 1% level, respectively

191

# 192 Table 4 Effect of soil properties on predictability of available sulphur

Regression equation	R <sup>2</sup>
Y= (Available S) -333.45 +52.66 Ph	$R^2 = 0.16$
Y(Available S)= -201.239 + 2.628 pH + 551.763 EC	$R^2 = 0.656$
Y(Available S)= -20.172 -22.421 pH +243.896 EC + 26.451 OC	$R^2 = 0.844$
Y (Available S) = 97.777 -37.988 pH +277.344 EC +52.978 OC -1.007 N	$R^2 = 0.902$
Y (Available S) = $199.370 - 41.802 \text{ pH} - 127.766 \text{ EC} + 49.290 \text{ OC} - 1.263 \text{ N} +$	$R^2 = 0.929$
3.406 P	
Y (Available S) = 237.673 -40.218 pH +93.567 EC + 44.671 OC -1.691 N	$R^2 = 0.957$
+3.914 P +0.153 K	
Y (Available S) = -3496.907 +649.407 pH -1060.680 EC -341.553 OC + 0.382	$R^2 = 1.000$
N +17.750 P+ 3.652 K-28.041 B	
	R <sup>2</sup> = 1.000

# Conclusions

From the present study it can be concluded that application of boron  $@2 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  resulted

significant evidence on increasing available potash in soil after harvesting of sesame crop.

197 However, sulphur levels got significant relationship with available nitrogen, phosphorus and

198 potash in soil.

201 202 References 203 Alloway BJ. (Ed.) Micronutrient Deficiencies in Global Crop Production. Springer 204 Science and Business Media. 2008. 205 206 Arbad BK, Ismail S, Shinde DN, Pardeshi RG. Effect of integrated nutrient management practices on soil properties in vertisols. An Asian Journal of 207 Soil Science. 2008; 3: 329-332. 208 209 Berger KC, Troug E. Boron test for soils and plants. Soil Science. 1939; 57: 25-36. Bhagyalakshmi K, Sudhir DH, Roopashree D, Krishnamurthy HM, Atheekur 210 211 Rehman, Jayanthi T. Response of paddy to different sources and levels of sulphur and their effect on soil properties in southern dry zone of Karnataka. 212 Crop Research. 2009; 37:(1, 2 & 3): 83-87. 213 Borkotoki B, Das KN. Forms of sulphur and their relationship with soil properties in 214 Entisols, Inceptisols and Alfisols of Assam. Journal of the Indian Society of 215 Soil Science. 2008; 56; 186-191. 216 Bray RH, Kurtz LT. Determination of total, organic, and available forms of 217 phosphorus in soils. Soil Science. 1945; 59(1): 39-46. 218 Chatterjee C, Nautiyal N. Developmental aberrations in seeds of boron deficient 219 sunflower and recovery. Journal of Plant Nutrition 2000; 23(6): 835-841. 220 221 222 Das KN, Basumatary A, Borkotoki B. Interrelationship of forms of sulphur with its 223 availability indices and soil properties in Entisols of Assam. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2011; 59: 134-140. 224

226	Devi KN, Singh LN, Singh MS, Singh SB, Singh KK. Influence of sulphur and
227	boron fertilization on yield, quality, nutrient uptake and economics of
228	soybean (Glycine max) under upland conditions. Journal of Agriculture
229	Sciences. 2012; 4(4): 1-10.Gupta AK, Jain NK. Sulphur response studies
230	in groundnut-wheat cropping system under semi arid tract of Rajasthan.
231	Indian Journal of Fertilizers. 2009; 5(10): 25-27.
232	
233	Hanway JJ, Heidel H. Soil analysis methods as used in Iowa state college soil testing
234	laboratory. Iowa Agriculture 1952; 57: 1-31.
235	Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentic Hall (India) Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi; 1973;
236	498.
237	Kumar R, Rawat KS, Singh J, Singh A, Rai A. Soil aggregation dynamics and carbon
238	sequestration. Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2013; 5(1): 250-
239	267.
240	Kumar A, Dhyani BP, Rai A, Kumar, V. Residual effect of applied vermicompost
241	and NPK to rice on growth and yield of succeeding wheat and chemical
242	properties of soil. International Journal of Current Microbiology and
243	Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(11): 1087-1098.
244	Laxminarayan K, Patiram. Effect of integrated use of inorganic, biological and
245	organic manures on rice productivity and soil fertility in ultisols of
246	Mizoram. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2006; 54(2): 213-
247	220.

248	Mathew J, George S, Indira M. Effect of sulphur and boron on the performance of
249	sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) in Onattukara sandy soil of Kerala, India.
250	Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013; 47(3): 214-219.
251	Pabitra KM, Haider M. Effect of dolomite on boron transformation in Acid soil in
252	relation to nutrition in green gram. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil
253	Science. 1996; 44(3): 458-461.
254	Pagal AK, Singh AP, Behera S, Meher C. Effect of Different Level of Sulphur and
255	Phosphorus on Growth and Yield Attributes of Sesamum. International
256	Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(11):
257	3278-3285.
258	
259	Sarkar RK, Saha A. Analysis of growth and productivity of sesame (Sesamum
260	indicum L.) in relation to nitrogen, sulphur and boron. Indian Journal of
261	Plant Physiology. 2005; 10(4): 333-337.
262	Singh S, Kumar P. Soil fertility status of vegetables growing area of Varanasi and
263	pulses growing area of Mirzapur. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil
264	Science. 2012; 60: 233-236.
265	Singh SK, Dey P, Singh S, Sharma PK, Singh YV, Latare AM, Singh CM, Kumar
266	D, Kumar O, Yadav SN, Verma SS. Emergence of boron and sulphur
267	deficiency in soils of Chandauli, Mirzapur, Sant Ravidas Nagar and
268	Varanasi districts of Eastern Uttar Pradesh. Journal of the Indian Society
269	of Soil Science. 2015; 63: 200-208.
270	Singh YP, Maan JS. Effect of sulphur on yield and its uptake in groundnut (Arachis
271	hypogaeaL.) and their residual effect on succeeding wheat (Triticum
272	aestivum). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2007; 50(2): 116-118.

274	Subbiah BV, Asija GLA. Rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen
275	soils. Current Science. 1956; 5: 656-659.
276	Takkar PN. Economics of sulphur fertilizer use in India. Proc. FADINAP-FAO-
277	TSI-ACIAR Symposium. 1987; 123-138.
278	Tandon HLS. Sulphur research and development in Indian agriculture. Fertilizer
279	News. 1986; 31(9): 9-16.
280	Tripathy S, Bastia DK. Irrigation and nutrient management for yield augmentation
281	of summer sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Journal of Crop and Weed.
282	2012; 8(2): 53- 57.
283	
284	Vaghani JJ, Polara KB, Chovatia PK, Thumar BV, Parmar KB. Effect of nitrogen,
285	potassium and sulphur on yield, quality and yield attributes of kharif
286	sesame (Sesamumindicum L.). An Asian Journal of Soil Science. 2010;
287	5(2): 318-321.
288	Vidyathi GS, Babalad HB, Hebsur NS, Gali SK, Patil SG, Alagawadi R. Influence
289	of nutrient management practices on crop response and economics in
290	different cropping systems in a vertisol. Karnatak Journal of Agricultural
291	Sciences. 2011; 24(4): 455-460.
292	Vijayapriya M, Muthukkaruppan SM, Sriramachandrasekharan MV. Effect of
293	sulphur and Rhizobiuminoculation on nutrient uptake by soybean and soil
294	fertility. Advances in Plant Sciences. 2005; 18(1): 19-21.
295	

296	Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil
297	organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration
298	method. Soil Science. 1934; 37(1): 29-38.
299	Williams CH, Steinbergs A. Soil sulphur (Heat soluble sulphur or available sulphur)
300	fractions as chemical indices of available sulphur in some Australian soils.
301	Australian Journal of Agriculture Research. 1959; 10: 340-352.
302	