



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_44830
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on the Yield of Sesame
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is based on one-season field experiment. Title and abstract are appropriate to the study. Sufficient background information and justification were provided in the introduction section with defined objective. Results are nicely presented and interpreted; however main effects of N and P are not given. Discussion is scientific with supporting references, some irrelevant references should be replaced. Conclusion is sound and informative, however dose of 120 kg N ha ⁻¹ needs to be checked. References need revision according to the guidelines. Parameters like economics, N and P content and uptake by the crop and post-harvest status of N and P in soil should be added.	
Minor REVISION comments	Language needs to be improved. Some sentences should be rephrased. Some fashion words should be deleted/replaced. Indicated in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Punctuations need to be attended.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. K. Mathukia
Department, University & Country	Junagadh Agricultural University, India