

## Original Research Article

# EFFECT OF SULPHUR AND BORON LEVELS ON SOIL AVAILABLE NUTRIENTS AFTER HARVEST OF SESAMUM (*SESAMUM INDICUM L.*) IN RED SOIL OF MIRZAPUR

**Abstract:** To study the effect of sulphur and boron on sesamum grown post harvest soil a pot experiment was conducted at Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agriculture sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi during the *kharif* season of 2017. The content of available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur and boron was recorded significantly highest in soil after harvesting over the control. The nitrogen, phosphorus, potash, sulphur and boron value recorded 131.58 (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), 9.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 228.48 (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), 32.79 (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 5.58 (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), respectively at 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> after harvest as influenced by sulphur and boron application. Correlation study of the data shows significant and positive interaction between soil properties. Available S was positively correlated with available P ( $r = 0.875^*$ ) while as organic carbon was also significant and positively correlated with available N ( $r = 0.935^*$ ), P ( $r = 0.891^*$ ) and K ( $r = 0.882^*$ ). Multiple regression equation revealed that more than 90% variation in available S was attributed by physicochemical properties of the soil.

**Key words:** Sulphur, boron, available nutrients, correlation

## Introduction

Sulphur (S) is a fourth essential element among the 17 essential nutrients required by most of the crops. Play a key role in augmenting the production and productivity of oilseeds and it has a significant influence on quality of produce. It is a constituent of three amino acids (cystine, cysteine and methionine) and thus play vital role for protein production (Takkar,

1987). The chief sources of sulphur are organic matter, atmospheric deposition and parent material from which soil has been developed. Depletion in organic pools also reduces the carbon content and ultimately influences the soil properties (Kumar *et al.* 2013). In recent survey, sulphur deficiency in soil and status of available sulphur in the soils of sesame growing area is depleted in considerable amount because of continuous use of high analysis sulphur less fertilizers coupled with intensive cropping-using high yielding varieties and reduction in use of organic manure. Wide spread sulphur deficiencies have been reported in soils of India (Tandon, 1986). In recent years sulphur and boron deficiency in eastern part of Uttar Pradesh is also reported (Singh *et al.* 2015; Singh and Kumar 2012). Boron is unique among the essential mineral micronutrients because it is the only element that is normally present in soil solution as a non-ionized molecule over the pH range suitable for plant growth. Among the micronutrient deficiency, boron deficiency is the second most dominant problem globally (Alloway, 2008). The importance of boron deficiency has been reported by Chatterjee and Nautiyal (2000). Result of this research study aimed to rationalize the sources and levels of sulphur and boron in addition to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium for obtaining sustaining fertility status of soil.

## Materials and methods

To study the effect of levels of sulphur and boron on post harvest soil properties in red soils of Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh, bulk surface red soil (0-15 cm depth) was collected from upland area of Rajiv Gandhi South Campus, Barkaccha, Mirzapur. The selected site falls under Vindhyan zone and has an average elevation of 80 meters and lies between the parallels of 23.52 and 25.32 North latitude and 82.7 and 83.33 East longitude with warm climate and an average annual temperature of 26.0 °C. This zone receives an average rainfall of 975 mm per annum. A pot experiment was conducted from the collected upland red soil with sesamum (var. G-4) during *kharif* season of 2017 in the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural

Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi (U.P.). After processing the bulk soil samples total 32 pots were taken and filled with 10 kg of soil in each pot. Collected soil had coarse texture. Completely randomized design was laid down with eight treatments: T<sub>1</sub>- Absolute control (without fertilizer), T<sub>2</sub>- Recommended dose of N, P and K fertilizers @ 60:60:30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (RDF), T<sub>3</sub>- RDF + 25 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub>- RDF + 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub>- RDF + 1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub>- RDF + 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>7</sub>- RDF + 25 kg S + 1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>8</sub>- RDF + 50 kg S + 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> with four replications. Two split doses of N and full amounts of P, K, S and B were applied basal as per the treatments at sowing time and mixed in soil uniformly. The sources of N, P and K were Urea, DAP, MOP, gypsum and borax, respectively. Standard procedures were adopted for analysis of soil were as follows: Soil pH (Jackson 1973); Electrical conductivity (Jackson 1973); Organic carbon (Walkley and Black 1934); available N by alkaline permanganate method (Subbiah and Asija 1956); available K by ammonium acetate method (Hanway and Heidel 1952); available P (Bray and Kurtz 1945); 0.15% CaCl<sub>2</sub> extractable available S (Williams and Steinbergs 1969) and hot-water soluble available B (Berger and Troug 1939). Initial soil test values are presented in the table a.

**Table a initial physico-chemical properties of the experimental soil**

Soil Test Parameter	Initial value	Method
Soil pH (1:2.5)	6.21	Jackson (1973)
Electrical conductivity (1:2.5) dSm <sup>-1</sup> at 25 °C	0.33	Jackson (1973)
Organic carbon (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	3.3	Walkley and Black (1934)
Available nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	112.8	Subbiah and Asija (1956)
Available phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	7.34	Bray and Kurtz (1945)
Available potash (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	160.3	Hanway and Heidel (1952)
Available sulphur (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	5.75	Williams and Steinberg (1969)

Available boron ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ )	0.54	Berger and Troug (1939)
---	------	-------------------------

67

68 **Statistical analysis**

69 The raw data observed during the whole experiment, putted for statistical analysis following  
 70 the Complete Randomized Design (CRD) to draw the valid differences among the treatments.

71 Correlation and regression analysis were done following data analysis in excel sheet.

72 **Results & Discussion**73 **Soil pH**

74 Soil pH after the harvest of sesame crop differed significantly over initial pH value  
 75 (6.21). Soil pH values are presented in table 1. Data shows that highest pH was found with  
 76 combined application of sulphur and boron in T<sub>8</sub> (pH 6.87). Effect of sulphur and boron  
 77 application on soil pH was not found significant. It increases by increasing level of sulphur  
 78 and boron up to 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>.

79 **Electrical conductivity ( $\text{dSm}^{-1}$ )**

80 Electrical conductivity in soil, after the harvest of sesame crop was not significantly  
 81 influenced by sulphur and boron applications. EC of surface soil at harvest did not differ  
 82 significantly over initial value ( $0.33 \text{ dSm}^{-1}$ ). Slight increase in the EC was observed in T<sub>8</sub>  
 83 (0.39) which was insignificant with other treatments (table 1). It might be due to short  
 84 duration of crop cycle and result is in agreement with the findings of Arbad *et al.* (2008).

85 **Organic carbon**

86 The data recorded on organic carbon content ( $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) are presented in table 1. It was  
 87 noted that levels of sulphur and boron affect the organic carbon in post-harvest soil  
 88 significantly over the control. It is indicated that 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> along with 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> noticed  
 89 maximum organic carbon in soil ( $4.13 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ ). Organic carbon content under varying levels

90 of boron indicates a significant response with the change in levels of boron from 1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>  
91 to 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The results were corroborated with Tripathi and Bastia (2012).

#### 92 **Available nitrogen**

93 Study of data on nitrogen availability in soil after harvest of the sesame as influenced  
94 by application of sulphur and boron is presented in the table 1. The perusal of the data of post  
95 harvest soil analysis of sesame was significantly influenced by application of sulphur and  
96 boron levels. There was significant improvement in available nitrogen in the soil crop harvest  
97 as compared to initial soil value (112.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Maximum available nitrogen was observed  
98 in T<sub>8</sub> (131.58 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was statistically significant over control while statistically at par  
99 with other treatments except RDF. The results of present investigation are conformity with  
100 results observed by, Mathew *et al.* (2013) and Pabitra and Haider (1996).

#### 101 **Available phosphorus**

102 Persual of the data on available phosphorus in soil after harvest of the sesame as  
103 influenced by application of sulphur and boron are presented in the table 1. The data of post  
104 harvest soil analysis of available phosphorus revealed the significance of S and B. There was  
105 significant improvement in available phosphorus in the soil after the crop harvested as  
106 compared to initial soil status (7.34 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Available phosphorus increased with RDF along  
107 with 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (8.57 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to sole application of RDF (7.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  
108 control (5.27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The combine application of sulphur and boron levels up to 50 Kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>  
109 <sup>1</sup> and 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> increases phosphorus availability (9.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) after harvest of sesame and  
110 was found to be significant and more available as compared to control. Results are  
111 conformity with result observed by Kumar et al. (2017).

#### 112 **Available potassium**

113 Data pertaining to available potassium in soil after harvest of the sesame is presented  
114 in the table 1. The perusal of the data of post harvest soil analysis of available potassium in

soil revealed the importance of sulphur and boron application in soil. There was significant improvement in available potassium in the soil after the crop harvested as compared to initial soil status ( $160.3 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ). Available potassium was increased when treated with RDF along with  $50 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$  ( $216.72 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ ) and  $25 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$  ( $212.80 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ ) application compared to sole application of RDF ( $179.40 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ ) and control ( $161.56 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ ). The soil potassium after crop harvest was found higher in combined application of sulphur and boron up to  $50 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$  and  $2 \text{ kg B ha}^{-1}$  ( $228.48 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ ) after harvest of sesame but at par with T<sub>7</sub>. Similar result was reported by (Devi *et al.*, 2012). The results were in conformity with Laxminarayan and Patiram (2006).

#### **Available sulphur**

The data on post harvest soil analysis of available sulphur of sesame was significantly influenced by the application of sulphur and boron levels. There was increase in the available sulphur content with application of RDF along with  $50 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$  ( $30.44 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$ ) and  $25 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$  ( $23.72 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$ ) followed by application of boron levels  $2 \text{ kg B ha}^{-1}$  ( $18.98 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$ ) and  $1 \text{ kg B ha}^{-1}$  ( $15.99 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$ ) as compared to application of RDF alone ( $12.27 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$ ) and control ( $9.18 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$ ) (table 1). The soil sulphur after crop harvest was found higher in combined effect of sulphur and boron up to  $50 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$  with  $2 \text{ kg B ha}^{-1}$  ( $32.79 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$ ) and availability of sulphur after harvest of sesame was found to be significant. It might be due to the use of higher dose of S and B in soil which increased the availability of the S in soil. Increased levels of S and B influenced the S status in the soil. Similar results were found by Bhagyalakshmi *et al.* (2009).

#### **Available boron**

Perusal of the data on available boron in soil after harvest of the crop as influenced by application of sulphur and boron is presented in table 1. There was significant improvement in available boron in the soil after the crop harvest as compared to initial soil ( $0.54 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ).

140 <sup>1</sup>). There was increase in the available boron content with application of RDF along with 50  
 141 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (1.49 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) and 25 Kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (1.56 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and followed by application of boron  
 142 levels up to 2 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> (5.31 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and 1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> (4.68 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to  
 143 application of RDF alone (1.34 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and control (1.16 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). Increased level of B  
 144 influenced the boron status and its increment in the soil. Similar results were found by Sarkar  
 145 *et al.* (2005).

#### 146 **Correlation of available S with soil properties**

147 The relationship of the amount of sulphate sulphur extracted (0.15% CaCl<sub>2</sub>) with the  
 148 physicochemical properties of the soil and regression analysis have been studied for post  
 149 harvest soils and presented in table 2 and 3, respectively. Available S was well correlated  
 150 with the soil properties. These observations were substantiated by the significant positive  
 151 correlation of available S with available P and organic carbon of the soil. These observations  
 152 corroborate the finding of Das *et al.* (2011). Correlation studies indicated positive and  
 153 significant correlation of available S with P ( $r=0.875^*$ ) and organic carbon content of the soil  
 154 ( $r=0.882^*$ ). The multiple regression equations revealed that 100 % variation in available S  
 155 was attributable to the collective effect of soil physicochemical properties. Soil pH, EC and  
 156 organic carbon collectively accounted for about 84.4% variation in available S. This  
 157 observation is in close agreement with that of Borkotoki and Das (2008). Electrical  
 158 conductivity had significant and positive correlation with available P ( $r=0.867^*$ ), while as  
 159 organic carbon had significant and positive correlation with available P ( $r=0.891^*$ ) and K ( $r=$   
 160  $0.882^*$ ). The regression analysis showed that soil pH, EC, N, P and K contributed 92.9%  
 161 variation in soil available S while inclusion of K improved the contribution level to 95.7%.

162 **Table 1 Effect of sulphur and boron levels on pH, electrical conductivity, organic**  
 163 **carbon, available N, P, K, S and B content on post-harvest soil**

Treatment	pH	EC	OC	N	P	K	S	B
-----------	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---

		(dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	(g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )
Control	6.69	0.35	3.40	112.33	5.27	161.56	9.18	1.16
RDF	6.74	0.37	3.73	125.01	7.50	179.40	12.27	1.34
RDF + 25 Kg S ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.66	0.38	3.85	127.05	7.41	212.80	23.72	1.56
RDF + 50 Kg S ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.70	0.37	4.05	131.17	8.57	216.72	30.44	1.49
RDF + 1 Kg B ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.77	0.36	3.93	129.06	6.97	218.68	15.99	4.68
RDF + 2 Kg B ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.70	0.37	3.75	128.37	7.86	218.60	18.98	5.31
RDF + 25 Kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> + 1 Kg B ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.76	0.38	4.00	129.84	9.04	225.40	27.08	4.64
RDF + 50 Kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> + 2 Kg B ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.87	0.39	4.13	131.58	9.25	228.48	32.79	5.58
SEm (±)	0.04	0.013	0.13	1.90	0.24	5.84	0.982	0.09
CD (P=0.05)	0.118	N/A	0.383	5.60	0.70	17.16	2.892	0.263

164

165 **Table 2 Correlation of available S amongst various soil properties**

Soil Parameter	S	pH	EC	OC	N	P	K	B
S (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1							
Soil pH	0.402	1						
EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.810**	0.478	1					
OC (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.882*	0.569	0.756**	1				
N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.771**	0.425	0.728**	0.935*	1			
P (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.875*	0.527	0.867*	0.891*	0.882*	1		
K (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.809**	0.407	0.696	0.882*	0.913*	0.807**	1	
B (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.375	0.658	0.412	0.494	0.540	0.515	0.712**	1

166 \*\* And \* significant at 5 and 1% level, respectively

167



168 **Table 3 Effect of soil properties on predictability of available sulphur**

Regression equation	R <sup>2</sup>
Y= (Available S) -333.45 +52.66 pH	R <sup>2</sup> = 0.16
Y(Available S)= -201.239 + 2.628 pH + 551.763 EC	R <sup>2</sup> = 0.656
Y(Available S)= -20.172 -22.421 pH +243.896 EC + 26.451 OC	R <sup>2</sup> = 0.844
Y (Available S) = 97.777 -37.988 pH +277.344 EC +52.978 OC -1.007 N	R <sup>2</sup> = 0.902
Y (Available S) = 199.370 -41.802 pH -127.766 EC + 49.290 OC -1.263 N + 3.406 P	R <sup>2</sup> = 0.929
Y (Available S) = 237.673 -40.218 pH +93.567 EC + 44.671 OC -1.691 N +3.914 P +0.153 K	R <sup>2</sup> = 0.957
Y (Available S) = -3496.907 +649.407 pH -1060.680 EC -341.553 OC + 0.382 N +17.750 P+ 3.652 K-28.041 B	R <sup>2</sup> = 1.000

169  
170 **Conclusions**

171 It can be concluded from the present investigation that application of boron @ 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
172 results significant evidence increased in available nutrients in soil after harvest of sesamum  
173 crop.  
174

175

176

177

## References

- Alloway BJ. (Ed.) Micronutrient deficiencies in global crop production. Springer Science and Business Media.2008.
- Arbad, BK, Ismail, S, Shinde, DN and Pardeshi, RG. Effect of integrated nutrient management practices on soil properties in vertisols. *An Asian Journal of Soil Science*. 2008; **3**:329-332
- Berger, K C and Troug E. Boron test for soils and plants. *Soil Science*. 1939; **57**: 25-36.
- Bhagyalakshmi K, Sudhir DH, Roopashree D, Krishnamurthy HM, Atheekur Rehman and Jayanthi T. Response of paddy to different sources and levels of sulphur and their effect on soil properties in southern dry zone of Karnataka. *Crop Research*. 2009; **37**: (1, 2 & 3):83-87.
- Borkotoki, B and Das, KN. Forms of sulphur and their relationship with soil properties in Entisols, Inceptisols and Alfisols of Assam. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 2008; **56**,186-191.
- Bray, RH and Kurtz, LT. Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil science*. 1945; **59**(1):39-46.
- Chatterjee, C and Nautiyal, N. Developmental aberrations in seeds of boron deficient sunflower and recovery. *Journal of plant nutrition* 2000; **23**(6):835-841.
- Chesnin, L and Yien, CH. Turbidimetric Determination of Available Sulphates. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 1950; **15**:149-151.
- Devi, KN, Singh, LNK, Singh, MS, Singh, SB, and Singh, KK. Influence of sulphur and boron fertilization on yield, quality, nutrient uptake and economics of soybean (*Glycine max*) under upland conditions. *Journal of Agriculture Sciences*.2012; **4**(4):1.

- 203 Hanway, JJ and Heidel, H. Soil analysis methods as used in Iowa state college soil  
204 testing laboratory. Iowa Agriculture 1952; **57**:1-31.
- 205 Jackson, M L. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentic Hall (India) Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi;  
206 1973; 498.
- 207 Kumar R, Rawat KS, Singh J, Singh A and Rai A. Soil aggregation dynamics and  
208 carbon sequestration. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*. 2013; **5**(1):  
209 250-267.
- 210 Kumar A, Dhyani BP, Rai A and Kumar, V. Residual Effect of Applied  
211 Vermicompost and NPK to Rice on Growth and Yield of Succeeding  
212 Wheat and Chemical Properties of Soil. *International Journal of Current*  
213 *Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017; **6**(11):1087-1098.
- 214 Laxminarayan, K and Patiram. Effect of integrated use of inorganic, biological and  
215 organic manures on rice productivity and soil fertility in ultisols of  
216 Mizoram. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2006; **54**(2): 213-  
217 220.
- 218 Mathew J, George S and Indira M. Effect of sulphur and boron on the performance  
219 of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) In Onattukara sandy soil of Kerala, India.  
220 *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2013; **47**(3): 214-219.
- 221 Pabitra KM and Haider M. Effect of dolomite on boron transformation in Acid soil  
222 in relation to nutrition in green gram. *Journal of the Indian Society of*  
223 *Soil Science*. 1996; **44**(3): 458-461.
- 224 Sarkar RK and Saha A. Analysis of growth and productivity of sesame (*Sesamum*  
225 *indicum* l.) in relation to nitrogen, sulphur and boron. *Indian Journal of*  
226 *Plant Physiology*. 2005; **10**(4): 333-337.

- 227 Singh, Surendra and Kumar P. Soil fertility status of vegetables growing area of  
228 Varanasi and pulses growing area of Mirzapur. *Journal of the Indian*  
229 *Society of Soil Science*. 2012; **60**:233-236.
- 230 Singh SK, Dey P, Singh Surendra, Sharma PK, Singh YV, Latore AM, Singh CM,  
231 Dileep Kumar, Omkar Kumar, Yadav SN and Verma SS. Emergence of  
232 boron and sulphur deficiency in soils of Chandauli, Mirzapur, Sant  
233 Ravidas Nagar and Varanasi districts of Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of*  
234 *the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2015; **63**: 200-208.
- 235 Subbiah BV and Asija GLA. Rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen  
236 soils. *Current Science*. 1956; **5**: 656-659.
- 237 Takkar PN. Economics of sulphur fertilizer use in India. Proc. FADINAP-FAO-  
238 TSI-ACIAR Symposium. 1987; 123-138.
- 239 Tandon HL. Sulphur research and development in Indian agriculture. FN. 1986,  
240 **31**(9):9-16.
- 241 Tripathy S and Bastia DK. Irrigation and nutrient management for yield  
242 augmentation of summer sesame (*Sesamum indicum L.*). *Journal of Crop*  
243 *and Weed*. 2012 **8**(2): 53- 57.
- 244 Walkley A, and Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining  
245 soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid  
246 titration method. *Soil science*. 1934; **37**(1): 29-38.
- 247 Williams, C. H. and Steinbergs, A. 1959. Soil sulphur (Heat soluble sulphur or  
248 available sulphur) fractions as chemical indices of available sulphur in  
249 some Australian soils. *Australian Journal of Agriculture Research*. **10**: 340-  
250 352.