



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_44529
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SULPHUR AND BORON LEVELS ON SOIL AVAILABLE NUTRIENTS AFTER HARVEST OF SESAMUM (SESAMUM INDICUM L.) IN RED SOIL OF MIRZAPUR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Although the purpose of the research is extremely interesting, writing is extremely confusing.</p> <p>Title: Does not match the abstract or the purpose presented at the end of the introduction.</p> <p>Introduction: Very needy, requiring more literature review. It says very little about sulfur and boron (actually it says the basics) and says nothing about culture. It also does not report the relation of this culture to the fertility of the soil nor its relation with the sulfur and the boron.</p> <p>Material and methods Little detailed, making it very difficult to understand.</p> <p>Results and discussion The results and discussion were presented very poorly. There was virtually no discussion of the results. The work is poor both in results and discussion. It needs extensive literature review with the aim of improving and greatly the article.</p> <p>Conclusion Very poor.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	It needs more discussion of the results, besides improving the literature review.	
Optional/General comments	The idea of the article is very interesting, but unfortunately, lacked a more detailed writing, including addressing the importance of the work.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carla Corrêa
Department, University & Country	Sao Paulo State University, Brazil