



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_45727
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the Mineral Content of Wood Ashes of Selected Plants Traditionally Used for Soil Amendments in Eritrea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Plz be consistent with all the NB under graphs and tables. it is best not bolded (italics may be used) with font size smaller than manuscript font</p> <p>Plz change all ppm in graphs, in paragraphs, sections etc to mg/L or mg/g or mg/kg</p> <p>Title is so too long i suggest "Mineral content analysis of some selected Eritrea plant wood ashes used for soil amendments"</p> <p>Dear Editor research was a very sound work. Technically correct. Scientifically robust. I recommend publication after these minor corrections.</p>	<p>Modified now</p> <p>ppm replaced by mg/kg</p> <p>The word 'traditionally' deleted to shorten the tittle</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 17: indicate kind of furnace. is it muffle?</p> <p>Line 26: remove one</p> <p>Line 34: "the" is missing</p> <p>Line 53: Add reason</p> <p>Line 110: dont italics. change comma to fullstop...latest APA</p> <p>Line 121/122: join together</p> <p>Line 127: Why do you think Musa sapientum was very high. I suggest you bring line 151/152 thereafter.</p> <p>Lie 144: pluralize</p> <p>Line 144: "were"</p> <p>Line 147 and 148 etc: dont italics. change comma to fullstop...latest APA</p> <p>line 151: Add "to"</p> <p>line 168: separate section from 167.</p> <p>line 172: Pluralize</p> <p>Line 184: recast</p> <p>Line 193: see line 147</p> <p>Line 274: ideal reference</p> <p>Line 300: plz recast</p>	<p>Already indicated in 2.1 as a muffle furnace (Carbolite, Shfld.)</p> <p>corrected</p> <p>added</p> <p>reason explained</p> <p>corrected</p> <p>corrected</p> <p>explanation added</p> <p>corrected</p> <p>corrected</p> <p>"to" added</p> <p>separated</p> <p>modified</p> <p>corrected</p> <p>corrected</p> <p>modified</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	