



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_45446
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Insect Infestation on Plant Damage and Yield of Roselle [Hibiscus sabdariffa L.] in Benue State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is good to use this referencing style as recommended by this journal, however, you placed the figures in the parenthesis wrongly. For example, in the introduction, the first citation is 1, second is 2 etc. This is done chronologically. Please stick to this style.</li> <li>2. Improve on the introduction a bit especially on the economic benefits of roselle. Mention the specific antioxidants and the various nutrients in the leaves, calyx etc. that make it a special plant.</li> <li>3. Under the study site-please be specific with the soil type and mention the rainfall pattern (modal or bimodal)</li> </ol>	Authors very much appreciate the comments of the reviewers. We tried to improve the quality of the manuscript based on the thoughtful comments of the reviewers. We have thankfully acknowledged the contribution of the reviewers in the acknowledgement section of this manuscript.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Well-written paper. Consider it for publication when the few corrections are made.	



**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	