



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_44843
Title of the Manuscript:	ANATOMICAL FEATURES OF SUGARCANE TREATED WITH THIAMINE
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Discussion for results were too scanty. Results must be discuss more and supported with recent citations. All the tables should be in scientific format. References and citations should follow journal guidelines. Results must be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines.	Accepted request
Minor REVISION comments	Minor corrections should be corrected as highlighted and indicated in the manuscript.	Accepted request
Optional/General comments	Introduction and Methodology were Clear and understandable. Discussion for results were too scanty. Results must be discuss more and supported with recent citations. All the tables should be in scientific format. References and citations should follow journal guidelines. Results must be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines.	Accepted request

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>