



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_44765
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of P fertilizer on nodulation, growth and nutrient content of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.) in acidic soils of South Western Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	No paragraphing in abstract and headlines. It should be single spacing all through, precise and synopsis of the research. Last paragraph 4. Is suppose to be, conclusion and recommendation as the text indicates and not only conclusion.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Paragraphing and headlines in abstract removed as proposed by other reviewers</li> <li>- Last paragraph (Line 273) – recommendation included as proposed. A statement was added in line 283 and 284 as a recommendation</li> </ul>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Tenses should be checked.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Authors reviewed the document to ensure that tenses were correct</li> </ul>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Tables needs to be discussed orderly, e.g. discussion, table 1 and follows, as done in table 1 and figure 9.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Tables have been discussed in order to the best level possible.</li> </ul>

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	