



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_42985 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effectiveness of Micronutrients and Seed coating polymer application on seed yield and yield attributing parameters of pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan L.) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | In the abstract, (2 or 4 ml per kg of seed) should be 2, 4 ml per kg of seed Line 94, Table 2 references are too old Number of seed(s) sown is not captured in your materials/method what do you mean by (75 and 85 DAS)? | All corrections have been done as per the suggestion of the reviewer. Thank you |
| Minor REVISION comments | Lines 76-79, decription of that area of study is fine but the country needs to be included. Line 89, the year should be fully written Line 120 is not clear Line 145, N should be written in full Line 160, what do you mean by was found to be on par with T16 (control)? | |
| Optional/General comments | | |