Symbiotic effectiveness of indigenous rhizobial strains on biological nitrogen fixation of Lablab (*Lablab purpureus*) in the derived savanna of Nigeria

5

6 Abstract

7 Field and pot experiments were conducted in the derived savanna of Nigeria to determine the 8 effectiveness of three indigenous rhizobial strains on the Nitrogen (N) fixation of lablab 9 (Lablab purpureus). Soils were collected from two locations, Idi Ayunre and University of 10 Ibadan Teaching and Research Farm (UITRF) for pot experiment in a completely randomised 11 design with a factorial arrangement of $2 \times 2 \times 6$. The treatments were soil type (Idi Ayunre 12 and UITRF soils), sterilisation (partially-sterile and unsterile) and six rhizobial strains 13 inoculation {three indigenous strains, IDC8, OISa-6e and TRC; two exotic strains, IRj 2180A 14 and R25B; and the control (resident native rhizobial strains)}. A field experiment was further 15 conducted at UITRF using five rhizobial inoculations, the three indigenous strains, a 16 combination of R25B and IRj 2180A (R25B+IRj 2180A) and control. Data were collected on 17 biomass dry weight, a number of nodules, nodule dry weight, N derived from the atmosphere 18 (Ndfa), N and P uptake and total N fixed. No significant difference was observed in the Ndfa 19 (%) among the strains. However, indigenous strains IDC8 and OISa-6e performed better than 20 the resident native rhizobia regarding N fixed, dry biomass weight, nodule formation and N 21 uptake. N fixed by lablab inoculated with IDC8 was more than 200% higher than that fixed 22 by resident native bacteria. Lablab N fixation efficiency can be improved using effective 23 indigenous rhizobial strains. Further screening of indigenous strain for N fixation efficiency 24 is recommended.

25 Keywords: Rhizobium N fixation Forage legume; Lablab

26 Introduction

27 The soil improvement potential of lablab (Lablab purpureus) has continued to 28 generate research attention in the derived and moist, humid savannah of West Africa where 29 soil fertility has continued to decline due to the pressure caused by increasing population. 30 Lablab is widely cultivated in sub-Saharan Africa, and like other food and forage legumes, it 31 possesses qualities that could prove exceptionally valuable for Africa's rural development and 32 environmental stability (Adebisi and Bosch 2004). These conditions include wide adaptation 33 to different environment, ease of planting and management under subsistence production 34 typical of African agriculture, high yield, drought tolerance and soil improvement potentials 35 (Adebisi and Bosch 2004; Cook et al. 2005; Nworgu and Ajayi 2005, National Research 36 Council 2006; Maass et al. 2010). Sanginga et al. (1996) reported that Lablab purpureus had 37 a high N₂-fixing capability and could also adapt to low-P soils in the Guinea savanna of 38 West-Africa.

39 The high cost and environmental risks associated with the use of chemical fertiliser 40 has made lablab cultivation one of the alternatives for low cost and sustainable N supply in 41 the soil. Lablab can produce about six tonnes of total biomass containing about 140 kg N 42 which can be returned to the soil after decay (Valenzuela and Smith 2002; FAO 2012). Mcdonald et al. (2001) estimated N_2 – fixed by lablab to be 177 kg N ha⁻¹ which to an extent 43 44 is substantial for soil fertility improvement. Lablab inclusion into cereal-legume based 45 cropping systems especially maize-based production system as an intercrop or as fallow crop 46 has yielded beneficial results concerning crop yields and soil fertility improvement (MacColl 47 1990; Tarawali 1991; Devkota and Rerkasem, 2000). Apart from the N-fixation potential, 48 lablab as a cover crop is able to conserve soil and compete with weeds (Schaaffhausen 1963) 49 thereby improving the soil quality for crop production.

50 Nitrogen fixation in all legumes is due to their symbiosis with the soil bacteria 51 Rhizobium. However, the amount of atmospheric N that is fixed is partly hinged on the 52 effectiveness of the rhizobial strains that infect the plant for nodulation and fixation. 53 Biological nitrogen fixation is a natural process, which can be improved by introducing or 54 inoculating legumes with an efficient rhizobia strain for effective nitrogen fixation. FAO 55 (2012) suggested that the seed of lablab should be inoculated with a cowpea-type, 56 Bradyrhizobium strain as it does not easily nodulate with native rhizobia. However, 57 indigenous or native rhizobia have been found to be effective for some legumes like cowpea 58 in some parts of West Africa (N2Africa 2013). Efficient rhizobial inoculants could be 59 obtained by isolating, purifying and screening the native or indigenous rhizobia with standard 60 rhizobia under controlled conditions (Kumar et al. 1997). In Nigeria, Ojo et al (2015) isolated 61 three rhizobial strains from derived savanna soils that are comparable in infectivity and 62 nodulation with some introduced/exotic strains. Thies et al. (1991) reported that the success 63 of any rhizobial inoculation starts with the ability of the inoculant strain to survive and 64 nodulate the host plant.

Legume-rhizobial symbiosis is an efficient source of soil nitrogen for sustainable agriculture. Since lablab is among the promising forage legumes that have been identified to improve agricultural sustainability in the moist savannah (Tarawali 1991), this study investigated the effectiveness of three native rhizobial strains on biological N fixation of lablab.

70 Materials and Methods

71 **Pot experiment**

A pot experiment was conducted at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture screenhouse, Ibadan, Nigeria using topsoil (0-15 cm) collected from two locations in the rainforest-savanna transition zone of Nigeria, Idi-Ayunre (latitude 7°26'N and longitude 75 3°54'E) and the University of Ibadan Teaching and Research Farm (UITRF, latitude 7°30'N 76 and longitude $3^{\circ}45^{\circ}$). Idi-Ayunre has soil classified as Nitosol and that of the University of 77 Ibadan Teaching and Research Farm as Alfisol (USDA, 2006). The samples were analysed 78 for pH in water (1:1) (IITA, 1982), soil organic matter using wet dichromate acid oxidation 79 method (Nelson and Sommers, 1982), total nitrogen using Kjeldahl analytical method 80 (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982), available phosphorus using Bray-1 method (Bray and Kurtz, 81 1945), particle size using Bouyoucus hydrometer method (Okalebo et al., 1993), 82 exchangeable Mg, Ca, K and Na extracted using neutral 1M ammonium acetate and 83 determined with spectrophotometer (Okalebo et al., 1993).

Soil samples for each location were divided into two parts, one part was sterilized using a direct flaming method with the aid of a Terraforce sterilizing machine (IITA fabricated sterilizing machine). Two kilograms soil was weighed into each pot for the planting

87 Rhizobial population count

88 The rhizobial population count of the two locations was determined using the Most 89 Probable Number (MPN) as outlined by Somasegaran and Hoben (1994). Two soyabean 90 varieties, TGx1448–2E and TGx 1456-2E, and one cowpea variety IT89KD-288 seeds were 91 sterilised, pre-germinated and transplanted into sterilised growth pouches containing modified Jensen's N-free nutrient solution (Roughley, 1984). A 5-fold dilution series $(5^{-1} - 5^{-1})$ 92 93 ⁶) of the soils of the location with four replicates was used to inoculate each plant in the 94 growth pouch one week after planting. The diluent contained 0.250 g K_2 HPO₄ and 0.10 g Mg 95 SO₄. 7H₂O dissolved in 1 L distilled water. Nodule formation on plants was observed and 96 recorded for thirty days. The presence (+) or absence (-) of nodules on each plant were scored 97 and MPN values were calculated with MPN table.

98 Experimental design and data collection

99 The experimental design was a $2 \times 2 \times 6$ factorial arrangements in a completely randomised 100 design with three replicates. The treatments were location (Idi-Ayunre and UITRF), soil 101 sterilization at two levels (sterile and unsterile) and rhizobial strains at six levels (control, 102 Isolate 1 – OISa-6e, Isolate 2 – IDC8, Isolate 3 – TRC2, IITA strain 1 – R25B, IITA strain 2 103 - IRj 2180A). Two seeds of lablab were planted per pot and inoculation was done one week 104 after planting using dispenser to directly inoculate the soil just below the seedling with 2 ml 105 broth culture of the rhizobial strains. Data were collected at harvest to determine the shoot 106 dry weight, number of nodules per pot, nodule dry weight per pot and percentage nitrogen 107 derived from atmosphere [Ndfa (%)]. N₂ fixed was determined by taking samples from stem 108 + petioles for tissue extraction (Hot water extract) for ureide - N and NO₃ -N in the 109 laboratory. The procedure was followed as outlined by Herridge (1982).

110 **Description of rhizobial strain**

The five strains that were used for the experiment were IDC8, TRC, OISa-6e, IRj 2180A and
R25B. The IDC8, TRC and OISa-6e were indigenous strains isolated from cowpea planted on
Idi-Ayunre and UITRF soils and soybean planted at Orile Ilugun soil (Ojo et al 2015). The
IRj 2180A (soybean isolate) and R25B (promiscuous isolate) were rhizobial collections from
International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria (Sanginga et al 2000).

116 Field experiment

Field experiment was set up at UITRF in a randomized complete block design with three replicates. The rhizobial strains IDC8, TRC2, OISa-6e, R25B+IRj 2180A and the control were used as treatments. R25B and IRj 2180A were exotic strains used separately in the greenhouse experiment but mixed together for the field experiment.

121 One kilogramme of seeds of lablab was inoculated with 10 g peat culture of each rhizobial 122 strain using the method of Somasegaran and Hoben (1994). The Yeast Mannitol Broth 123 (YMB) cultures of each strain were aseptically injected into different peat package carriers at ratio 1: 1 (ml/wt in g). Inoculated peats were incubated for 2 weeks at 28° C to gain excess of 125 $10^{8} - 10^{9}$ cells g⁻¹. Planting was done between July and early August using a late maturing

126 variety of lablab NAFR14. The seeds were planted at a spacing of 75 cm \times 25 cm.

127 Data collection

128 Plants were uprooted systematically at three points per plot using a 30 cm \times 30 cm quadrant. 129 Root of five plants and soil under the quadrant area were removed to a depth of 15 cm to 130 determine number of nodules. The nodules on the root and those detached in the soil were 131 counted and weighed to get the fresh nodule weight and oven-dried at 78° C to a constant dry 132 weight. The root and the shoot were separated, air-dried for 72 hours before oven dried at 133 78° C to constant dry weights. The stem + petioles of the five sampled plants were and 134 ground. Ureide -N and NO₃ -N were determined from the stem + petioles samples using 135 tissue extraction (hot water extract) as described by Herridge (1982). Shoot biomass N and P 136 contents were also analysed using the method of Herridge (1982). Harvesting was done at 137 physiological maturity of each variety.

138

139 **Statistical analyses:** Data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance using PROC GLM 140 of SAS (SAS 2003). Data for number of nodule were transformed using square root 141 transformation. Means were separated at a significant level P = 0.05 using Least Significant 142 Differences (LSD).

143 **Results**

144 Physical, chemical and biological properties of the experimental soils

145 The Idi Ayunre and UITRF soils were slightly acidic with UITRF being more acidic. The 146 total N, available P, Ca, Mg and Na were higher in Idi-Ayunre soil compared to UITRF soil. 147 In fact, total N and available P were more than two-fold higher in Idi-ayunre soil compared to 148 those of UITRF soil (Table 1). The soil physical properties of Idi-Ayunre soil revealed a sandy loam soil while that of UITRF revealed a loamy sand soil. The number of rhizobial cell

150 in the UITRF soil was significantly higher (P=0.05) than that of Idi Ayunre (Fig. 1). The

151 number of rhizobial cell (14.2 $\times 10^6$ g-1 soil) in the UITRF was about two-fold higher than

152 that of Idi Ayunre $(7.3 \times 10^6 \text{ g-1 soil})$.

153 Growth and nodulation of lablab in pot experiment

154 The soils used for the pot experiment significantly (P=0.05) affected biomass dry weight, 155 number of nodules, nodule dry weight and Ndfa (%). The biomass, nodule dry weights and 156 Ndfa (%) were significantly higher (P=0.05) in Idi Ayunre soil than UITRF soil (Table 2). 157 However, the numbers of nodules were higher in UITRF soil than Idi Ayunre soil. The effect 158 of soil sterilization was significant on nodulation as partially sterile soil had significantly 159 lower (P=0.05) number of nodules and nodule dry weight compared to unsterile soil. 160 However, biomass dry weight was significantly higher (P=0.05) in sterile soil than unsterile 161 soil. The rhizobial strains used for the inoculation of lablab in the pots significantly affected 162 nodulation of the plants. The numbers of nodules formed by lablab in the pot were 163 significantly higher in inoculated plants than the control (Table 2). Similarly the nodule dry 164 weight was higher in inoculated plants than the uninoculated (control). The indigenous strain 165 IDC8 isolated from Idi Ayunre soil had the highest number of nodules followed by the exotic 166 strain R25. The effect of the rhizobial strains on nodulaton did not reflect in the Ndfa (%) as 167 there was no significant difference between inoculated plants and uninoculated plants (Table 168 2).

169 The effect of interaction of the soil, sterilization and inoculants on nodulation 170 revealed that numbers of nodules of lablab in UITRF unsterile soil was significantly higher 171 than the number of nodules in the sterile soils and unsterile of Idi-Ayunre (Table 3). In both 172 sterile and unsterile soil of UITRF, the number of nodules in inoculated plants was 173 significantly higher than the control plants except the plants inoculated with IRJ 2180A and 174 OISa-6e in sterile soil. The number of nodules of plants under unsterilized soil inoculated 175 with R25 and TRC2 in Idi-Ayunre and IDC8 and IRJ 2180A in UITRF were significantly 176 higher than their corresponding treatment in sterile soil. In sterile soil at Idi-ayunre and 177 UITRF, IDC8 inoculated plants had significantly higher number of nodules than the 178 uninoculated plants. The nodule dry weight of the unsterile soil in Idi-Ayunre was 179 significantly higher than that of sterile soils in the two soil type and that of the unsterile soil 180 in UITRF (Table 3). Inoculated plants with bacterial strains OISa-6e, R25 and TRC2 in Idi 181 Ayunre had significantly higher nodule dry weight than the control plant. In UITRF, only 182 IDC8 had higher nodule dry weight than the control treatment. In spite of significantly higher 183 number of nodules in UITRF when inoculated plants in sterile were compared to the control, 184 the nodule dry weights were not significantly difference (Table 3).

185 Growth, nutrient uptake and N fixation of lablab at UITRF (field experiment), Ibadan

186 The biomass dry weights of lablab in the plots inoculated with the strains IDC8 and R25 were 187 significantly higher than those of the strain TRC3 and the control. In fact, there was more 188 than two-fold increase in the biomass dry weight of lablab inoculated with IDC8 than that of 189 the control (Fig 2). The number of nodule in lablab inoculated with indigenous rhizobial 190 OISA-6E and IDC8 were significantly higher than the other treatments (Table 4). The control 191 plant had the lowest number of nodules but was not significantly lower than plants inoculated 192 with TRC2. There was no significant difference in the Ndfa (%) when the rhizobial strains 193 were compared even with the control. However, the total N fixed (kg/ha) in the IDC8 194 inoculated plants was significantly higher than other strains except R25B+ IRj2180A 195 inoculated plants. The control treatment had significantly lower total N fixed when compared 196 with other strains except TRC2. The N fixed (kg/ha) in IDC8 and R25B plots was about 197 144% and 93% higher than control plot respectively (Table 4). The N and P contents of plant 198 and P uptake were not significantly affected by the inoculation of rhizobial strains. However, 199 the N uptake was significantly increased in IDC8 inoculated plants compared to the control 200

201 Discussion

and TRC2 inoculated plants (Table 5)

202 The biological analyses of the bacteria cells in the two soils revealed a very low population of 203 rhizobial cells with UITRF having higher population which almost double that of Idi Ayunre. The rhizobial count observed was within the range of 2×10^{0} to 3.2×10^{3} reported by 204 205 Abaidoo et al. (2007) for twelve sites in Nigeria. Soils that have never been inoculated or 206 cultivated with legumes were selected for the study and this probably contributed to the low 207 rhizobial cell count. Slattery and Pearce (2002) also observed a range varying from less than 208 10 to 10^6 in Australian soils and reported that considerable variation exist between bacteria 209 population in soils and could be due to several factors which include field history, location of 210 sampling, soil characteristics and the presence of a host plant. The two locations had different 211 soil characteristics and field history. Idi Ayunre soil was under bush fallow of over ten years 212 unlike UITRF soil which was collected from a research farm cultivated with crops others than 213 legumes. The detection of rhizobia in the fallow field was consistent with other previous 214 work by Abaidoo et al. (2007). Higher fertility status of Idi Ayunre soil compared to UITRF 215 soil can also be linked to the fallow and cropping history of the two locations and it reflected 216 in the higher biomass dry weight of lablab in Idi Ayunre soil compared to UITRF soil in the 217 pot experiment.

218 The inoculation of lablab by the indigenous isolated strains yielded more nodules and 219 N fixation compared to where plants were not inoculated. In the pot experiment, it was 220 clearly shown that the introduced indigenous and exotic strains increased nodulation of lablab 221 more than the native indigenous rhizobia. This shows that inoculant strains were highly 222 compatible and were able to establish a functional symbiotic relationship without much 223 interference of soil native rhizobia or any soil microbes. In other words, the inoculated strains

224 were able to demonstrate their nodulation potential and out-competed the soil native rhizobia 225 for nodule occupancy. The competitive ability of these introduced strains was also displayed 226 in the field experiment at UITRF where introduced indigenous strains like IDC8 and OISA-227 6E were able to increase the number of nodules by two fold compared to the indigenous 228 rhizobia in the control treatment. The competitive ability of the introduced strains was 229 probably enhanced by the low rhizobial cell in the two soils. It has been reported that the 230 introduced rhizobia are able to perform better than the native one when the population is <10 231 cell g-1 soil (Brockwell et al 1995; Slattery and Pearce, 2002).

232 The nodulation of lablab by the three introduced indigenous strains demonstrated a 233 broad specificity of the three strains for certain crops. These strains were either isolated from 234 soybean or cowpea but were able to cross-inoculate with the two crops (Ojo et al 2015) and 235 also with lablab in this study. Lablab, soybean and cowpea belong to the genera Phasoleae 236 (Andrew and Andrew 2017) and all the three have been found to form nodules with 237 bradyrhizobium (Andrew and Andrew 2017; Steenkamp et al. 2008). Bradyrhizobium lablabi 238 that nodulate lablab were also isolated in peanut Arachis hypogaea (Chang 2011). Symbiosis 239 specificity is complex, involving fine-tuned signal communication between the symbiotic 240 partners, which can occur at multiple phases of the interaction, ranging from initial bacterial 241 attachment and infection to late nodule development associated with nitrogen fixation (Wang 242 et al 2012). The rhizobium species of the three isolates were not determined in this study but 243 it can be concluded that the three isolates had specificity the three crops. 244 The N derived from the atmosphere by the plant with and without the introduced 245 rhizobia on the field was between 51-56 % which was within the range reported for other

- 246 legumes (Abaidoo et al 2017). Although there was no significance difference in the Ndfa (%)
- through inoculation, the N fixed in the soil was significantly influenced by rhizobial strains.
- 248 The introduced indigenous rhizobial strains IDC8 and OISA-6E performed better than the

249 resident indigenous rhizobia. This is an indication that the use of this isolated indigenous 250 bacteria for inoculation of lablab in the derived savanna of Nigeria could provide more N for 251 the soil especially where the residue are returned back to the soil. Biomass dry weight and N 252 uptake was greatly improved by IDC8 compared to the resident native rhizobia. Yield 253 increase were also observed in some legumes inoculated with isolated strains of indigenous 254 rhizobia even in soil with high population of resident indigenous rhizobia (Mostasso et al., 255 2002, Mrabet et al, 2005, Mulas et al., 2011). The isolated indigenous strain IDC8 performed 256 better than the combination of IRj 2180A and R25B, the exotic strains, in terms of biomass 257 dry weight, nodule formation and N uptake. Of the three indigenous that were tested, it is 258 only IDC8 that was better than the exotic strains. This is an indication that some indigenous 259 rhizobial strains may compete favourably or out-performed some of the exotic strains that are 260 in use for farmers.

261 Conclusion

The introduced indigenous rhizobial strains could effectively fixed N in lablab in the derived savanna of Nigeria. The extent of the performance of the indigenous rhizobia can be influenced by the strain type coupled with other plant and soil factors. Screening for the effectiveness of more indigenous rhizobia can provide more effective strains than the exotic ones. With better biological N fixation by lablab using effective rhizobial strains such as IDC8, the crop can serve a dual purpose of enriching the soil and providing forage for the farmers.

269 **References**

Abaidoo RC, Keyser HH, Singleton PW, Dashiell KE, Sanginga N. Population size,
distribution, and symbiotic characteristics of indigenous Bradyrhizobium spp. that
nodulate TGx soybean genotypes in Africa. Applied Soil Ecology. 2007; 35: 57–67.

- Abaidoo RC, Dare MO, Killani S, Opoku A. Evaluation of early maturing cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) germplasm for variation in phosphorus use efficiency and biological nitrogen fixation potential with indigenous rhizobial populations. J. Agric. Sci (Camb) 2017; 155: 102 116.
- Adebisi AA, Bosch CH. Lablab purpureus (L.) Sweet. In: Grubben GJH, Denton OA, editors.
 Plant Resources of Tropical Africa (PROTA), no. 2, vegetables. PROTA Foundation,
 Wageningen, The Netherlands / Backhuys, Leiden, The Netherlands / CTA,
- 280 Wageningen, The Netherlands, pp 343–348. 2004.
- Andrews M, Andrews ME. Specificity in legume-rhizobium symbioses. Int. J of Mol. Sci.
 282 2017; 18: 705.
- Bray RH, Kurtz LT. Determination of total organic and available form of phosphorus in soils.
 Soil Science Journal. 1945; 59: 39-45.
- Bremmer JM, Mulvaney CS. Nitrogen-Total. Methods of soil analysis II. A.L. Page., R. H.
 Miller and D. R. Keeney. Eds. Agronomy Journal. 1982; 9: 595-624.
- Brockwell J, Botteonley PJ, Thies JE. Manipulation of rhizobia microflora for improving
 legume productivity and soil fertility: a critical assessment. Plant and Soil 174: 1995;
- 289 143 150.
- 290 Chang YL, Wang JY, Wang ET, Liu HC, Sui XH, Chen WX. Bradyrhizobium lablabi sp.
- 291 nov., isolated from effective nodules of Lablab purpureus and Arachis hypogaea. Int.
 292 J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 2011; 61: 2496–2502.
- 293 Cook BG, Pengelly BC, Brown SD, Donnelly JL, Eagles DA, FrancoMA, Hanson J, Mullen
- 294 BF, Partridge IJ, Peters M, Schultze-Kraft R. Tropical forages: an interactive selection
- tool [CD-ROM],CSIRO, DPI&F(Qld), CIAT and ILRI, Brisbane, Australia. 2005.
- 296 Accessed Feb 15 2016 Available: <u>http://www.tropical-forages.org</u>

- 297 Eaglesham, RJ. Comparison of nodulation promiscuity of US and Asian-type soyabean. 298 Tropical Agriculture. (Trinidad) 1985; 62: 105 – 109.
- 299 FAO. Grassland species index. Lablab purpureus. 2012. Accessed June 6 2012. Available: 300 http://www.fao.org/ag/AGP/AGPC/doc/Gbase/DATA/Pf000047.HTM
- 301 Herridge, DF. Legume Nitrogen fixation. In Methods to measure legume nitrogen fixaton. 302

Workshop Handbook 1994.NWFP. Agriculture University Peshawar, Pakistan. 1982.

- 303 IITA. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Manual series 1982; 7:15-17.
- 304 Kumar TKD, Rao ARM, Reddy SM, Srivastava HP. Ram-Reddy S. Effect of host plant on 305 rhizobial populations. Biotechnology ("Prof. K.S. Bilgramm. Microbial 306 Commemoration) 1997; 11: 79 – 82.
- 307 Maass BL, Knox MR, Venkatesha SC, Angessa TT, Ramme S, Pengelly BC. Lablab 308 purpureus-a crop lost for Africa? Trop. Plant Biol. 2010; 3(3):123–135.
- 309 MacColl D. Studies in maize (Zea mays) at Bunda, Malawi. III. Yield in rotation with pasture 310 legumes. Experimental Agriculture. 1990; 26: 263–271.
- 311 McDonald LM, Wright P, MacLeod DA, Nitrogen fixation by lablab (Lablab purpureus) and 312 lucerne (Medicago sativa) rotation crops in an irrigated cotton farming system.
- 313 Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture. 2001; 41(2): 219-225.
- 314 N2Africa. Establishment of rhizobial inoculant production factories in Africa (Internet).
- 315 UR. 2013. 3 2014. Available: Wageningen Accessed Jan
- 316 http://www.n2africa.org/content/establishment-rhizobial-inoculant-production-
- 317 factories-africa/
- 318 National Research Council Lost Crops of Africa: Volume II: Vegetables. Washington, DC:
- 319 The National Academies Press, 2006. doi:10.17226/11763

- Nelson DW, Sommers LE. Total Carbon, Organic matter. In: Page AL, editor. Methods of
 soil analysis Part 2. Agronomy Monograph 9 2nd ed. Madison, Wisconsin: American
 Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America; 1982. 539-579.
- Nworgu FC, Ajayi FT. Biomass, dry matter yield, proximate and mineral composition of
 forage legumes grown as early dry season feeds. Livestock Research for Rural
 Development 2005. 17(11) Accessed Nov 14 2014. Available:
 http://cipay.org.co/lrrd/lrrd17/11/nwor17121.htm]
- Odunze AC, Tarawali SA, de Haan NC, Akoueguon GE, Amadji AF, Schultze-Kraft R,
 Bawa GS. Forage legumes for soil productivity enhancement and quality fodder
 production. J Food Agric Environ. 2004; 2(2):201–209.
- Okalebo JR, Gathua KW, Woomer PL. Laboratory methods of soil and plant analysis: A
 working manual. Tropical Soil Biology and Fertility Programme, UNESCO. Nairobi,
 Kenya: EPZ Publishers. 1993.
- Roughley RJ, Gemell LG, Thompson JA, Brockwell J. The number of Bradyrhizobium
 species (Lupinus) applied to seed and its effect on rhizosphere, colonization,
 nodulation and yield of Lupin. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 1993; 25: 1453 –
 1458.
- Sanginga, N. Role of biological nitrogen fixation in legume based cropping system; a case
 study of West Africa farming systems. Plant and Soil. 2003; 252: 25 39.
- Sanginga N, Thottappilly G, Dashiell K. Effectiveness of rhizobia nodulating recent
 promiscuous soyabean selection in the moist savanna of Nigeria. Soil Biology and
 Biochemistry 2000; 32(1): 127 133.
- Sanginga N, Okogun JA, Akobundu IO, Kang BT. Phosphorus requirement and nodulation of
 herbaceous and shrub legumes in low P soils of a Guinea savannah in Nigeria.
 Applied Soil Ecology. 1996; 3: 247-255.


- SAS. SAS/STAT.Guide for personal computers, Version 9.1 Edition,1028 pp Cary, NC,SAS.
 Institute Inc. 2003.
- 347 Schaaffhausen RV. Dolichos lablab or hyacinth bean: its uses for feed, food and soil
 348 improvement. Econ Bot. 1963; 17:146–153.
- 349 Sheahan CM. Plant guide for lablab (*Lablab purpureus*). USDA-Natural Resources
 350 Conservation Service, Cape May Plant Materials Center. Cape May, NJ. 2012.
- Slattery JF, Pearce DJ. Effects of resident rhizobia communities and soil type on the effective
 nodulation of pulse legumes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 2004; 36: 1339 1346.
- Somasegaran P, Hohen HJ. Handbook for Rhizobia: Method in Legume-Rhizobium
 Technology. University of Hawaii NifTAL Project 1000 Holomua Road Paia, HI
 USA. 1994.
- Steenkamp ET, Stepkowski T, Przymusiak A, Botha WJ, Law IJ. Cowpea and peanut in
 southern Africa are nodulated by diverse Bradyrhizobium strains harboring
 nodulation genes that belong to the large pantropical clade common in Africa. Mol.
 Phylogenet. Evol. 2008; 48: 1131–1144.
- USDA. A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys.
 Agriculture Handbook 436, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2006. USDA
 Accessed Nov. 14 2012. Available:
- 363 <u>www.intersciencewiley.com/journal/18981858/abstract</u>.
- 364 Tarawali G. Residual effect of Stylosanthes fodder banks on grain yield of maize. Tropical
 365 Grasslands. 1991; 25: 26–31.
- 366 Thies JE, Singleton PW, Bohlool SB. Influence of the size of indigenous rhizobia population
- 367 on establishment and symbiotic performance of introduced rhizobia on field legumes.
- 368 Applied and Environmental Microbiology 1991; 57(1): 19 28.

- Wang D, Yang S, Tang F, Zhu H. Symbiotic specificity in the legume: rhizobium mutualism.
 Cell Microbiol. 2012; 14(3): 334-342.
- Yousef AN, Al-Nassir AS, Al-Azawi SK, Abdull-Hussain N. Abundance of peanut rhizobia
 as affected by environmental conditions in Iraq. Soil Biol. Biochem. 1987; 19: 391–
 373 396.
- Zaharan IH. Rhizobium-legume symbiosis and nitrogen fixation under severe conditions and
 in an arid climate. Microbiology and molecular Biology Reviews 1999; 63: 968 –
 989.


| Soil properties | Idi Ayunre | UITRF |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|
| pH (KCl) | 6.55 | 5.76 |
| Total N (g kg ⁻¹) | 0.23 | 0.08 |
| Available P (mgkg ⁻¹) | 0.42 | 0.13 |
| Ca (cmolkg ⁻¹) | 7.87 | 4.84 |
| Mg (cmol kg ⁻¹) | 2.59 | 1.65 |
| K (cmolkg ⁻¹) | 0.83 | 0.85 |
| Na (cmol kg) | 0.44 | 0.43 |
| $Fe (mg/kg^{-1})$ | 25.34 | 26.29 |
| Mn (mg/ kg ⁻¹) | 14.74 | 12.38 |
| Sand (gkg ⁻¹) | 645.0 | 812.5 |
| Clay (gkg ⁻¹) | 185.0 | 100.0 |
| Silt (gkg ⁻¹) | 170.0 | 87.5 |
| Textural class | Sandy loam | Loamy sand |

377 Table 1. Soil physical and chemical properties of the experimental locations

378



385





387 Figure 1: Rhizobial population in the studied locations (Error bar represents LSD at P=0.05)

388 Table 2. Biomass dry weight, nodulation and N derived from atmosphere of lablab as affected

| Treatment | | Biomass | Number | Nodule | |
|-----------|------------|------------|---------|------------|--------|
| | | dry weight | of | dry weight | NDFA |
| | | (g/pot) | nodules | (g/pot) | (%) |
| Soil type | | | | | |
| | ldi Ayunre | 12.95a | 27.28b | 2.13a | 59.75a |
| | UITRF | 8.77b | 40.28a | 1.60b | 43.57b |

389 by soil type, sterilization and rhizobial inoculation in pot experiment

| | P value | <mark>0.0001</mark> | <mark>0.0001</mark> | <mark>0.004</mark> | <mark>0.003</mark> |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Sterilization | | | | | |
| | Partially sterile | 11.36a | 28.69b | 1.20b | 50.48 |
| | Unsterile | 10.36b | 38.86a | 2.53a | 52.84 |
| | P value | <mark>0.05</mark> | <mark>0.0001</mark> | <mark>0.0001</mark> | <mark>0.63</mark> |
| Rhizobial Inoculation | | | | | |
| | OISa-6e | 9.88 | 30.58b | 2.08a | 49.96 |
| | IDC8 | 11.78 | 41.33a | 1.97a | 52.29 |
| | IRJ 2180A | 11.37 | 37.25ab | 1.98a | 53.16 |
| | R25 | 10.72 | 39.83a | 2.02a | 54.40 |
| | TRC2 | 10.03 | 36.25ab | 2.07a | 51.39 |
| | Control | 11.39 | 17.42c | 1.09b | 48.76 |
| | P value | <mark>0.16</mark> | <mark>0.0001</mark> | <mark>0.04</mark> | <mark>0.73</mark> |

390 Values followed by the same alphabet are not significantly different (P=0.05)

391 Table 3. Interactive effect of soil type, sterilization and rhizobial inoculation on biomass dry

392 weight and nodulation in pot experiment

| Coil | Dhizahial | Biomas | s dry weight | Number | of nodule | Nodule | dry weight |
|--------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|---------|-----------|---------|------------|
| Soil type | Rhizobial inoculation | (g/pot) | | | | g/pot | |
| | | Sterile | Unsterile | Sterile | Unsterile | Sterile | Unsterile |
| ldi Ayu | OISa-6e | 10.58 | 11.78 | 20.33 | 18.00 | 0.89 | 4.08 |
| | IDC8 | 15.20 | 12.99 | 36.67 | 28.33 | 1.65 | 2.43 |
| nre | IRj 2180A | 15.71 | 11.94 | 41.00 | 29.33 | 1.89 | 2.55 |
| | R25 | 11.22 | 12.99 | 12.33 | 56.00 | 0.66 | 4.10 |
| | TRC2 | 12.73 | 11.06 | 16.67 | 37.00 | 1.47 | 3.55 |
| | Control | 17.90 | 11.37 | 10.00 | 21.67 | 0.40 | 1.94 |
| UITRF | OISa-6e | 9.06 | 8.10 | 38.67 | 45.33 | 1.36 | 1.98 |
| | IDC8 | 8.61 | 10.34 | 40.00 | 60.33 | 1.08 | 2.71 |
| | IRj 2180A | 9.04 | 8.80 | 28.67 | 50.00 | 1.18 | 2.32 |
| | | | | | | | |

| R25 | 10.26 | 8.40 | 45.33 | 45.67 | 1.57 | 1.74 |
|---------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|------|
| TRC2 | 7.99 | 8.34 | 42.67 | 48.67 | 1.42 | 1.86 |
| Control | 8.06 | 8.24 | 12.00 | 26.00 | 0.86 | 1.15 |
| SE | 4.57 | | 8.92 | | 0.34 | |

Table 4. Nodulation and N fixation as affected by rhizobial inoculation at UITRF fieldexperiment, Ibadan


| | Number o | f | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Rhizobial inoculation | nodule | Ndfa (%) | N fixed (kg/ha) |
| OISa-6e | 76.0a | 54.37 | 83.6bc |
| IDC8 | 76.0a | 56.16 | 119.0a |
| R25B+IRj2180A | 54.7b | 56.0 | 94.3ab |
| TRC2 | 50.7bc | 51.24 | 58.8cd |
| Control | 34.0c | 55.7 | 48.7d |
| P value | <mark>0.001</mark> | <mark>0.36</mark> | <mark>0.001</mark> |

396 Means within column followed by the same alphabet are not significantly different (P=0.05)

397 Table 5. Nitrogen and P uptake and content as affected by rhizobial inoculation at UITRF

398 field experiment

| Rhizobial | N content | P content | N uptake | P uptake |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| inoculation | (% dm¹) | (% dm) | (kg/ha) | (kg/ha) |
| OISa-6e | 3.12 | 0.37 | 153.1ab | 18.5 |
| IDC8 | 3.09 | 0.38 | 216.0a | 26.1 |
| R25B | 2.53 | 0.28 | 159.9ab | 17.6 |
| TRC2 | 2.88 | 0.42 | 110.3b | 17.3 |
| Control | 3.02 | 0.29 | 104.0b | 12.9 |
| | | | | |



407 Figure 2: Biomass dry weights of lablab treated with different strains of rhizobium (Error bar

⁴⁰⁸ represents LSD at P=0.05).