

2 **Effect of Phospho compost and Nitrophospho-Sulpho compost on soil chemical**  
3 **and biological properties under soybean in Vertisols**

4  
5 **ABSTRACT**

6 The present investigation entitled "Effect of Phospho compost and  
7 Nitrophospho-Sulpho compost on soil chemical and biological properties under soybean  
8 in Vertisols" was conducted at Research farm, Dr.PDKV, Akola during Kharif 2016. The  
9 experiment was laid in **Randomised Complete Block Design** with eight treatments and  
10 three replications. **The treatments used were control, 100% Recommended Dose of**  
11 **fertilizers (RDF) [30:75:30 NPK/ha] in form of Diammonium Phosphate and Muriate of**  
12 **Potash, 50% P through Phospho Compost + Remaining RDF through mineral Fertilizers,**  
13 **25% P through Phospho Compost + Remaining RDF through mineral fertilizers, 50% P**  
14 **through Nitro Phospho Sulpho compost + Remaining RDF through mineral Fertilizers, 25%**  
15 **P through Nitro Phospho Sulpho compost + Remaining RDF through mineral**  
16 **Fertilizers, 100% P through Phospho Compost, 100% P through Nitro Phospho Sulpho**  
17 **compost.** An organic source like Phospho compost and Nitrophospho- Sulpho compost  
18 were applied. The result revealed available nutrient status of Nitrogen ( $253.60 \text{ Kg ha}^{-1}$ )  
19 Sulphur ( $15.73 \text{ mg Kg}^{-1}$ ) were recorded the highest values significantly under 100%  
20 application of P through Nitrophospho-Sulpho compost, numerically higher available P  
21 ( $21.77 \text{ Kg ha}^{-1}$ ) with 25% P through Nitrophospho-Sulpho compost + remaining RDF  
22 through mineral fertilizers and available K ( $407.29 \text{ Kg ha}^{-1}$ ) was recorded with 100% RDF.  
23 In case of biological properties Soil Microbial Biomass Carbon ( $227.85$  and  $230.60 \text{ mg Kg}^{-1}$ )  
24  $^1$ ), Soil Microbial Biomass Nitrogen ( $43.90$  and  $47.20 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) at flowering and pod  
25 formation stage of soybean 100% P through NPS recorded highest values respectively.  
26 Hence, the combination of organics and inorganics showed better soil available nutrients and  
27 biological properties.

28 **Keywords:** Nitrophospho-sulpho compost, Phosphocompost, Soil Microbial Biomass Carbon,  
29 Soil Microbial Biomass Nitrogen, Vertisols.

30

31

32 **Introduction:**

33 The soil is home to a large proportion of the world's biodiversity. The links between soil  
34 organisms and soil functions are observed to be incredibly complex. The  
35 interconnectedness and complexity of the soil 'food web' mean any appraisal of soil  
36 function must necessarily take into account interactions with the living communities that  
37 exist within the soil. The soil organisms break down organic matter, making nutrients  
38 available for uptake by plants and other organisms. The nutrient stored in bodies of soil  
39 organisms prevent nutrient loss by leaching microbial exudates which acts to maintain  
40 the physical soil conditions.(Manna and Ganguly ,1998)

41 Soybean is one of the vital crop in the world cultivated over an area of  
42 71.85 million hectares with a production of 154.32 million tons.In India ,Soybean is  
43 grown over an area of 6 million tons. All India estimated production for Kharif 2016 was  
44 118 Lakh Million tonnes compared to 104.36 Lakh Million tonnes in  
45 2015.(Anonymous,2015) Soybean builds up the soil fertility by fixing a significant  
46 amount of atmospheric nitrogen through the root nodule and also through leaf fall on the  
47 ground at maturity.

48 A phospho-compost application is essential concerning soil fertility and  
49 plant nutrition and also for increasing biological activity in soil. Because enzyme  
50 produced by microorganisms are directly responsible for reducing the activation energy  
51 necessary to break down the bonds of different organic materials. The phosphorous  
52 applied in the form of phospho-compost, as compared to rock phosphate and super  
53 phosphate increases microbial activity. The probable chelating effect from phospho-  
54 composting increased the phosphorous use efficiency and resulted in higher relative  
55 agronomic efficiency in phospho-compost.(Randhawa and Arora,1997)

56 Increase in an application of phosphorus and sulphur in the soil increases  
57 the availability of phosphorus and sulphur from native as well as applied sources and  
58 have both synergistic and antagonistic relationship.(Randhawa and Arora,1997)

59 Enzymes are the direct mediators for biological catabolism of soil organic  
60 and mineral components. Thus, these catalysts provide a meaningful assessment of  
61 reaction rates for essential soil processes. Soil enzyme activity is often closely related to

62 soil organic matter, soil physical properties and microbial activity. Changes much  
 63 sooner than other parameters, thus providing an early indication of soil health. Also, soil  
 64 enzyme activities can be used as a measure of microbial activity, soil productivity and  
 65 inhibiting effects of pollutants(Tate,1995).

66 **Materials and methods:**

67 The present investigation was undertaken at Research Farm of  
 68 Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Dr.  
 69 PanjabraoDeshmukhKrishiVidyapeeth , Akola during Kharif season of 2016-17. The  
 70 experimental soil was developed on basaltic platue on plain land and classified under  
 71 Vertisols.

72 **Table 1 : Treatment Details of an experiment.**

SI. no.	Treatment details
T <sub>1</sub>	Control
T <sub>2</sub>	100%Recommended Dose of Mineral Fertilizers(RDF) [30:75:30 NPK] using DAP and MOP
T <sub>3</sub>	50% P through PC +Remaining RDF through mineral Fertilizers
T <sub>4</sub>	25% P through PC+ Remaining RDF through mineral Fertilizers
T <sub>5</sub>	50% P through NPS +Remaining RDF through mineral Fertilizers
T <sub>6</sub>	25% P through NPS +Remaining RDF through mineral Fertilizers
T <sub>7</sub>	100% P through PC
T <sub>8</sub>	100% P through NPS

- 73 RDF- Recommended Dose of Fertilizer.
- 74 PC - Phospho Compost
- 75 NPS – Nitro-phospho - Sulpho compost
- 76 DAP- Diammonium Phosphate
- 77 MOP- Muriate of Potash

78 The nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium applied in the form of Urea,  
 79 Diammonium phosphate , Muriate of potash respectively and also in combination with  
 80 organic manures i.ePhospho-Compost and Nitrophospho-Sulpho compost.

81 **Table 2 : Nutrient content of Phosphocompost and nitro phospho-sulpho**  
 82 **compost on oven dry basis (2016)**

<i>Organics</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>K</i>	<i>S</i>	<i>C:N</i> <sup>83</sup>
<i>Phosphocompost</i>	0.80	1.65	0.68	0.39	20.44
<i>Nitro phospho Sulpho compost</i>	1.85	1.76	0.92	1.58	19.3084

86 Available nitrogen was determined by alkaline potassium permanganate method  
 87 (Subbiah and Asija, 1956). Available phosphorous was determined calorimetrically by  
 88 Olsen’s method (Jackson, 1967). Available potassium was determined using flame  
 89 photometer by neutral normal ammonium acetate method (Jackson,1967).Available  
 90 sulphur was determined by turbidimetric method by Morgan’s reagent using  
 91 spectrophotometer (Jackson,1967)

92 Soil Microbial Biomass Carbon wasdetermined by Modified direct  
 93 extraction method (Jenkinson and Ladd,1981).Soil Microbial Biomass Carbon was  
 94 determined by Chloroform fumigation and extraction method (Jenkinson and Powlson  
 95 ,1976)

96 The data was subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) in Randomized  
 97 Block Design with 8 treatments and 3 replications as per standard statistical method  
 98 and standard error was used to calculate Critical Difference to know the significant  
 99 different among treatments and mean were separated by using F test (Panse and  
 100 Sukhatme ,1985)

101 **Results and Discussion:**

102 ‘Nutrients’ may be defined as the chemical compounds required by an organism for it’s  
 103 growth and development. The available nutrients should be optimally allocated among  
 104 the crop to get maximum returns by allowing optimization of nutrient production function  
 105 which relate the crop response to applied nutrients under given soil, climate, especially  
 106 rainfall and management factor.

107 **Available Nitrogen**

108 The N needs of soybean are quite high due to the higher protein content in  
109 soybean grain. The main sources of N that are available to meet the N needs of  
110 soybeans are the atmosphere and the soil. In some cases, commercial fertilizers and/or  
111 manure may also be used to meet N needs of soybean. The data from the Table 3  
112 revealed that the soil available nitrogen ranged from 220.57. to 253.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The  
113 significantly highest available nitrogen content after harvest of crop was noticed in the  
114 treatment of application 100% P through NPS (253.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). However, it was at par  
115 with the treatment T<sub>7</sub> 100% P through NPS. There were significantly increase in  
116 available nitrogen content in all the treatments over control which was at par with each  
117 other. The increase in fertilizer dose in combination with organic manure in the form of  
118 both compost resulted in increase in soil available nitrogen content.

119 Similar results was observed by Sharma *et al.* (2007) who reported that  
120 available nitrogen content of soil increase with combined application of organics and  
121 inorganics.

122

### 123 **Available Phosphorous**

124 The term available phosphorus refers to the inorganic form occurring in  
125 soil solution which is almost exclusively 'Orthophosphate'. This Orthophosphate occurs  
126 in several forms and combinations. The availability of P is considered to be a fairly good  
127 indicator or measure of the P supplying capacity of soil.

128 The data pertaining to soil available phosphorous content is presented  
129 in Table 3. The available phosphorous content ranged from 15.92 to 21.77 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.  
130 The significantly highest available phosphorous content (21.77 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded  
131 by the treatment where 25% P through nitro phospho-sulpho compost +remaining  
132 RDF through mineral fertilizers was applied which is followed by the treatment of  
133 application of 100% P through NPS (T<sub>8</sub>).However these two treatments were  
134 statistically at par and also with all other treatments T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>,T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>. There were  
135 slight increase in available phosphorous content in remaining treatments except  
136 control were observed due to the addition of combination of both the compost along

137 with inorganic fertilizer.

138 The significantly lowest soil available phosphorous content (15.92 kg  
139 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in control treatment. The increase in soil available phosphorous  
140 content may be due to the addition of 100% RDF as well as addition of organic  
141 manures in various combinations with inorganic fertilizer as obtained by  
142 Sharma *et al.*(2007)

### 143 **Available Potassium**

144 Soils contains large amounts of K but only a small parts usually less than  
145 1% of the total K is in exchangeable form and much smaller amounts are in soil  
146 solution. Most of the K in the soil is present in the non exchangeable forms. The slowly  
147 and readily available forms of K may comprise a substantial portion of the K that is  
148 available for plant uptake during the growing season.

149 The data on soil available potassium content is presented in Table 3. The  
150 available potassium content in soil is ranged from 383.67 to 407.29 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The  
151 significantly highest potassium content after harvest of soybean was reported in the  
152 treatment of application of 100% RDF which is followed by the treatment where 50% P  
153 through NPS + Remaining through mineral fertilizer is applied 398kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>5</sub>).

154 However, the available soil potassium content in soil in all treatments  
155 100%, 50% and 25% P through PC and NPS and 100% RDF were found statistically at  
156 par. The lowest value of available soil potassium content was reported in the treatment  
157 where no fertilizer was applied (T<sub>1</sub>).

158 The combinations of organic and inorganic fertilizer have increased the  
159 available soil potassium content in the experimental soil. This may be due to balanced  
160 fertilization. Similar result was found by Shivkumar and Ahlawat (2008).

### 161 **Available Sulphur**

162 Most of the sulphur in soils is found soil organicmatter. However, it is not  
 163 available to plants in this form. In order to become available to plants, the sulphur must  
 164 be first released from the organic matter and go through mineralization process.

165 The result on soil available sulphur content is presented in Table 3.  
 166 Available sulphur content in soil after harvest of soybean is ranged from 10.56 to 15.73  
 167 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup>.The significantly highest available sulphur content (15.73 mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was  
 168 recorded in the treatment of application of 100 % P through NPS (T<sub>8</sub>) followed by 100%  
 169 P through PC (T<sub>7</sub>) i.e. 14.08 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup> .

170 Significant increase in the available sulphur content was recorded in all  
 171 the treatment where 100% RDF, both the compost in the tune of 25% to 50% in  
 172 combination with fertilizers which were at par with each other.

173 Significantly lowest available sulphur was reported in control treatment.  
 174 The increase in sulphur content in various treatments may be due to addition of organic  
 175 matter in the form of Phospho-compost and Nitrophospho-sulpho compost the similar  
 176 trend of increase in available sulphur after harvest of crop was reported by Singh and  
 177 Pramodkumar (2011).

178 The results on available nutrient status showed that incorporation of organic  
 179 source along with inorganic source helps to get good stabilized nutrient status in soil.

180 **Table 3:Effect of various treatments on nutrient status of soil after harvest of**  
 181 **soybean**

Treatments		Av. N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Av. P (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Av. K (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Av. S (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	220.57	15.92	383.67	10.56
T <sub>2</sub>	100% RDF	241.47	21.25	407.29	13.09
T <sub>3</sub>	50% P through PC + Remaining P through chemical fertilizers	234.51	20.43	386.63	13.92
T <sub>4</sub>	25% P through PC + Remaining P through chemical fertilizer	245.12	21.00	388.14	13.95

$T_5$	50% P through NPS + Remaining P through chemical fertilizer	240.60	21.01	398.00	14.71
$T_6$	25% P through NPS + Remaining P through chemical fertilizer	242.76	21.77	388.37	14.00
$T_7$	100 % P through PC	250.40	20.40	385.48	14.08
$T_8$	100 % P through NPS	253.60	20.81	392.75	15.73
	SE(m)±	<b>1.82</b>	<b>1.10</b>	<b>2.25</b>	<b>0.50</b>
	CD at 5 %	<b>5.50</b>	<b>3.34</b>	<b>6.81</b>	<b>1.51</b>

182 Initial status: Av. N - 215.12 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, Av. P -10.32 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, Av.K- 374.12 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

183 Av. S -8.45 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

#### 184 **Effect on Soil Microbial Biomass Carbon (SMBC) activity**

185 Microbial biomass carbon is a measure of the carbon (C) contained within  
186 the living component of soil organic matter (i.e. bacteria and fungi).Microbes  
187 decompose soil organic matter releasing carbon dioxide and plant available nutrients.  
188 Farming systems that maximize organic matter returns to soil and minimize soil  
189 disturbance tends to increase the microbial biomass. Soil properties such as pH, clay,  
190 and the availability of organic carbon all influence the size of the microbial biomass.

191 **Table 4 : Effect of different treatments on Soil Microbial Biomass Carbon Activity**  
192 **at flowering and pod formation stage**

Treatments		SMBC (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
		Flowering stage	Pod formation stage
$T_1$	Control	193.52	198.30
$T_2$	100% RDF	206.19	210.53
$T_3$	50% P through PC + Remaining P through chemical fertilizers	217.56	220.27
$T_4$	25% P through PC + Remaining P through chemical fertilizer	212.07	216.80



$T_5$	50% P through NPS + Remaining P through chemical fertilizer	218.55	221.47
$T_6$	25% P through NPS + Remaining P through chemical fertilizer	214.62	218.67
$T_7$	100 % P through PC	223.88	227.93
$T_8$	100 % P through NPS	227.85	230.60
	SE(m)±	<b>0.80</b>	<b>2.63</b>
	CD at 5 %	<b>2.43</b>	<b>7.95</b>

193

194 The Soil Microbial Biomass Carbon of the soil as affected by various treatment of crop  
 195 is presented in Table 4 . Soil Microbial Biomass Carbon in soil at soybean flowering  
 196 stage ranged from 193.52 to 227.85 mg kg<sup>1</sup>.The significantly highest SMBC (227.85 mg  
 197 kg<sup>-1</sup>) was observed with 100% nitrophospho-sulpho compost (T<sub>8</sub>).followed by the  
 198 treatment-(T<sub>7</sub>)100% phospho-compost was applied i.e. 223.88 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>.

199 The treatment with 100% P through PC, 50% and 25% p through PC and  
 200 NPS and 100% RDF showed at par results with each other.

201 The lowest SMBC (193.52mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in the control treatment,  
 202 which is at par with all other treatments.

203 The soil Microbial Biomass Carbon at pod formation stage of soybean  
 204 crop showed values ranged from 198.30 to 230.60 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup> with highest value of 230.60  
 205 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> with application of 100% P through Nitro phospho-Sulpho compost followed by  
 206 treatment T<sub>7</sub> with 100% P through PC which is at par with each other.

207 The treatment with 25% and 50% P through PC and NPS and 100% RDF  
 208 showed statistically at par results with each other and also with lowest value of 198.30  
 209 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup> which was the control treatment.

210 The similar result was observed by Sarode and More (2010) and Manna  
 211 and Ganguly (1998) where combined application of various source of organic manures  
 212 like FYM, Compost and oil cakes showed good activity than the untreated plots.

213 **Effect on Soil Microbial Biomass Nitrogen (SMBN) activity**

214 The microbial biomass consists mostly of bacteria and fungi, which  
 215 decompose crop residues and organic matter in soil. This process releases nutrients,  
 216 such as nitrogen (N), into the soil that are available for plant uptake The residues of  
 217 legume crops can increase microbial biomass due to their greater N contents. Rotations  
 218 that have longer pasture phases increase microbial biomass because soil disturbance is  
 219 reduced.

220 Soil Microbial Biomass Nitrogen activity at different stages of soybean  
 221 plant growth is presented in table 5. SMBN activity at flowering stage of soybean crop  
 222 shows lowest to highest values of 30.29 to 43.90 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup> .The highest activity is shown  
 223 in treatment T<sub>8</sub> with application of 100% P through Nitrophospho-sulpho compost (43.90  
 224 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup>) followed by treatment with 100% P through phospho compost (38.75 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup> )

225 **Table 5: Effect of different treatments on Soil Microbial Biomass Nitrogen SMBN**  
 226 **Activity at flowering and pod formation stage**

Treatments		SMBN (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
		Flowering stage	Pod formation stage
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	30.29	32.42
T <sub>2</sub>	100% RDF	35.26	37.86
T <sub>3</sub>	50% P through PC + Remaining P through chemical fertilizers	33.13	36.02
T <sub>4</sub>	25% P through PC + Remaining P through chemical fertilizer	33.99	37.35
T <sub>5</sub>	50% P through NPS + Remaining P through chemical fertilizer	34.56	35.98
T <sub>6</sub>	25% P through NPS + Remaining P through chemical fertilizer	34.40	38.93
T <sub>7</sub>	100 % P through PC	38.75	42.93
T <sub>8</sub>	100 % P through NPS	43.90	47.20
	SE(m)±	<b>0.64</b>	<b>1.12</b>

227

228 SMBN activity with 100% P through PC, 25% and 50% P through PC and  
229 NPS and 100% RDF treated treatment were at par with each other. However the lowest  
230 enzyme activity is seen in control unit (30.29 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup>).

231 Soil Microbial Biomass Nitrogen activity at flowering stage is found to  
232 ranged from 32.42 to 47.20 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup>. Application of 100% P through NPS showed  
233 highest enzyme activity (47.20 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup>) followed by application of 100% P through PC  
234 (42.93 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest activity was noticed with plot without application of fertilizer  
235 or manure (32.42 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with all other treatments with combination  
236 of organics and inorganics.

237 The results showed highest enzyme activity with application of organics in  
238 form of composts, similar results were obtained by Baaruet *al.* (2003) where the  
239 application of organic source like crop residue and organic manure showed highest  
240 SMBN activity than control

241 **Conclusion** : The experiment was carried out with view of determining the chemical  
242 properties and biological properties revealed that Available nutrients and soil enzymatic  
243 activity were better in organic and inorganic balanced supplied plots (50% and 25%) as  
244 well as organics as composts (100%) treated plots showing judicious combination of  
245 organics and inorganics are must for good soil health and soil properties.

#### 246 **References:**

247 1. Anonymous, 2015., Soybean report of India. 45-50

248 2. Baaru W.R, Sinaram P, K. Kumari and S. Pal (2003): Long-term effect of FYM and  
249 fertiliser on enzyme dynamics of soil. Journal of Indian Soc. Soil Sci 43:378-  
250 380

251 3. Jackson, ML., 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall Publication  
252 Pvt.Ltd., New Delhi, India: 426

- 253 4. Jenkinson , D.S. and Powlson D.S,1976. The effects of Biological Treatments on  
254 metabolism in soil by the method for measuring soil biomass. Soil  
255 Biol.Biochem.,8:209-213
- 256 5. Jenkinson, D. S., and Ladd, J. N. 1981. Microbial biomass Nitrogen in soil:  
257 measurement and turnover. Soil biochemistry. 5: 415-417
- 258 6. Manna, M.C. and J.N. Ganguly, 1998. Comparative performance of cowdung slurry,  
259 microbial inoculation and inorganic fertilizer on maize. J. Maharashtra Agric.  
260 Univ. 44 (30 : 526-528.
- 261 7. Panse, V.G. and P.V. Sukhatme, 1985. Statistical Methods for Agricultural  
262 workers. ICAR , New Delhi
- 263 8. Randhawa, N.S and P.N Arora, 1997. Major nutrient research in India. Ferti News.  
264 1:11-19
- 265 9. Sarode, P. B. and S. D. More, 2010. Long term effects of integrated nutrient  
266 management on active pool of soil organic carbon and nutrients. Abst. State  
267 level seminar on soil resource management for sustainable soil health and  
268 food security, January 2-3, 2010. Akola chapter of ISSS, Dept. SSAC, Dr.  
269 PDKV, Akola. pp 7
- 270 10. Sharma, M. B., S. V. Mishra and R. Singh. 2007. Long term effect of fertilizers and  
271 manures on physical and chemical properties of Mollisol. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.  
272 55(4): 523-524
- 273 11. Shivkumar B. G. and Ahlawat I. P. S. (2008) Integrated nutrient management in  
274 soybean (*Glycine max*)-wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) cropping system. Indian J.  
275 Agron., 53 (4): 273-278.
- 276 12. Singh, V.K. and Pramod Kumar 2011. Effect of INM on soil fertility Nutrient uptake  
277 and yield of Rice-pea cropping system on an upland acid soil of zarkhand. J.  
278 Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 59(2) : 158-163.
- 279 13. Subbiah, B.V and G.V. Asija, 1956. A rapid procedure for estimation of available  
280 nitrogen in soils. Current Science. 25: 259-260.
- 281 14. Tate R L , 1995. Soil microbiology. John Wiley, New York