### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_43422
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Humic Acid, Treated Sewage Effluent and Radiation on Canola Growth and Oil Production
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is accepted with minor changes. The study is complete. During the revision were found errors inside manuscript and references list.	
	Title: Is ok	
	Abstract: Is ok:	
	Introduction:  • Is ok, only page 1. Reference Uppstrom, 1995 and Chong et al, 2000 are lacking inside reference list.	
	<ul> <li>Materials and methods:</li> <li>Add latitude and longitude where the experiment was done.</li> <li>Write Canola variety used and describe their main agronomic characteristics.</li> <li>Add experimental design and test used for means comparison, add statistical package and units experimental used for each replicate.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Results and discussion:</li> <li>Is ok, only were observed some error inside the reference in the manuscript.</li> <li>Page 3. The next reference is lacking inside reference list: Peralta-Videa et al., 2002, Zhao et al., 2003, Gardea-Torresday et al., 2004, Turgut et al., 2004, Hajiboland, 2005and Tlustos et al., 2006.</li> <li>Page 6. Aiken et al., 1985, Lobartini et al., 1998, Rose and Wait, 2003 and Willy et al., 2008.</li> <li>Page 7. Fisherov et al., 2005, Lesage et al., 2005, Meers et al 2005 and Finzgar et al., 2006.</li> </ul>	
	References:  • Are ok, but the next references are lacking inside manuscript: Behzad Sani (2005), El-Khateeb MA, et al., 2011, Issariyakul T et al., 2008, Kafeel et al., 2011, Lichtenthaler H.K. (1987), Nasiri, A et al., 2017, Senesi et al, 2007 and Shirahirad and Dehshiri, 2002	
Minor REVISION comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Optional/General comments	

### PART 2:

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?  (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Raúl Leonel Grijalva-Contreras
Department, University & Country	Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales Agrícolas y Pecuarias, Mexico

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)