



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_43110
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of grain Amaranth (Amaranthus hypochondriacus) to combined manure and inorganic fertilizer pellets and non-pellet application on acidic acrisols in Western Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Begin the soil name with a capital letter because it is a proper noun</p> <p>Line 17: Edit degrees to be superscript</p> <p>Line 84: Rephrase to Soil samples were collected from the experimental plots to establish the initial soil fertility status.</p> <p>Line 91: Indicate the reference for the Mehlich method of extraction and outline the methods used to determine the nutrient levels.</p> <p>Line 97; Line 153; Line 182; Line 197; Line 212; Line 234, Line 235: Superscript ⁻¹</p> <p>Line 102: Indicate CAN in brackets after writing it in full for subsequent use as an abbreviation</p> <p>Line 103: Is there a reference for the pelleter?</p> <p>Line 138: Indicate the reference used in rating the nutrient levels as low or high</p> <p>Section 3.1: Discuss the nutrient contents. Are these values comparable to those of other studies done in the area? Why?</p> <p>Line 155: Delete of between leaves and was</p> <p>Line 189: Is there a reference of work done on this?</p> <p>Section 3.3: Discuss your results</p> <p>Lines 261-264: Is there a reference for this?</p> <p>All your references are prior to 2012; Read more on work done since then to add more value to your work</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Title: The title is too long. Consider revising.	
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rebecca Yegon
Department, University & Country	University of Embu, Kenya