

Original Research Article

**Effects of Neem-Based Organic Fertilizer, NPK and their Combinations on Soil Properties and Growth of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) in a Degraded Ultisol of Calabar, Nigeria.**

**ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted at the University of Calabar Teaching and Research Farm to evaluate the effects of neem-based organic fertilizer, NPK and their combinations on soil chemical properties and growth of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) on a degraded ultisols in Calabar. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications comprising of six treatments. The treatments were control (no amendment), NPK applied at 120 kg N/ha, Neem applied at 120 kg N/ha, 60 kg N/ha NPK+ 60 kg N/ha Neem, 90 kg N/ha NPK + 30 kg N/ha Neem and 30 kg N/ha NPK + 90 kg N/ha Neem. Results showed that the soil chemical properties were influenced significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) 60 90 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> NPK+ 60 90 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup>Neem, 90 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup>NPK + 30 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup>, 120 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup>NPK and 120 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> neem-based fertilizer. Soils treated with 60 kg N/ha NPK+ 60 kg N/ha Neem gave a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase in plant height, number of leaves and stem girth at 4, 6 and 8 weeks after planting.

**Keywords:** Integrated nutrient management, Neem-based organic fertilizer, NPK, okra, soil properties, Ultisol

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GS1]:

Comment [GS2]: Need to be clarified

Formatted: Highlight

26

27

## INTRODUCTION

28 The focus of okra farmers in Nigeria is to improve the growth of crops at low cost in order to  
29 maximized income, but they are often faced with the challenge of maintaining soil fertility.

30 Furthermore, the usual methods and practice engaged by them in ameliorating soil nutrient  
31 deficiency has solely been rested upon the use of organic or mineral fertilizers.

32 Soils of Calabar Metropolis in Cross River State are low in organic matter and available nutrients  
33 hence growth and sustainability of crops depreciates over long period of continual cultivation. In  
34 a way to curb the low nutrient content of these soils, luxurious application of mineral fertilizer  
35 has been practiced because of the sandy nature of the soils as the nutrients are easily prone to  
36 leaching which in turn have caused more harm than good.

37 Organic fertilizers improves the soils cation exchange capacity, nutrient stock, base saturation  
38 and improves water retention capacity whereas the inorganic fertilizer supplies the essential plant  
39 nutrient, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium [1,2].

40 Neem cake is an organic fertilizer processed from neem seed kernel and contains adequate  
41 quantity of plant essential elements of 99.9% NPK and 0.01% micro-nutrients [3]. Neem -based  
42 fertilizer which is produced from neem-seed kernel has a narrow C/N ratio of 4.14 which is less  
43 than 25 separating index indicating that the rate of mineralization is high [4].

44 However, sole use of inorganic fertilizers have been reported to cause soil physical degradation,  
45 increased soil acidity, and soil nutrient imbalance while sole use of organic manures has,  
46 however, been reported inadequate, as they are required in rather large quantities to meet crops'  
47 nutrient requirements because of their relatively low nutrient content [5].

48 Contrastingly, combinations of both mineral and organic fertilizer have been encouraged by  
49 many researchers [6,7,8,2]

50 This method is experimented to curb the excessive leaching effect obtained in mineral fertilizer  
51 and the slow mineralization process of organic manures [9]. This study was conducted to  
52 determine the effect of sole and combined use of organic manure from neem and inorganic  
53 fertilizer, NPK on the soil chemical properties and growth of okra. It was also designed to  
54 establish the best combination of these nutrient sources for okra production in a degraded Ultisol  
55 of Calabar, Nigeria.

## 56 MATERIALS AND METHODS

57 The study area

58 The experiment was conducted in June 2016, at two locations within the University of Calabar  
59 Teaching and Research Farm with the coordinates of latitude N 04°57'035", and latitude  
60 E008°21'28.5" at an elevation of 39.39 m above sea level.

61 The study area is in humid tropical environment marked by two distinct seasons namely the rainy  
62 season and the dry season. Calabar is characterized by tropical wet (March to October) and dry  
63 (November to February) seasons. Annual rainfall in Calabar ranges from 1900 mm to 2650 mm,  
64 bimodally distributed with peaks in the months of July and September. There is usually a short  
65 dry spell in August which is referred to as 'August break'. The minimum and maximum  
66 temperatures ranged from 19 - 24<sup>0</sup> C and 28 - 34<sup>0</sup> C respectively. It rarely falls below 21<sup>0</sup> C  
67 except during the harmattan weather (December through January). The minimum and maximum  
68 monthly relative humidity ranges from 39 - 81 % and 52-87 % respectively in the area.

69 The soils of the area are classified as Ultisols [10]. The soils are low in base saturation and are  
70 referred to as highly weathered soils with low basic cations [11].

71

72   **Collection of Research Materials**

73   Neem – based organic fertilizer was obtained from Industrial Material Limited (IML) Kastina  
74   while the NPK fertilizer was sourced from Agricultural Development Programme office Calabar.  
75   The okra seed was also obtained from International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA),  
76   Ibadan Oyo State.

77   **Experimental Design, Treatments and Treatment Allocation**

78   The Experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replicates  
79   comprising of six treatments. The treatments were control (no amendment), NPK applied at 120  
80   kg N/ha, Neem applied at 120 kg N/ha, 60 kg N/ha NPK+ 60 kg N/ha Neem, 90 kg N/ha NPK +  
81   30 kg N/ha Neem and 30 kg N/ha NPK + 90 kg N/ha Neem. The Neem- based organic fertilizer  
82   was incorporated into the soil bed 4 days before planting to allow mineralization of nutrients.  
83   The inorganic fertilizer source, NPK 20:10:10 was applied 2 weeks after planting.

84   **Planting and Maintenance of the Experimental Units**

85   The okra seeds were sown at a spacing of 50 x 50 cm to give 48 stands/plot.  
86   Soils data were collected before and after experiment while the growth parameter was collected  
87   at 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 weeks after planting.

88   **Growth Data and Soil Sample Collection**

89   Growth data such as plant height, Number of leaves and stem girth were collected on plant basis.  
90   From the Centre of each plot five plant were selected and tagged for determination of plant  
91   height, quantity of leaves per plant and stem girth diameter at 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 weeks after  
92   planting.

93

94

## 95    **Soil Analysis**

96    The following analyses were carried out on the soil samples collected before and after  
97    experiment using standard procedures as described by [12]. Particle size distribution was  
98    determined by the Bouyoucous hydrometer method. Soil pH was determined using a ratio of 1:2  
99    in soil-water medium and read with a digital pH meter. Organic carbon content was determined  
100    by Walkley-Black dichromate oxidation method. Organic matter was obtained by multiplying  
101    total carbon by a factor of 1.724. Total nitrogen (N) was determined by the micro-kjedahl  
102    method. Available phosphorus (P) was extracted by the Bray 1 extraction method, and the  
103    content of P was determined colorimetrically using a Technico AAII auto analyser (Technico,  
104    Oakland, Calif). Determination of exchangeable bases was by neutral ammonium acetate  
105    extraction and read with an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS). Exchangeable acidity  
106    was determined by the 1 N potassium chloride (KCl) extraction method and titrated with 1 M  
107    sodium hydroxide (NaOH) using phenolphthalein as an indicator. The effective cation exchange  
108    capacity (CEC) was the summation of total exchangeable bases and exchangeable acidity. Base  
109    saturation was calculated by dividing the sum of exchangeable bases by CEC and multiplying  
110    by 100. The pH of the soil was determined using glass electrode pH meter at the ratio of 1:2.5  
111    (20 g of soil to 50ml ~~of~~ of distilled H<sub>2</sub>O). Organic carbon was determined on 1g soil sample  
112    outline by [12]. Then the organic matter was obtained by multiplying the laboratory organic  
113    carbon content value with the factor 1.724. The total Nitrogen was determined by using the  
114    macro kjedahl digestion and distillation method. Available phosphorus was extracted with  
115    Bray-P-1 solution, the P concentration in the extract was obtained by the Vanado-molydate blue  
116    colour procedures. Exchangeable bases (Na and K) were determined using flame photometer  
117    after leaching with ammonium acetate solution. Exchangeable calcium and magnesium were

118 | determined on the same extract by the method of titration with ethylene diamine tetra-acetate.  
119 | The neem based organic fertilizer was also analyzed using standard procedures.

## 120 Statistical Analysis

121 | The data were subjected to statistically analysis using Genstat software and Duncan Multiple  
122 | Range Test was used to compare the mean data at 5% level.

## 123 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

124 | The physicochemical properties of the soil used for the experiment before treatment  
125 | application is shown in Table 1. The soil texture was sandy loam with pH in water value of 6.8  
126 | and pH in KCl value of 5.5 indicating near neutral and strongly acid reaction respectively based  
127 | on the ratings given by [13]-. The soil was low in organic carbon content (4.0 g/kg), organic  
128 | matter (6.9 g/kg), exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K, and Na) and effective cation exchange  
129 | capacity (ECEC) but moderate in total nitrogen (2.2 g/kg), available phosphorus (12.9 mg/kg)  
130 | and high in base saturation (84.29 %) if compared with the critical levels given in Table 2. The  
131 | low levels of nutrients obtained in the experimental soils indicate low fertility status and may be  
132 | attributed to high temperature, high rainfall and leaching losses which characterize the tropical  
133 | areas [14, 15]. The low fertility status could also be attributed to continuous cropping which  
134 | necessitates the need for additional supply of nutrients.

135 | **TABLE 1**

136 | Soil physicochemical properties before experiment

Parameter	Value
Sand content	75.52
Silt content	12.48
Clay fraction	12.00
Textural class	Sandy loam
pH <sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)</sub>	6.80
pH <sub>(KCl)</sub>	5.50

Comment [GS3]: Arrange to have the same table in one page.

O.C	} gkg <sup>-1</sup>	4.00
O.M		6.90
N		2.20
Avail.P (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )		12.9
K <sup>+</sup>	} Cmol(+)kg <sup>-1</sup>	0.18
Ca <sup>2+</sup>		2.00
Mg <sup>2+</sup>		0.90
Na		0.14
CEC		3.22
Exch. Al+ H		0.60
ECEC		3.82
Base saturation (%)		84.29

#### Chemical composition of the neem based organic fertilizer

The chemical analysis of the neem based organic fertilizer as presented in Table 4 showed that the organic fertilizer had a slightly alkaline pH (7.8) when measured in water and slightly acidic pH (6.4) when measured in KCl. The organic fertilizer had 12.4 % organic carbon, 21.58 % organic matter, 2.68 % total nitrogen, 1.82% total P, 2.75% total K, 1.46% Ca and 0.91% Mg; the C:N being 4.63. The low C:N value indicates the ability of the organic fertilizer to mineralized fast and release nutrients for crop uptake. The values of the micronutrient contents such as Zn, Cu, Fe and Mn in the organic fertilizer were high. This shows that the neem-based organic fertilizer was high in both macro and micro-nutrients required for growth of crops.

TABLE 2

Chemical composition of the Neem based organic fertilizer used in the experiment

Parameter	Value
pH in water	7.8
pH in KCl	6.4
O.C (%)	12.4
O.M (%)	21.58
N (%)	3.00
C: N ratio	4.14
P (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	1.82

K	2.75
Ca	1.46
Mg	0.91
Zn (ppm)	360
Cu (ppm)	138
Fe (ppm)	420
Mn (ppm)	96
NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> (mg/kg)	65.7

Cmol(+) kg<sup>-1</sup>

Formatted: Font: 9 pt

150

#### 151 Effect of the treatment combinations on the soil chemical properties Soil reaction

152 There was a positive ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase in the pH (KCl) of the soil amongst treatments applied  
 153 with the highest pH value of 6.233 obtained from the combined application of 60 kg N/ha<sup>-1</sup>NPK +  
 154 60 kg N/ha<sup>-1</sup>Neem (Table 4). The least soil pH (KCl) value of 5.133 was obtained in plot  
 155 amended with 30 kg N/ha<sup>-1</sup>NPK + 90 kg N/ha<sup>-1</sup>Neem. However, for soil pH (H<sub>2</sub>O), there was no  
 156 significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) increase amongst treatments.

157

158

159

160



161

TABLE 4

162

Influence of rates of NPK, neem based organic fertilizer and their combinations on soil properties

Treatments (kg N/ha)	pH (KCl)	pH	O.M (gkg <sup>-1</sup> )	TN (gkg <sup>-1</sup> )	Av. P (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	Exchangeable bases (cmol/kg)				CEC (cmolkg <sup>-1</sup> )	ECEC (cmolkg <sup>-1</sup> )	BS(%)
		(H <sub>2</sub> O)				K	Mg	Ca	Na			
<b>Control</b>	5.667 abc	6.967 a	2.527 ab	0.1433 de	23.00a	0.6700 a	2.267 a	3.167 cd	0.1200 a	6.223 bc	7.090 bc	88.03 abc
<b>120 NPK 20:10:10</b>	5.467 abcd	6.567 a	2.413ab	0.2300 a	25.50a	0.4067 efg	2.833 a	3.733 abcd	0.1400 a	7.113 abc	7.713 abc	92.27 ab
<b>120 Neem</b>	5.300 bcd	6.567 a	2.527ab	0.2200 ab	19.43a	0.5067 cd	3.167 a	4.600 ab	0.1667 a	8.440 a	8.973 ab	94.10 a
<b>60 NPK + 60 Neem</b>	6.233 a	7.333 a	2.180abc	0.1867abcde	30.20a	0.4267 def	2.567 a	4.667 a	0.1667 a	7.827 ab	8.660 a	81.07 cdef
<b>90 NPK + 30 Neem</b>	5.533 abc	6.633 a	2.757ab	0.1633 cde	20.73a	0.6167 ab	2.800 a	3.467 bcd	0.1033 a	6.987 abc	8.353 ab	83.57 cde
<b>30 NPK + 90 Neem</b>	5.133 bcd	6.467 a	1.377cd	0.2233 ab	21.27a	0.3933 efg	2.100 a	3.000 d	0.2067 a	5.700 c	7.233 bc	78.57 def

163

\*Mean values with the same letter within a column are not significantly different ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) by Duncan Multiple Range Test

The highest pH value recorded from the combined application rate of neem-based organic manure and NPK, inorganic fertilizer in this study shows that complementary use of the two will be a rational economic strategy, a view which is also supported by [16,17,7,8] . [18]) pointed highlighted that chemical amendments, most peculiarly N, is added together with natural soil enhancer (organic manure), the manure raises the soil pH and enhances the capacity of the soil to release of nutrient elements. The result also, is the same with the report of [19] who observed that organic materials have overall tendency to move the pH towards neutrality.

#### Organic matter

Table 4 indicates that there was a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) effect in soil organic matter content through the application of the treatments. The highest organic matter content value of  $2.757 \text{ gkg}^{-1}$  obtained from plot amended with combined application of  $90 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1}\text{NPK} + 30 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1}$  neem-based organic fertilizer was significantly higher than the values of 2.527, 2.413, 2.183, 1.897,  $1.377 \text{ gkg}^{-1}$  obtained from plots amended with  $120 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1}\text{NPK}$ ,  $120 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1}\text{Neem}$  and  $30 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1}\text{NPK} + 90 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1}\text{Neem}$  correspondingly. Generally, organic matter contents of the treated soils were higher in plots amended with combined application of NPK and Neem. This agrees with findings of [20] who reported that combined use of pod ash derived from cocoa and industrial fertilizer (NPK 20:10:10) increased SOM fraction than pod ash sourced from cocoa and compound fertilizer applied solely. Positive influence of integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers in increasing soil organic matter has also been reported by several researchers [6, 21,8].

#### Total Nitrogen

The soil total N content was positively ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased with fertilizer amendment. Application of  $120 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1}$  of NPK or Neem,  $30 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1}\text{NPK} + 90 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1}\text{Neem}$  and  $\text{kg Nha}^{-1}$

187 NPK + 60 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup>Neem positively ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased the soil total N content when compared  
188 with no fertilizer application (control). The highest value of 0.2300 gkg<sup>-1</sup> obtained in plot  
189 amended with 120 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> NPK closely followed by plots amended with (30 NPK + 90 Neem)  
190 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> (0.2233 gkg<sup>-1</sup>) and 120 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> Neem (0.2200 gkg<sup>-1</sup>) while the least value of 0.1433  
191 gkg<sup>-1</sup> was obtained in the control plots. Generally, the soil total N contents after experiment were  
192 low indicating its uptake by the okra plant. This is in line with the report given by [22] -that okra  
193 needs accurate and adequate quantity of N and K for fruit formation.

#### 194 **Available phosphorus (P)**

195 Application of the amendments did not affect ( $P > 0.05$ ) the soil available phosphorus fraction  
196 when compared with the control plot. Although all the values of available P obtained at the end  
197 of the experiment were generally above pre-treatment level (12.9 mgkg<sup>-1</sup>) with the values  
198 ranging from 18.87 to 30.20 mgkg<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 199 **Exchangeable bases**

200 There were positive ( $P < 0.05$ ) effects in the accumulation of soil exchangeable K and Ca at the  
201 conclusion of the study but no significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) increase was observed for exchangeable Mg  
202 and Na. The highest K content was obtained in the plot not amended with fertilizer (control) and  
203 was significantly higher than all the other amended plots except the plot amended with 90 kg  
204 Nha<sup>-1</sup> NPK + 30 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup>Neem. This contradicts the findings by several researchers [23, 24, 25,  
205 20, 3] -that amended soils improve soil availability of K, Mg and Na.

206 The highest Ca mean value of 4.667 cmol(+)/kg was obtained in plot amended with 60 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup>  
207 NPK + 60 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup>Neem though not significantly higher than the values obtained in all the plots  
208 amended with sole rates of NPK and sole applied neem at 120 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> but was positively higher  
209 than the values obtained in the rest amended plots including the control.

210 **Cation exchange capacity (CEC)**

211 The treatments applied significantly influenced the CEC of the soil with the highest value of  
212 8.440 cmol/kg obtained in plot amended with 120 kg N/ha Neem which is equivalent to 4000  
213 kg/ha neem-based organic fertilizer. However, the value was not significantly higher than the  
214 values obtained in all the plots amended with sole rates of NPK, the plots amended with  
215 combined application of (60 NPK + 60 Neem) kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> and (90 NPK + 30 Neem) kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> but  
216 was higher than the values obtained in other amended plots including the control. Generally, all  
217 the values of CEC obtained at the end of the experiment were generally above pre-treatment  
218 level (3.22 cmol/kg) with the values ranging from 5.350 to 8.440 cmol/kg.

219 **Effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC)**

220 The treatments applied significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased the ECEC of the soil with the highest  
221 mean value of 8.973 cmol/kg obtained from the application of 120 kg N/ha from sole Neem  
222 although not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) higher than the values obtained in other amended plots  
223 except plots amended with 30 NPK + 90 Neem kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> and control (Table 4). Generally, all the  
224 values of ECEC obtained at the end of the experiment were generally above pre-treatment level  
225 (3.82 cmol/kg) with the values ranging from 7.090 to 8.973 cmol/kg.

226 **Base Saturation**

227 The base saturation content of the soil at the conclusion of the field study was significantly  
228 influenced by the amendments applied with the highest mean value of 94.10% obtained in plot  
229 amended with 120 kg N/ha Neem ( $\equiv$  4000 kg/ha neem-based organic fertilizer) which was  
230 significantly higher than all the other amended plots except plots amended with 120 kg N/ha  
231 NPK and control.

232

233 **Effects of Neem-Based Organic Fertilizer, NPK and their Combinations on Growth**  
 234 **parameters of Okra**  
 235 **Plant height**

236 The influence of rates of NPK, neem-based organic fertilizer and their combinations on okra  
 237 plant height are as shown in Table 5. There was a significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) increase in okra plant  
 238 height at 4 and 6 weeks after sowing (WAS) while at 8, 10 and 12 WAS, plant height was not  
 239 significantly ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) affected. At 4 WAS, application of 120 kg N/ha from sole NPK,  
 240 significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) enhanced the height of okra plants compared with the control. The tallest  
 241 plant at 4 WAS was obtained from plot amended with 60 kg N/ha NPK + 60 kg N/ha Neem.  
 242 There was no significant ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) difference in plant height between the control plots and other  
 243 rates of application of amendments.

244 TABLE 5

245 Influence of NPK, Neem based organic fertilizer and their combinations on plant height  
 246 (cm) of okra  
 247

Treatments (kg N/ha)	Plant height (cm)				
	4 WAS	6 WAS	8 WAS	10 WAS	12 WAS
Control	11.97e	16.23e	28.95a	24.45a	25.40a
120 NPK 20:10:10	15.57 abcd	19.90 cde	27.80 a	36.62 a	40.01 a
120 Neem	14.11 abcde	22.63abc	31.68a	42.53a	46.27a
60 NPK + 60 Neem	17.53a	25.83a	38.10a	48.51a	51.43a
90 NPK + 30 Neem	12.87cde	16.67e	23.13a	29.67a	33.20a
30 NPK + 90 Neem	13.57abcde	17.47e	25.32a	32.83a	35.20a

248 Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) by

249 Duncan Multiple Range Test

250 At 6 WAS, 120 kg N/ha Neem, 60 kg N/ha NPK + 60 kg N/ha Neem significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ )  
 251 increased the height of okra plants compared with the control. The tallest plant at 6 WAS was

also obtained from plot amended with 60 kg N/ha NPK + 60 kg N/ha Neem. At 8 – 12 WAS fertilizer application irrespective of the type, rate and combination did not cause any significant ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) increase in height compared with the control. The non-significant increase in plant height by treatments at 8, 10 and 12 WAS may be as result of high impact of rainfall leading to leaching of the basic plant nutrients required for growth of crops as pointed out by [26] ) although according to [27], growth parameters such as plant height and number of branches are enhanced by genetic factor.

Generally, at all growth stages, the tallest plants were obtained in plots amended with 60 kg N/ha NPK + 60 kg N/ha Neem. The increase in okra plant height obtained in this study agrees with the work of [28] who reported an increase in okra plant height with the application of inorganic and organic fertilizers at Ibadan. [29, 30] -also recorded increase in okra plant height as a result of treatments applied when compared with control.

#### **Number of leaves**

The results of the effects of application of different rates of fertilizer types and their combinations on the number of leaves produced per okra plant almost followed the trend of plant height (Table 6). At 4 and 6 WAS, the highest number of leaves produced per okra plant was obtained from plot amended with 60 kg N/ha NPK + 60 kg N/ha Neem. At 8 – 12 WAS, fertilizer application did not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) increase the number of leaves produced by okra plants compared with the control plots. Similar results have been reported by [29,30] on increase in number of leaves per okra plant as a result of treatments applied when compared with control.

Generally, at all growth stages, the combination of 60 kg N/ha NPK + 60 kg N/ha Neem produced plants with the highest number of leaves. Differences in the number of leaves do affect

the overall performance of okra as the leaves serve as photosynthetic organ of the plant.[31] reported that the nitrogen content in the organic fertilizers has been known to enhance leaf production, flowering, seed formation and root formation which will lead to higher metabolic activities and consequently higher fresh fruit yield in okra.

### Stem girth

The results of the effects of application of different rates of NPK, neem-based organic fertilizer and their combinations on the stem girth of okra plants are presented in Table 7. Sole application of NPK and neem-based organic fertilizer at the rate of 120 kg N/ha, produced plants with significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) wider girth than the control plants. Almost at all growth stages, application of 60 kg N/ha NPK + 60 kg N/ha Neem produced plants with widest girths. At 4 WAS, the widest stem (2.44 cm) was obtained from plot amended with 60 kg N/ha NPK + 60 kg N/ha Neem while the least value of 1.21 cm was obtained from the control plot.

TABLE 6

Influence of NPK, Neem based organic fertilizer and their combinations on number of leaves per okra plant

Treatments (kg N/ha)	Number of leaves				
	4 WAS	6 WAS	8 WAS	10 WAS	12 WAS
Control	4.33c	8.33cd	10.33a	11.67 a	7.33 a
120 NPK 20:10:10	7.00 ab	9.33bcd	12.33a	17.33 a	15.67 a
120 Neem	6.00 abc	11.00abcd	14.33a	21.33 a	22.00 a
60 NPK + 60 Neem	7.67a	14.33a	16.67a	21.33 a	20.33 a
90 NPK + 30 Neem	4.67c	9.33bcd	9.00a	13.00 a	12.33 a
30 NPK + 90 Neem	6.00abc	9.33bcd	10.00a	15.67 a	14.67 a

Formatted: Tab stops: Not at 4.95"

292

TABLE 7

293 Influence of NPK, Neem based organic fertilizer and their combinations on stem girth (cm) of  
 294 okra plant

Treatments (kg N/ha)	Stem girth (cm)				
	4 WAS	6 WAS	8 WAS	10 WAS	12 WAS
Control	1.21 d	3.21 a	2.69 a	2.97 a	3.03 a
120 NPK 20:10:10	1.95 abc	2.59 a	3.27 a	4.19 a	4.49 a
120 Neem	2.17 abc	3.26 a	3.77 a	4.41 a	4.61 a
60 NPK + 60 Neem	2.44 a	3.49 a	4.64 a	5.19 a	5.47 a
90 NPK + 30 Neem	1.70 bcd	3.33 a	2.49 a	3.19 a	3.55 a
30 NPK + 90 Neem	1.51cd	3.16 a	2.61 a	3.22 a	3.55 a

295 *Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) by*  
 296 *Duncan Multiple Range Test*  
 297

298 Similar results have been reported by [28, 29] in Nigeria where fertilizer treatments resulted in  
 299 higher okra plant girth values compared to control. There were no significant ( $P > 0.05$ )  
 300 differences in stem girth of okra plant at other stages of growth (6 - 12 WAS) showing that  
 301 treatments applied only influenced okra stem girth at the early stage of growth. This may be  
 302 attributed to the early utilization of the applied nutrients in the development of the xylem and the  
 303 cambium tissues, which plays the role of nutrient and water transportation within a plant.

### 304 CONCLUSION

305 The study showed that balanced use of both organic amendments and inorganic fertilizer  
 306 improved the chemical properties of the soil and enhanced optimum okra growth compared to  
 307 sole application of either of them. The combined treatments were able to neutralize the possible  
 308 acidifying effect of nitrogen in the inorganic fertilizer while assuring balanced plant nutrition and

Comment [GS4]: References



309 improved soil fertility. Coupled with the results obtained, it can be inferred that the soils  
310 amended with 60kg N/ha NPK + 60 kg N/ha Neem significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased the growth  
311 of okra in the study area while for the sole application of NPK or neem-based organic fertilizer,  
312 the application rate of 120 kg Nha-1 is favourable.

313

314

#### REFERENCES

- 315 1. Iren, O. B., I. D. Uwah & V. E. Ekpenyong (2015). Response of fluted pumpkin  
316 (*Telfairia occidentalis*, hook f.) to different levels of poultry manure application in an ultisol of  
317 southeastern Nigeria. Journal of Organic Agriculture and Environment, 3: 5-14.
- 318 2. Iren, O. B., Ediene, V. F., Uwah, I. D. & Ekpenyong, V. E. (2016). Influence of varied  
319 ratios of cassava peels and pig manure - based compost on soil properties and yield of okra  
320 (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L) Moench). Proceedings of 50th Annual Conference of Agricultural  
321 Society of Nigeria (ASN), Abia, U m u d i k e, 1061 – 1064.
- 322 3. Subbalakshmi, L., Muthukrishnan, P. & Jeyaraman, S. (2012). Neem products and their  
323 agricultural applications. Journal of Biopesticides, 5: 72-76.
- 324 4. Paul, E. A. & Clark, F. E (1989). Soil microbiology and Biochemistry. 12th Edition. Academy  
325 Press Inc. New York.
- 326 5. Palm, C.A.; C. N. Gachengo.; R. J. Delve; G. Cadisch and K. E. Giller (2001). Organic  
327 inputs for soil fertility management in tropical agro ecosystems: applications of an organic  
328 resource database. Agric Ecosystem and Environment, Elsevier, 83: 27- 42.
- 329 6. Adeniyani, O.N & Ojeniyi, S.O. (2005). Effect of poultry manure, N.P.K 15:15:15 and  
330 combination of the reduced levels on maize growth and soil chemical properties. Nigerian  
331 Journal of Soil Science, 15: 34 – 41.

- 332 7. Obasi, M. N., Mbanaso, E. N. A., Ano, A. O. & Onwubiko, O. (2006). Effect of  
333 combinations of organic materials and mineral fertilizer on growth and yield of cocoyam.  
334 Proceedings of the 40th Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria (ASN),  
335 Umudike, 386-388.
- 336 8. Iren, O. B., N. M. John and E. A. Imuk (2014). Effects of sole and combined applications  
337 of organic manures and urea on soil properties and yield of fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia*  
338 *occidentalis*, Hook f.), *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science*, 24(1): 125 - 133.
- 339 9. Boraste, A, Vamsi, K.K., Jhadav, Khairnar Y., Gupta, N., Trivedi, S, Patil, P, Gupta, G.,  
340 Gupta M., Mujapara, A.K., Joshi, B. (2009). Biofertilizer: A novel tool for Agriculture.  
341 *International Journal of Microbiology Research*, 1(2):23-31.
- 342 10. Soil Survey Staff (1999). *Soil Taxonomy: A basic system for soil classification for*  
343 *making and interpreting soil surveys: 2nd ed. USDA-NRCS Agric. Hand book 436. US Govt.*  
344 *Printing Office, Washington. D. C. 869 pp.*
- 345 11. Esu, I. E. (2005). *Characterization, Classification and Management Problems of the*  
346 *major soil orders in Nigeria. 26th Inaugural lecture held at University of Calabar, Calabar. ISBN*  
347 *978-007-148-2. Pp 1-66.*
- 348 12. Udo, E. J., Ibia, T.O., Ogunwale, J. A., Ano, A.O & Esu, I. E., (2009). *Manual of Soil,*  
349 *Plant and Water Analyses. Lagos: Sibon Books, Publishers Ltd., Nigeria 183 pp.*
- 350 13. Adaikwu, A. O. & Ali, A. (2013). Assessment of some soil quality in Benue State.  
351 *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science*, 23(2): 66-75.
- 352 14. Parnes, R. (1990). *Fertile Soil. A Grower's Guide to Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer.*  
353 *Los Angeles University of California Press, P. 80.*

- 354 15. Osodeke, V. E. (1996). Effects of rainfall and land-use on the management of the highly  
355 degraded soils of southeastern Nigeria. Proceedings of the 5th Annual Conference of the  
356 Nigerian Society for Biological Conservation, Umudike.
- 357 16. Adediran, J. A., Taiwa, L. B., Akande, M. O., Sobulo, R. A. & Idowu, O. J. (2001).  
358 Application of organic and inorganic fertilizer for sustainable maize and cowpea yield in Nigeria.  
359 Journal of Plant Nutrition, 27: 1163-1181.
- 360 17. Ojeniyi, S. O. & Adegboyega, A. A. (2003). Effect of combined use of urea and goat  
361 dung manure on celosia. The Nigerian Agriculture Journal, 34: 87 – 90. Hoffman, I., Dirk, G.,  
362 Usman, B. K. & Anke, M. (2001). Farmer's management strategies to main soil fertility in a  
363 remote area in northwest Nigeria. Elsevier. Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment, 86: 263 –  
364 275.
- 365 18. Hoffman, I., Dirk, G., Usman, B. K. & Anke, M. (2001). Farmer's management strategies  
366 to main soil fertility in a remote area in northwest Nigeria. Elsevier. Agriculture, Ecosystem and  
367 Environment, 86: 263 – 275.
- 368 19. Meelu, O. P., Yadvinder, S. & Bijay, S. (1994). Green maturing for soil productivity  
369 improvement. World Soils Resource Report 76. FAO, Rome.
- 370 20. Ayeni, L.S. (2010b). Effect of Cocoa Pod Ash, NPK Fertilizer and their Combinations on  
371 Soil Chemical Properties and Yield of Tomato (*lycopersicon lycopersicum*) on Two Soil Types.  
372 New York Science Journal, 3(4).
- 373 21. Iren, O. B., John, N. M. & Imuk, E. A. (2012). Effects of Sole and Combined  
374 Applications of Organic Manures and Urea on Growth, Crude Protein and Nutrient Uptake of  
375 Fluted Pumpkin (*Telfairia occidentalis*, Hook f.). Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and  
376 Environment, 2(1): 78-85.

- 377 22. Premsekhar M. & Rajashree, V. (2009). Influence of Organic Manures on Growth, Yield  
378 and Quality of Okra. *American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 3(1): 6-8.
- 379 23. Tiwari, K.N. (2002). Nutrient Management for Sustainable Agriculture. *J. Ind. Soc. Soil*  
380 *Sci.*, 50: 374-377.
- 381 24. Singh, Y., Singh, C. S., Singh, T. K. & Singh, J. P. (2006). Effect of Fortified and  
382 Unfortified Rice-straw Compost with NPK Fertilizers on Productivity, Nutrient Uptake and  
383 Economics of Rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Ind. J. Agron.*, 51: 297-300.
- 384 25. Ayeni, L. S. (2010a). Integrated application of cocoa pod ash and NPK fertilizer: Effect  
385 on soil and plant nutrient status and maize performance-Field experiment. *J. Am. Sci.*, 6(6): 96-  
386 102.
- 387
- 388 26. Adeosun J.O. (2000) Response of upland rice varieties (*Oryza sativa* L.) Varieties to  
389 nitrogen, period of weed interference and chemical weed control. Unpublished Ph.D.  
390 Dissertation submitted to the Postgraduate School Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria 143 pp.
- 391 27. Smith, M. A. K., Tolorun, T. P. & Adeniji, O. S. (2002): Effect of combined mulch and  
392 fertilizer on weed growth and okra (*Abelmoshus esculentus* L. Moench) yield in Tropical  
393 environment. In; Proceedings of 35th Annual conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria,  
394 Abeokuta, Nigeria, 103-112.
- 395 28. Iremiren, G. O., Ipinmoroti, R. R. & Akanbi, O. S. O. (2013). Performance of Okra  
396 (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) and Maize (*Zea mays*) under Okra/Maize Intercrop as Influenced  
397 by Nutrient Sources at Ibadan, Nigeria. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 2(2): 190-  
398 201.

- 399 29. Attarde, S. B., Narkhede, S. D., Patil, R. P. & Ingle, S. T. (2012). Effect of Organic and  
400 Inorganic Fertilizers on the Growth and Nutrient Content of *Abelmoschus esculentus* (okra  
401 crop). International Journal of Current Research, 4 (10):137-140.
- 402 30. Nnah, M. B., Isirimah, C. B., Ezekiel, P. O. & Umesi, N. (2016). Comparative effects of  
403 organic manures and NPK fertilizer on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) on  
404 Ultisol. Nigerian Journal of Soil Science, 26, 101-109.
- 405 31. Dademal, A. A., Dangale, J. H., Mhaskar & N. V. (2004). Effect of manures and  
406 fertilizers content and uptake of nutrients by okra on lateritic soil of konkan. J. Soils and Crops,  
407 14 (2): 262-268