

A Review of Soil Compaction- Concerns, Causes and Alleviation

Abstract

Soil compaction is an important soil management issue of the sustainable agriculture throughout the world. There is a growing concern about the soil compaction as the weight of farm tractors and farm machineries become higher. This review act as a guide for farm persons on the negative impact of soil compaction on crops causes and soil management practices and methods for alleviation of compaction with decreasing the risk of more extensive compaction damage in the future. Compaction changes many soil properties and negative effects are related to a decrease in permeability to air and water in root zone of crops. This results into decreased crop production and increased draft of tillage operations. The major causes of the soil compaction are use of heavy machinery traffic, performing same farm operations on the field, poor crop diversification and time restrictions in the crop cycle. To correct soil compaction problem, first tactile method is to avoid or limit farm operations that causes the compaction. Major methods to alleviate compaction include optimized tilling of soil, incorporating priming crops in crop rotation, subsoiling and controlled traffic farming. Monitoring of soil conditions constantly and wise use of farm machinery is the most viable approach to tackle the soil compaction.

Keywords: Soil compaction, controlled traffic farming, subsoiling, heavy machinery, alleviate soil compaction.

Introduction

Soil compaction has become a more of a problem in recent years due to increased use of heavy machines and poor variation in cropping culture. It occurs when soil particle are pressed together resulting in elimination of pore space in soil profile. In scientific terms, soil compaction is physical consolidation of soil by applied force that destroy soil structure, decreased porosity, limited water, air and nutrient availability and increased resistance to root penetration that often result in reduced crop yield. Soil consists of soil particle, pore space and organic material. Pore space holds air and water due to capillary action of water. When somehow pore space is decreased by loading condition, it cannot recover it on its own, as soil is not an elastic material. Soil structure is permanently deformed. This leads to poor availability of moisture and air for growing plant. It then becomes a problem. Reduced pore space also results in lower percolation of water and hence result in water logging condition. This worsen the problem. Dry soil is less susceptible to compaction due to high friction between soil particles. Moisture lubricates the soil particle flow. This results into close fitting of soil particles in soil profile. The depth of the soil compaction varies from 10-60 cm (Flowers and Lal, 1998) but it is more obvious on top soil (around 10 cm).

38 Soils consisting of particles of about the same size compact less than soil with a variety of
 39 particle sizes. Same size particles leads to high pore space. In opposite, a mixed distribution
 40 (collection of large and small particles) of particles can fill the pores with highly packed
 41 structure fulfilling in a more dense soil. A sandy loam soil (67 percent sand, 24 percent silt,
 42 and 9 percent clay) is the most susceptible to compaction. Soil with higher ratio of organic
 43 matter compacts less (Kumar, 2009). Most of the organic matter found in soil profile is more
 44 elastic than soil. This helps in regaining of shape after load is removed. Moreover, organic
 45 material helps in creating larger and stronger soil aggregates. A high amount of organic
 46 matter is the best means to prevent surface crusting and soil sealing in all soils. Crop residue
 47 resist in making crust in top soil, which makes difficult for germinating seedlings to emerge
 48 out of soil. There is direct relation between soil compaction and number of microorganisms
 49 present in soil profile. Microorganisms balance the soil electrolytic environment and
 50 accumulate nutrient for plant growth. Low moisture and air in soil profile hinder
 51 microorganisms' development. This result in stunted vegetation growth.

52 This review concentrates mainly, though not exclusively, on soil compaction, its causes,
 53 concerns and alleviation methods for reducing soil compaction.

54 **Soil Compaction Concerns**

55 The tyres of heavy machinery compress the soil to a greater depth. Up to 70 cm depth of soil,
 56 higher bulk density results due to direct effect of soil compaction (Twum & Seth., 2015).
 57 This effect is pronounced in about 2-time increase in root biomass of *Quercus petraea* up to
 58 70 cm depth of soil as compared to the uncompact soils. Beyond this depth, there was no
 59 significant difference in amount of root biomass with respect to increasing depth in both
 60 compacted and uncompact soils.

61 Compacted soil having bulk density greater than 1.7 g cm^{-3} reduces leaf area and shoot dry
 62 weight by 24-30% in barley (Mulholland, Black, Taylor, Roberts & Lenton, 1999). The same
 63 research also concludes that the root system of barley was heavily branched.

64 The effect of soil compaction on wheat crop is negative. The overall length of root and shoot
 65 of wheat are reduced due to high compaction (Latif, Khan & Ali 2008). As wheat is a shallow
 66 rooted plant, small root size results in low moisture pickup by the plant. Fresh and dry
 67 weights of the wheat plants also reduced due to delay in growth processes caused by
 68 compaction of soil. Lesser number of tillers were also observed. All these results ultimately
 69 leads to in poor yield of wheat.

70 Jordan Ponder & Hubbard (2003) found that severe soil compaction clearly reduced enzyme
 71 activity and nitrogen immobilization in oak seedlings in the soil. Tomasz (2011) studied
 72 change in root system morphology and productivity of alfalfa. In his first year experiment, he
 73 found that yield was significantly reduced but opposite effects were observed in second and
 74 third years. Large reservoir of water and plant nutrient in compacted soil contribute to the
 75 benefit of soil compaction. Alfalfa have root system characterized by ability to penetrate in
 76 severe soil compaction and changes its morphology to get sufficient supply of water and
 77 nutrients.

78 Grazing systems also have effects on soil compaction and pasture production. Soil depth up
79 to 10 cm is reported to have increase in soil bulk density at field moist condition due to
80 pasture grazing (Donkor *et al.*, 2011). This effect on soil properties was more pronounced in
81 fall than in spring.

82 Compaction significantly affect root distribution in horizontal direction (Gilman, Ponder &
83 Hubbard, 1987). Pancake root development is a classic example of root growth occurring
84 under compacted conditions (Fig. 1). Root distribution is denser in upper soil layers then in
85 un-compacted soil. In compacted soil treatments, 70% or more of the total root length was in
86 the upper 12 cm of soil compared to 40% or less in un-compacted soil. No taproot
87 development and increase in shallow adventitious roots were observed.



88

89

Fig. 1: Horizontal root distribution of corn in compacted soil.

90 Shallow root crops like soyabean is more sensitive to soil compaction than deeprouted crops.
91 Beutler *et al.*, (2007) studied the effect of tractor traffic on soybean development. There was
92 low development of deep roots and changed root distribution keeping the amount of root
93 same as in un-compacted soil (Fig. 2). At penetration resistance of 2.33 MPa or higher and
94 soil bulk density of 1.51 Mg m⁻³ or higher, significant decrease in soybean yield was
95 observed.




Fig. 2: Soybean tap root development profile under different number of passage of tractor

(RP= Resistance to penetration, Db= Soil bulk density)

There is a strong negative effect of wet soil compaction on soil physical properties. A relationship between nutrient assimilation and soil compaction was also observed (Kuhnt & Reintam, 2004). It was found that in heavy soil compaction, nitrogen uptake capability of spring wheat and barley is reduced by 30% and 40% respectively. Decrease in potassium and calcium by plants were also observed in the experiment results.

In hilly areas with sloping landscape, top soil compaction in sloping landscapes enhances runoff (Batey, 2009) and may induce erosion particularly along wheel tracks.

All the above studies conclude that compacted soil can reduce crop yield to significant amount due to decreased aeration, stunted plant growth, poor internal drainage, increased resistance to root penetration and limited availability of plant nutrients.

Soil Compaction Causes

Soil compaction is caused due to various agriculture practices. The main factors causing compaction of soil are:

1. Compaction from heavy machinery wheel traffic

Wheel traffic apply vertical downward force on surface of soil, which increase bulk density of soil (Hakansson & Reeder, 1994 and Gameda, Raghavan, Theriault, & McKyes, 1985). Shallow compaction caused due to heavy ground pressure (up to 5-10 inches) is usually eliminated with tillage practices. However, when axle load is high (>10 tons), deep

compaction occurs. Amount of moisture also plays a greater role in amount of compaction (Soane and Van Ouwerkerk, 1994). In dry soil, bearing strength of soil is increased so there is no significant compaction. Soil below saturation level is mostly susceptible to compaction (Fig. 3). Saturated soils produce less compaction at shallow depth but there is more probability of formation of hard pan layer at some depth where soil remain undisturbed by tillage operations. Wet clay soil are most prone to compaction as clay particle collect around water droplets acting as lubricants reducing soil-bearing strength




Fig. 3: Effect of soil moisture on its compaction

Experiment conducted by Beutler *et al.*, (2007) also confirms that after six passage of 11 ton tractor increases resistance to penetration to 6.75 MPa in 0.03 m to 0.06 m layer whereas soil bulk density to 1.81 Mg m^{-3} .

Heavy machines does not produce more compaction near the surface when compared to lighter machines but this is more prominent deeper within the soil profile (Schuler & Lowery, 1984).

Increase in width of tyres or use of dual tyres might reduce pressure on top soil but with same axle load, compaction at deeper soil is more pronounced.

2. Repeated Field Operations

Tillage process like repetitive moldboard plowing or use of sweep type tools at the same depth cause soil compaction at depth below the reach of normal tilling (Soehne, 1958). This

is also known as tillage pans or plow pans (Fig. 4).




Fig. 4: Depth of compaction as axle load increases.

Saturated or wet soil are most susceptible to this type of soil compaction as puddled soil squashes out of tyre path.

Secondary tillage operations like disking increase soil susceptibility to compaction due to subsequent wheel traffic (Tullberg, 1990).

Mukesh, Rani & Kumar (2013) also concluded that there is no significant effect in bulk density of soil in tillage treatments like rotavator tilling except in zero tillage where an increase in bulk density is observed.

3. Lower diversification of crops

Crop rotation changes the amount of organic matter in soil. Soil with low amount of organic matter causes it to compact more easily. Sowing deep-rooted crops like carrot after shallow rooted crops like paddy in alternation maintain soil structure and helps in breaking hard soil pan formed due to puddling during paddy field preparation. Crop with high residue increase number of tillage operations to incorporate residue in soil increasing probability of soil compaction.

4. Time limitations

Time constraints in time sowing of crops and availability of large machinery induce farmer to carry out tillage operation in too wet soil condition allowing no other choice. Considerable amount of soil compaction occurs when soil is in wet condition.

Soil Compaction Alleviation

Soil compaction alleviation practices are broadly grouped in two categories-

1. Avoid compaction

The best cure, economically and physically, for soil compaction is to avoid it. Reducing the axle weight (Gameda, Raghavan, Theriault, & McKyes, 1985) or use dual or track tyres reduce the vertical pressure on soil surface and hence soil compaction. Tracklayers compact the soil considerably less for the same amount of force. Adjusting the tractor tyre inflation pressure reduces the soil compaction risk to some extent (Gotze *et al.*, 2016). Shallow soil compaction can be reduced by use of larger footprint tyres such as radial tyres. Tandem axles also reduces surface soil compaction largely. Tillage operation should be carried out at different depth every year. Farm operations should be formed at proper moisture content, as when soil water is high, there is increased soil compaction risk (Gotze *et al.*, 2016). Drainage problem should be resolved effectively. Various farm operations can be combined or integrated to minimize passes over field, such as use of drill cum rotavator machine. Integrated crop-livestock systems presence on cropland may have caused soil compaction (Bell, 2010), but it had no negative effect on soil properties or grain yield (Tracy & Zhang, 2008). Efficient and in peak working condition tillage equipment minimize the soil compaction.

2. Alleviate compaction problems

a. Optimized tillage operation

Surface compaction in top soil can be effectively reduced by moldboard tillage. Varying tillage depth while tilling reduces chances of hardpan formation. Field operations should preferably carried out when soil is in dry condition. Avoid use of unmatched equipment with tractor as under size equipment takes many passes and oversize equipment increase load on rear wheels. Fortune, Forristal & Kelly (1999) found that spiking treatments could be beneficial where specific shallow compaction problems occur but is unlikely to alleviate the effects of wheel traffic.

b. Subsoiling

Proper subsoiling alleviate the negative effects of soil compaction (Srinivas, Ramakkrushnan, & Vijayan, 2014). Subsoiling is beneficial when compaction layer is below 8-10 inches below soil surface. This is effective in breaking up compacted layers. It also assist in higher levels of water filtration thereby addressing drainage problems, higher aeration, and proper root development. Subsoiling may be ineffective in some cases due to reintroduction of compaction with subsequent wheel traffic. Subsoiling should be done at least 2-3 inches below hard layers to get maximum benefit. Moldboard tillage of the soil compaction pan is effective in removing surface compaction (Bauder, Randall & Swan, 1981). Annual subsoiling is more effective in reducing soil bulk density in comparison to biennial subsoiling, triennial subsoiling, or no subsoiling (Raper *et al.*, 2005)). Reductions in draft force were also found for annual subsoiling. Subsoiler with parabolic shanks disrupts higher volume of soil than subsoiler with straight shank.

c. Use primer crops

Primer crops which have taproots such as lucerne, lupins and chicory have ability to penetrate through compacted layers and hence crop rotation involving these crops considerably reduce the soil compaction (Unger & Kaspar, 1993). The research conducted by Materechera, Alston, Kirby & Dexter (1992) and Merrill, Tanaka & Hanson (2002) concluded that crops with tap-rooted roots could be used to create tillage like effects. This makes it possible to reduce the soil compaction by adopting a crop rotation with deep rooted crops. Elkins (1985) first proposed this method of using primer crops as tillage tools and later, Cresswell and Kirkegaard (1995) called this process as “biodrilling”. Soybean (*Glycine Max L.*) roots can be used to biodrills (Wang, Hesketh & Woolley, 1986) through a compacted soil pan to reduce compaction. These crops also add organic matter to the soil. Increased organic matter causes a smaller increase in the bulk density of the soil (Gemtos & Lellis, 1997) but the adverse effect of soil compaction on the crop yield are not significant. Nadian, Barzegar, Rouzitalab, Herbert & Hashemi (2005) and Ohu, Raghavan, McKyes, Stewart & Fanous (1985) concluded that organic matter decrease the soil compaction.

d. Controlled traffic farming

Controlled traffic farming is the best practice to address soil compaction. In this system, a small portion of field is reserved every year minimizing traffic on remaining field (Fig. 5). However, this operation demands proper matching size of all equipment used in a crop field.




Fig. 5: Illustrative path of Planter (black), Sprayer (grey), tiller (white), for controlled traffic farming.

Restricting machine movement by laying permanent wheel tracks can be placed to confine compaction to specific zones (Froehlich & McNabb, 1983). Controlled traffic results into better root growth and lower resistance to penetration (Panayiotopoulos, Papadopoulou & Hatjiioannidou, 1994 and Wanink, Alblas, Werf & Akker, 1990). Reserved space also help in efficient traction. Raised bed farming can be very effective in application of controlled traffic

226 farming. Raised bed significantly improve soil structure by lifting the soil above the saturated
227 zone in high rainfall areas.

228 **Conclusion**

229 Heavier farm machinery and tractors have become common in agriculture to sustain demands
230 of ever-increasing population all over the world. This process leads to problem like soil
231 compaction and deterioration of soil health. Soil compaction negatively affects root growth of
232 plants, storage and supply of water and nutrients. These adverse effects reduce crop
233 production and increase waterlogging, runoff and soil erosion. The soil compaction results
234 mainly due to repeated trafficking of heavy farm machinery in moist soil, malfunctioned farm
235 practices with poorly maintained equipments and growing same crop year over year over a
236 field. It is hard to suggest a single solution to alleviate soil compaction. Healthy farm
237 practices like crop rotation with primer crops, tilling soil at different depths at proper
238 moisture levels, employing equipments in peak working conditions and reducing vertical
239 pressure of machines on land can avoid possible compaction. Compacted soil can be cured
240 with subsoiling, spiking and bio-drilling. Laying down permanent tracks for tractors also
241 minimize compacted area for crop cultivation. Further researches should be focused on to
242 develop lightweight farm machinery.

243 **References**

- 244 Batey, T. (2009). Soil compaction and soil management- a review. *Soil Use and*
245 *Management*, (pp. 335-345), John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 25.
- 246 Bauder, J. W., Randall, G. W., & Swan, J. B. (1981). Effect of four continuous tillage
247 systems on mechanical impedance of a clay loam soil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 45, 802-806.
- 248 Bell, L. W. (2010). Impacts of soil compaction by livestock on crop productivity- a modelling
249 analysis. Proceedings of 19th World Congress of Soil Science, Soil Solutions for a Changing
250 World, Brisbane, Australia.
- 251 Beutler, A. N., Centurion, J. F., Centurion, M. A., Freddi, O. S., Neto, E. L., Leone, C. L., &
252 Silva, A. P. (2007). Traffic soil compaction on oxisol related to soybean development and
253 yield. *Sci. Agric. (Piracicaba, Braz.)*, 64(6), 608-615.
- 254 Cresswell, H. P., & Kirkegaard, J. A. (1995). Subsoil amelioration by plant roots- the process
255 and the evidence. *Aust. J. Soil Res.*, 33, 221-239.
- 256 Donkor, N. T., Gedir J. V., Hudson, R. J., Bork, E. W., Chanasyk, D. S., & Naeth, M. A.
257 (2002). Impacts of grazing systems on soil compaction and pasture production in Alberta.
258 *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 82(1), 1-8.
- 259 Elkins, C. B. (1985). Plant roots as tillage tools. International conference on soil dynamics.
260 Auburn, AL, 519-523.
- 261 Flowers, M., & Lal, R. (1998). Axle load and tillage effect on soil physical properties and
262 soybean grain yield on a mollic ochraqualf in northwest Ohio. *Soil Tillage Res.*, 48, 21-35.

- 263 Froehlich, H. A., & McNabb, D. H. (1983).Minimizing Soil Compaction in Pacific Northwest
264 Forests. Proc. of Sixth North American Forest Soils Conference. Univ. of Tenn. Conferences,
265 159-192.
- 266 Fortune, R. A., Forristal, P. D., & Kelly, F. (1999). Effect of soil aeration in
267 minimizing/alleviating compaction and sward damage in grassland. End of Project Reports,
268 Teagasc, 1999.
- 269 Gameda, S., Raghavan, G. S. V., Theriault, R., & McKyes, E. (1985). High Axle Load
270 Compaction and Corn Yield. Transactions of the ASAE. 28(6), 1759-1765.
- 271 Gemtos, T. A., & Lellis, T. (1997). Effects of Soil Compaction, Water and Organic Matter
272 Contents on Emergence and Initial Plant Growth of Cotton and Sugar Beet. *Journal of*
273 *Agricultural Engineering Research*, 66(2), 121-134.
- 274 Gilman, E. F., Leone, I. A., & Flower, F. B. (1987). Effect of Soil Compaction and Oxygen
275 Content on Vertical and Horizontal Root Distribution. *J. Environ. Hart.*, 5(1), 33-36.
- 276 Gotze, P., Rucknagel, J., Anna, J., Marlander, B., Koch, H. J., & Christen, O. (2016).
277 Environmental impacts of different crop rotations in terms of soil compaction. *Journal of*
278 *Environmental Management*, 181(1), 54-63.
- 279 Hakansson, I., & Reeder, R. C. (1994). Subsoil compaction by vehicles with high axle load-
280 extent, persistence and crop response. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 29(2-3), 277-304.
- 281 Jordan, D., Ponder, J., & Hubbard, V. C. (2003). Effects of soil compaction, forest leaf litter
282 and nitrogenfertilizer on two oak species and microbial activity. *Applied Soil Ecology*,
283 23(2003), 33-41.
- 284 Kuht, J., & Reintam, E. (2004). Soil compaction effect on soil physical properties and the
285 content of nutrients in spring barley (*Hordeumvulgare* L.) and spring wheat
286 (*Tricicumaestivum* L.). *Agronomy research*, 2(2), 187-194.
- 287 Kumar, D., Bansal, M. L., & Phogat, V. K. (2009). Compactability in relation to texture and
288 organic matter content of alluvial soils. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, 43(3), 180-186.
- 289 Latif, N., Khan, M. A., & Ali, T. (2008). Effects of soil compaction caused by tillage and
290 seed covering techniques on soil physical properties and performance of wheat crop. *Soil &*
291 *Environ.* 27(2), 185-192.
- 292 Materechera, S. A., Alston, A. M., Kirby, J. M., & Dexter, A. R. (1992). Influence of root
293 diameter on the penetration of seminal roots into a compacted subsoil. *Plant Soil*, 144, 297-
294 303.
- 295 Merrill, S. D., Tanaka, D. L., & Hanson, J. D. (2002). Root length growth of eight crop
296 species in haplustoll soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 66, 913-923.
- 297 Mukesh, S., Rani, V., & Kumar, A. (2013). Effect of using rotavator on soil properties and
298 crop: A review. *Ann. Agri-Bio Res.*, 18(3), 356-359.

- 299 Mulholland, B., Black, C., Taylor, I., Roberts, J., & Lenton, J. (1996). Effect of soil
300 compaction on barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) growth. I. Possible role for AB chemical signal.
301 *J. Exp. Bot.* 47, 539-549.
- 302 Nadian, H., Barzegar, A. R., Rouzitalab, P., Herbert, S. J., & Hashemi, A. M. (2005). Soil
303 Compaction, Organic Matter, and Phosphorus Addition Effects on Growth and Phosphorus
304 Accumulation of Clover. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 36(9-10).
- 305 Ohu, J. O. , Raghavan, G. S. V., McKyes, E., Stewart, K. A., & Fanous, M. A. (1985). The
306 Effects of Soil Compaction and Organic Matter on the Growth of Bush Beans. Transactions
307 of the ASAE, 28(4), 1056-1061.
- 308 Panayiotopoulos, K. P., Papadopoulou C. P., & Hatjioannidou, A. (1994). Compaction and
309 penetration resistance of an Alfisol and Entisol and their influence on root growth of maize
310 seedlings. *Soil Tillage Res.*, 31, 323-337.
- 311 Raper, R. L., Schwab, E. B., Balkcom, Kipling, Burmester, C., & Reeves, D. W. (2005).
312 Effect of annual, biennial, and triennial in-row subsoiling on soil compaction and cotton yield
313 in Southeastern U.S. silt loam soils. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, 21.
- 314 Tracy, B., & Zhang, Y. (2008). Soil Compaction, Corn Yield Response, and Soil Nutrient
315 Pool Dynamics within an Integrated Crop-Livestock System in Illinois. *Crop Science*. Crop
316 Science Society of America CROP SCIENCE, 48.
- 317 Tullberg, J. N., Hunter, M. N., Paull, C. J., & Smith, G. D. (1990). Why control field traffic.
318 Proceedings of Queensland Department of Primary Industries Soil Compaction Workshop.
319 Toowoomba, Australia, 28, 13-25.
- 320 Twum, E. K. A., & Seth, Nii-Annang (2015). Impact of Soil Compaction on Bulk Density
321 and Root Biomass of *Quercuspetraea* L. at Reclaimed Post-Lignite Mining Site in Lusatia,
322 Germany. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*, Volume 2015, Article ID 504603,
323 Hindawi Publishing Corporation.
- 324 Schuler, R. T., & Lowery, B. (1984). Subsoil compaction effect on corn production with two
325 soil types. Transactions of American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE). St. Joseph,
326 MI.
- 327 Soane, B. D., & Van Ouwerkerk, C. V. (1994). Soil Compaction in Crop Production.
328 *Developments in Agricultural Engineering Series* (pp. 662), Elsevier Science, Amsterdam,
329 Netherlands.
- 330 Soehne, W. (1958). Fundamentals of Pressure Distribution and Soil Compaction under
331 Tractor Tyres. *Agric. Eng*, 25, 276-281.
- 332 Srinivas, P., Ramakrushnan, S., & Vijayan, A. (2014). A Study on Soil Compaction
333 Management in Tobacco Cultivation in Mysore Region of India. *APCBEE Procedia*, 8(2014),
334 287-292.
- 335 Tomasz, G. (2011). Effects of soil compaction on root system morphology and productivity
336 of Alfalfa. *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.*, 20(6), 1473-1480.

- 337 Unger, P. W., & Kaspar, T. C. (1994). Soil Compaction and Root Growth: A Review.
338 *Agronomy Journal*, 86, 759-766.
- 339 Wanink, F., Alblas, J., Werf, H. M. G. van der, & Akker, J. van den (1990). Forage maize
340 yields are affected by traffic. *Landbouwmecanisatie*, 41, 28-29.
- 341 Wang, J., Hesketh, J. D., & Woolley, J. T. (1986). Preexisting Channels and Soybean
342 Rooting Patterns. *Soil Sci.*, 141, 432-437.