



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_43422
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Humic Acid, Treated Sewage Effluent and Radiation on Canola Growth and Oil Production
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is accepted with minor changes. The study is complete. During the revision were found errors inside manuscript and references list.</p> <p><b>Title:</b> Is ok</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> Is ok:</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is ok, only page 1. Reference Uppstrom, 1995 and Chong et al, 2000 are lacking inside reference list.</li> </ul> <p><b>Materials and methods:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add latitude and longitude where the experiment was done.</li> <li>Write Canola variety used and describe their main agronomic characteristics.</li> <li>Add experimental design and test used for means comparison, add statistical package and units experimental used for each replicate.</li> </ul> <p><b>Results and discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is ok, only were observed some error inside the reference in the manuscript.</li> <li>Page 3. The next reference is lacking inside reference list: Peralta-Videa et al., 2002, Zhao et al., 2003, Gardea-Torresday et al., 2004, Turgut et al., 2004, Hajiboland, 2005 and Tlustos et al., 2006.</li> <li>Page 6. Aiken et al., 1985, Lobartini et al., 1998, Rose and Wait, 2003 and Willy et al., 2008.</li> <li>Page 7. Fisherov et al., 2005, Lesage et al., 2005, Meers et al 2005 and Finzgar et al., 2006.</li> </ul> <p><b>References:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Are ok, but the next references are lacking inside manuscript: Behzad Sani (2005), El-Khateeb MA, et al., 2011, Issariyakul T et al., 2008, Kafeel et al., 2011, Lichtenthaler H.K. (1987), Nasiri, A et al., 2017, Senesi et al, 2007 and Shirahirad and Dehshiri, 2002</li> </ul>	<p>ok ok ok</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ok Please more inside manuscript:</li> </ul> <p>Ok is removal in the references</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	