



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_43422
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Humic Acid, Treated Sewage Effluent and Radiation on Canola Growth and Oil Production
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Which part of the plant that the growth is determined? List all the tested micro nutrient in the abstract section. Why are you concentrating only on micro nutrient? What about the macro nutrients? Where did you get the gamma ray and the humic acid? Which part of the plant did you use for the extraction of the oil?	Seeds (Fe, Zn, Mn and Cu) Is very important because treated Irrigation with sewage effluent Gamma irradiation was conducted using 60Co gamma source at a dose rate of 100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 Gy; for seed of canola (Nuclear Research Center). . Humic as contented 90% Humic and 10% potassium. Seeds
Minor REVISION comments	What do you mean by many workers? The label on x-axis in figure 1 is not clear.	many research The label on x-axis in figure 1 is ok
Optional/General comments	There are some grammatical errors that need to be re-addressed.	ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	