



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_43110
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of grain Amaranth (Amaranthus hypochondriacus) to combined manure and inorganic fertilizer pellets and non-pellet application on acidic acrisols in Western Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article brings an interesting justify and provide important results for a problem present in Kenya and hopes to improve the culture of Amaranthus. Nevertheless, I have some points that need to be considered by the aurnhors</p> <p>Was the variance analysis ANOVA? It must be related On figure 1, it is hard to difference the curves. Why are data so ancient? 2011 and 2012. Data were not discussed. It is a compulsory item in articles</p>	<p>In the data analysis, it has been indicated that ANOVA was used. The figures when enlarged show the trends clearly. The data is from 2011 and 2012 when the experiment was done but it has not been published elsewhere nor are other studies published on the same topic. Little work has been done on pellet fertilizer and grain amaranth. It is thus still adds new information. The data on dry matter has been discussed.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		