



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_42408
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on performance of nitrogen and potassium on growth, nutrient content and uptake of Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas. L.) in Vertisols of Maharashtra
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. There are many grammatical errors in the manuscript, I suggest the authors take their time to check it very well or send to a native English speaker to help address them. 2. The materials and methods section is very scanty. The authors should specify the procedures they used to assess or measure the plant characters, soil analysis and plant analysis 3. The statistical procedures and software used for data analysis should be stated 4. The probability level at which the results were found to be significant or otherwise should be clearly stated 5. Table headings should be updated to include the location and year the experiment was conducted 6. Some recommendations should be added 7. There are inconsistencies in the referencing format; the authors' should check and follow the journal requirements	I have agreed what I have made mistakes here and I correct it as per my knowledge. Thank you for correcting me through your knowledge. Thank you for your guidelines
Minor REVISION comments	Abbreviations should be defined at first use	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript looks fine but efforts must be made to improve it	