



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_42408
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on performance of nitrogen and potassium on growth, nutrient content and uptake of Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas. L.) in Vertisols of Maharashtra
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **lack of Novelty**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer s comment	Author s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction: The introduction is scanty and has not justified the reason for the study. Besides, there were no objectives, indicating the scope of the study. Furthermore, the title indicated the performance of both nitrogen and potassium on growth, but the authors have dealt with only the importance of nitrogen, leaving out that of potassium. Please make case for both nutrients, and add the objectives of the study. Abstract: Authors should thoroughly review the abstract to correct tenses/ spelling errors such as those that occurred in lines 10 and 19. Line 19: What does LAI stand for? Before any acronym is used, ensure that the full meaning has been written first with the acronym in parenthesis first, then the acronym can be used alone afterwards. See line 21 also. Keyword: Avoid using conjunctions (e.g. and, but) in keyword. Better use full names eg Nitrogen, Potassium etc. Materials and method: This section is deficient and should comprise the following: the reagents used, sampling materials and procedures, methods used for the analysis including equipment used. Conclusion: This section is also deficient. Kindly point out your major findings, suggestions, recommendations and areas to explore in future studies	I have agreed what I have made mistakes here and I correct it as per my knowledge. Thank you for correcting me through your knowledge. Thank you for your guidelines
Minor REVISION comments	Line 59: Use at to replace @ where it appears in this work Also, the tables used in this work are not appropriate. Table should contain no gridlines but has two lines drawn above and one line below. See author's instructions or published articles for more information. Line 66: Add commas to the table title where appropriate to make it meaningful.	
Optional/General comments	I suggest that the authors should thoroughly review the paper and improve on all deficiencies indicated.	