



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_38795
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Yield of Maize as influenced by using Lumax 537.5 SE for Weed Control in the Forest-Savanna transition zone of Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Materials and methods:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Author can mention the net plot size</li> <li>Author can include some photographs which will add more value to the article</li> </ul>	<b>1.The net plot size of 7.8m<sup>2</sup> has been mentioned under "Materials and Methods"</b>  2.Some photographs have been provided for consideration for their inclusion in the journal paper.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Certain typographical and grammatical errors can be corrected</li> </ul>	1.Identified typographical and grammatical errors have been corrected.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The study is new and weed control is one among the necessary panacea at the present situation.</li> <li>Introduction is relevant to the topic with sufficient data</li> <li>Materials and Methods are clear and the design chosen is good as it clearly express the interaction effects.</li> <li>Tables are well arranged with observed data</li> <li>Results and discussion are good with proper evidences</li> <li>The results are well expressed by means of graphs is appreciable</li> </ul>	