Original Research Article Performance of Different Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) Varieties in Black Soils of Vidharbha-Maharashtra

6 ABSTRACT

1 2

3

4 5

7 The present study was carried out at 'Chilli and Vegetable Research Unit', Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh 8 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India during winter season of 2013-14 to investigate growth, 9 yield and qualitative parameters of various radish varieties. The experiment was laid out in Randomized 10 Block Design (R.B.D.) with seven treatments and three replications. Seven varieties of radish, used for the study as treatments were viz., V_1 - Pusa Desi, V_2 -PusaChetki, V_3 - Pusa Reshmi, V_4 - Pusa Himani, V_5 -11 12 Japanese White, V₆- Arka Nishant and V₇- IHR-1-1. The plant height was supreme (28.29 cm) in Arka Nishant, maximum chlorophyll content of leaves (3.10 mg g^{-1}) recorded in Arka Nishant. The variety 13 Arka Nishant required minimum (43 days) number of days, in weight of total fresh weight of plant 14 15 (190.06 g to 226.60 g) were observed. It was maximum in variety Arka Nishant, whereas, minimum in variety Pusa Desi. The variations in fresh weight of root (122.76 g to 161.74 g) were observed. The 16 17 maximum root to shoot ratio (1.37) was observed in variety Arka Nishant. The values of root diameter were maximum (3.69 cm) in variety Arka Nishant. The maximum root yield plot⁻¹ (32.34 kg plot⁻¹) and 18 hectare⁻¹ (53.91 t ha⁻¹) was produced in variety Arka Nishant. The maximum moisture content of root 19 20 (97.75%) was recorded in Arka Nishant variety. The ascorbic acid content was maximum (18.36 mg 100g⁻¹), TSS (4.00 ⁰B) was recorded in the variety Arka Nishant. 21

22

24

23 Keyword: Radish, black soil, vidharbha region, ascorbic acid content, T.S.S.

25 Introduction

26

27 Radish (Raphanus sativus L.) belongs to genus Raphanus, family Brassicaceae or Cruciferae originated 28 from the Central and Western China and India. It is one of the most ancient vegetables. It was cultivated 29 about 2700 B.C [3]. It is being cultivated in India over an area of 1, 69,000 ha with annual production of 22, 03,000 MT (N.H.B., 2015) [2]. Radish is a good source of vitamin A and vitamin C and minerals like 30 31 calcium, potassium, iron and phosphorus. The most popular eating part of radish is the tuberous roots 32 although the entire plant is edible and the tops can be used as a leafy vegetable. Radish has got several 33 medicinal properties. It increases appetite, prevent constipation, beneficial for the patients suffering from piles, liver trouble, enlarged spleen, jaundice, gall bladder and urinary disorders. Good quality seed is one 34 35 of the important means to increase productivity in any crop [28]. The vegetable intake as per the acclaimed of the dietitians must be 300 g day⁻¹ capita and its obtainability is 145 g in India. Out of 300 g 36 37 of vegetables, 125 g of green leafy vegetables, 100 g of roots and 75 g of other vegetables [23]. Vegetables are called as shielding food as their intake can avoid numerous diseases. Vegetables are the 38 39 richest and cheapest source of natural protective food backing carbohydrates, proteins, fats, vitamins and minerals. India is the second largest producer of vegetables after China. Radish is beneficial in liver and 40 41 gall bladder difficulties. In homoeopathy, it's used for neuralgic headache, sleeplessness and chronic 42 diarrhea. Roots, leaves, flower and pod are quite effective against gram positive bacteria. Radish 43 (Raphanus sativus L.) is an important root vegetable cultivated in India mainly for its tender roots, which 44 are used as salad or cooking vegetables. Radish is grown on an area of 67,345 ha with production of 45 803,000 t in India [27]. Radish also has therapeutic value [27]. In Maharashtra, vegetables are grown on large scale throughout the year in areas around the big cities. The roots are said to be useful in urinary 46 47 complaints, piles and in gastrodynia. A salt extracted from roots, dried and burnt to white ash is said to be 48 used as diuretic and laxative. The seeds are said to be pectin, expectorant, diuretic and carminative [12]. 49 Radish is grown for its young tender tuberous roots which are consumed raw as a salad or prepared as a 50 vegetable. It is savored for its pungent flavor and is considered as an appetizer. The young leaves are also

cooked as vegetable and eaten. Radish has energizing and depurative properties. The edible portion of 51 radish root develops from both primary root and hypocotyls. The primary root and hypocotyls develop 52 53 into edible portion of radish root. There are several causes like variety, season of planting, nutrition and irrigation which plays a principal role in yield contribution and quality production. Several varieties of 54 radish are available in the market having varying length, size, colour, taste, yield potential and quality 55 parameters. The consumers as well as growers are demanding for the varieties having good qualities. As 56 57 radish is an important salad vegetable, it is in demand throughout the year in big cities. The vegetables 58 from this region are also sold in the big markets like Mumbai, Pune, Nagpur and Hyderabad. The varieties like Pusa Reshmi, Pusa Himani, Pusa Desi, Pusa Chetki, Japanese White, Arka Nishant, and IHR-1-1 are 59 grown in various parts of the India. Higher yield, long sized roots and earliness are some of the characters 60 which might be accountable for increase in the revenue of radish growing farmers. 61

62 Material and Methods

The present experiment entitled "Performance of Different Radish Varieties at Akola Region of 63 Vidharbha" was undertaken in the field of Chilli and Vegetable Research Unit, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh 64 65 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications (Fig. 1). The treatments include seven varieties viz., Pusa Desi, Pusa Chetki, Pusa Reshmi, 66 Pusa Himani, Japanese White, Arka Nishant, and IHR-1-1 (Table 2). Soil samples were collected at depth 67 68 of 0-15 cm and were brought into laboratory, dried in shade at room temperature and processed to pass through 2-mm sieve. The soil of the experimental block was medium black. Results of pH indicated that 69 70 soil was in moderate alkaline in nature. In terms of EC (dSm⁻¹) of soil also showed that EC of soil was 71 within safe limit. Organic carbon (%) the result indicated that the organic carbon content of soil was low

- to medium. The Available nitrogen (kg ha⁻¹) was 285 kg ha⁻¹, Available phosphorus (kg ha⁻¹) was 19.3
- and Exchangeable Potassium content of site was high in results (kg ha⁻¹) was 410 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 1)

Table 1 : Physico-chemical properties of experimental plot					
Parameter	Results	Method used			
pH	<mark>7.8</mark>	pH meter [19]			
Electrical conductivity	1.3 dSm^{-1}	Conductivity meter [9]			
Organic carbon	<mark>0.31 %</mark>	Walkley and Black method [9]			
Available Nitrogen	<mark>285 kg ha⁻¹</mark>	Alkaline permanganate method [26]			
Available Phosphorus	19.3 kg ha ⁻¹	Olsen's method [9]			
Exchangeable Potassium	<mark>410 kg ha⁻¹</mark>	Neutral normal ammonium acetate method [9]			


74 The field was prepared by ploughing and frequent harrowing. FYM was given at the rate of 25 cart load


- hectare⁻¹ before last harrowing and mixed well with soil. Later on sowing was done on 20^{th} of October.
- The ridges and furrow was opened in a bed size 3.60x2.30 m. A spacing of 30 cm was kept between the

ridges. The seed was dibbled at 10 cm spacing. Fertilization carried out as per recommendations and all

- the necessary cultural practices were adopted. The observations on length of root, diameter, weight of
- root, leaves, plant and yield were recorded. The analysis of variance were carried out as suggested [18].

Table 2: S	Table 2: Source of seed used in experiment				
Sr. No.	Name of varieties	Source of seed			
1.	Pusa Desi	IARI New Delhi			
2.	Pusa Himani	IARI New Delhi			
3.	Pusa Reshmi	IARI New Delhi			
4.	Pusa Chetki	Chilli and Vegetable Research Unit, Dr. PDKV, Akola.			
5.	Arka Nishant	Department of Horticulture, Dr. PDKV, Akola			
6.	Japanese White	Local Market			







91 **Result and Discussion:**

92


89 90

80

93 Growth Parameters

94

The growth parameters like plant height, number of leaves plant⁻¹, leaf area, and chlorophyll content of 95 leaves were observed among different varieties at different growth stages. The plant height was maximum 96 97 (28.29 cm) in Arka Nishant, while it was minimum (22.70 cm) in Pusa Himani (Table 3, Fig. 2). The 98 maximum plant height 24.40, 25.96, 28.29 cm was produced by Arka Nishant variety. These differences in plant height among the varieties might be due to the genetic makeup of the plant and its expression to 99 100 the growing soil and environmental conditions. The variation in plant growth of different radish varieties were also observed, which confirms the results [1]. The supreme number of leaves $plant^{-1}$ 12.33 were 101 produced in Japanese White and it was at par with Pusa Reshmi, I H R -1-1. Whereas, the minimum 102 number of leaves plant⁻¹ 9.86 were observed in variety Pusa Chetki (Table 3, Fig. 3). The significant 103 difference in early stages of growth is cobvious, as during germination and growth initiation process, the 104 105 varieties might not have expressed their genetic potential. The significant differences thereafter could be attributed to the requirement of developing plants for more quantum of carbohydrates, which might have 106 forced the plants of these varieties to produce more number of leaves. The variation in number of leaves 107 108 among different radish varieties was also reported which supports the results of present findings [8,20,14,1,21,25,6,15]. The maximum leaf area 120,92 cm² was recorded in variety Arka Nishant, which 109 was found to be at par with the varieties Japanese White with 117.83 cm², I H R-1-1 with 113.40 cm² and 110 it was followed by the variety Pusa Chetki with 110.04 cm² (Table 3, Fig. 4). Maximum leaf area might 111 be helpful for more photosynthesis and making food for better yield potential character of plant growth 112 113 and produce maximum yield. Further, the growth characters of the plants greatly depend on the genetic makeup of the variety. Similar results were also obtained [25,16]. Also the chlorophyll content of leaves 114 (3.10 mg g⁻¹) recorded in Arka Nishant and minimum chlorophyll content of leaves was recorded (1.61 115 mg g⁻¹) in variety Pusa Desi (Table 3, Fig. 5). Chlorophyll content of leaves was might be varied 116 according to leaf area and types of leaves and genotypic character. Similar results has been reported in 117 118 Pusa Chetki cultivar of radish [17].







Fig. 2:Performance of different radish varieties in

Fig. 3:Performance of different radish varieties in



respect of Plant Height

Fig. 4:Performance of different radish varieties in respect ofLeaf area (cm²)

respect of No. of leaves plant⁻¹




Fig. 5:Performance of different radish varieties in
respect of Chlorophyll content of leaves (mg g ⁻¹)

119 120

Table 3: Performance of different radish varieties in respect of various Growth parameters						
Treatment	Plant Height at	No. of leaves	Leaf area	Chlorophyll content of		
(Varieties)	Harvest (cm)	plant ⁻¹	(cm^2)	leaves (mg g^{-1})		
V1 - Pusa Desi	23.95	10.46	87.76	1.61		
V2 - Pusa Himani	23.70	11.33	85.04	2.95		
V3 - Pusa Reshmi	23.50	11.66	95.46	3.04		
V4 - Pusa Chetki	26.28	9.86	110.04	2.95		
V5 - Arka Nishant	28.29	10.26	120.92	3.10		
V6 - Japanese White	26.02	12.33	117.83	2.93		
V7 - I H R-1-1	24.88	11.86	113.40	2.74		
"F" test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.		
SEm±	1.02	0.29	2.53	0.16		
CD at 5 %	3.15	0.90	7.82	0.49		

121

122 Yield Parameters:

123

124 Days required to harvest

125 The variety Arka Nishant required minimum (43) number of days that is 43 days for edible size and it was 126 closely followed by varieties Pusa Chetki (46 days), Japanese White, Pusa Himani (49 days), Pusa Reshmi (50 days) and Pusa Desi (52 days). However, the maximum numbers of days that is 58 days were 127 taken by variety IHR -1-1 for obtaining edible size of roots (Table 4). The data in respect to number of 128 days required to harvesting and to attend edible size, indicated that, it was varied from 43-58 days. The 129 130 differences in maturity period can be attributed to genetic differences among the cultivars and ecological as well as climatic condition, as climate during growth and development of plant plays a dominant role in 131 growth, yield and quality of radish. Similar trend of result was also observed [22,5,16]. 132

133

Table 4: Performance of different radish varieties in respect of yield parameters							
Treatment	Treatment Days require to Fresh weight of Fresh weight of Total fresh weight of						
(Varieties)	(Varieties) harvest leaves (g) root (g) plant (g)						
V1 - Pusa Desi	52	67.48	122.76	190.06			
V2 - Pusa	49	72.64	133.66	204.22			

Himani				
V3 - Pusa Reshmi	50	74.70	140.88	214.74
V4 - Pusa Chetki	46	64.85	154.80	218.10
V5 - Arka Nishant	43	66.02	161.74	226.60
V6 - Japanese White	49	68.12	153.38	223.75
V7 - I H R-1-1	58	70.99	133.25	216.10
"F" test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.
SEm±	0.52	1.54	1.22	4.40
CD at 5 %	1.63	4.77	3.75	13.58

134

135 Fresh weight of leaves (g)

The data presented in Table 4 revealed that, the fresh weight of leaves were significantly influenced by the different varieties of radish. The variety Pusa Reshmi recorded 74.70 g, expressively maximum fresh weight of leaves, which was statistically at par with Pusa Himani (72.64g) and IHR -1-1 with 70.99 g.

- 139 Whereas, the minimum weight of leaves 64.85 g was recorded in variety Pusa Chetki (Table 4). The
- similar variations in fresh weight of leaves among different varieties have reported [16].

141 Fresh weight of root (g)

The weight of roots influenced significantly among the different varieties. It was observed that, the maximum fresh weight of root was 161.74 g recorded in variety Arka Nishant. However, the minimum weight of root was 122.76 g recorded in variety Pusa Desi. The varieties Pusa Himani (133.66 g) and IHR-1-1 (133.25g) were found statistically at par with each other (Table 4). The variation in the weight of root might be due to the genetic variation. Increase in leaf area, root diameter, plant height might be reflected in the increases in the root weight. The present findings are in conformity with the work done

148 [8,5,7] on radish crop.

149 Total fresh weight of plant (g)

The variety Arka Nishant recorded highest total fresh weight of plant 226.60 g which was statistically at par with variety Japanese White which was 223.75 g, Pusa Reshmi, Pusa Chetki, Japanese White, IHR -1-

152 1. While, the minimum total fresh weight of plant was 190.06 g recorded in variety Pusa Desi (Table 4).
 153 The variations in total fresh weight of plant among different radish varieties might be due to the varietal

154 difference. The results of the present investigation are similar [16,7] in radish.

155 **Root yield hectare**⁻¹ (t ha⁻¹)

The variety Arka Nishant recorded maximum root yield of 53.91 t ha⁻¹ and it was significantly superior 156 over rest of varieties and followed by Japanese White with 50. 86 t ha⁻¹ and Pusa Chetki with 50.78 t ha⁻¹. 157 Whereas, the minimum root yield was 40.90 t ha⁻¹ recorded in variety Pusa Desi (Table 5). It is obivious 158 that, the varieties which performed better in a unit area are likely to perform better on large scale as the 159 yield hectare⁻¹ was calculated by multiplying yield plot⁻¹ with hectare factor. The yield is the result of 160 interaction of the genotype to a given agro climatic and management factors. Further, yield is supposed to 161 162 be reflections of the yield components. The yield variations among these seven varieties may be considered as varietal difference, as all these varieties are tested under same soil, management and similar 163 164 agro climatic conditions. The variations in yield among the radish varieties were also reported by several 165 workers [22,24,8,5,11].

166

Table 5: Performance of different radish varieties in respect of Root yield hectare⁻¹ (t ha⁻¹) and Root to

	shoot ratio			
Treatment	Root yield hectare ⁻¹	Root to shoot ratio		
(Varieties)	$(t ha^{-1})$	Noor to shoot fatto		
V1 - Pusa Desi	40.90	0.94		
V2 - Pusa Himani	44.52	0.89		

V3 - Pusa Reshmi	46.95	0.94
V4 - Pusa Chetki	50.76	0.86
V5 - Arka Nishant	53.91	1.37
V6 - Japanese White	50.86	1.23
V7 - I Ĥ R -1-1	44.40	0.82
"F" test	Sig.	Sig.
SEm±	0.41	0.09
CD at 5 %	1.27	0.18

167 **Root to shoot ratio**

The data related to root to shoot ratio in radish as influenced by varieties of radish are presented in Table 5 shows the significantly maximum root to shoot ratio produced by variety Arka Nishant was 1.37 and it was superior over rest of the varieties and followed by Japanese White (1.23), Pusa Chetki (0.86), respectively. The minimum root to shoot ratio recorded in variety IHR-1-1 was 0.82 (Table 5). The root to shoot ratio indicated significant differences among the different radish varieties. The variations in root to shoot ratio among different radish varieties were also observed [1,4] which supports the results of the present investigation.

175

176 **Qualityparameters:**

177

178 Ascorbic acid content of root (mg 100 g^{-1})

179 The ascorbic acid content of root was significantly influenced by different radish varieties. The maximum ascorbic acid content of root recorded in variety Arka Nishant and Pusa Chetki was 18.36 mg 100g⁻¹ 180 which was followed by Japanese White (18.28 mg $100g^{-1}$). Whereas, the minimum (13.59 mg $100g^{-1}$) 181 ascorbic acid content of root was recorded in variety Pusa Desi (Table 6). It might be due to the fact that, 182 genetic cause or responses of the particular genotype to the soil and climatic conditions might be reflected 183 184 in such characters. Similar results were also reported [10,16,13]. It is interesting to state that, more content of ascorbic acid in root of radish would be responsible for longer preservation of the produce 185 186 during storage.

187

Table 6: Performance of different radish varieties in respect of Ascorbic acid content of root (mg 100 g ⁻¹ and TSS of root (⁰ Brix)					
Treatment (Varieties)	Ascorbic acid content of root (mg 100g ⁻¹)	TSS of root (⁰ Brix)			
V1 - Pusa Desi	13.59	3.82			
V2 - Pusa Himani	16.58	3.49			
V3 - Pusa Reshmi	17.11	3.48			
V4 - Pusa Chetki	18.36	3.89			
V5 - Arka Nishant	18.36	4.00			
V6 - Japanese White	18.24	3.74			
V7 - I H R-1-1	18.12	3.02			
"F" test	Sig.	Sig.			
SEm±	0.84	0.10			
CD at 5 %	2.58	0.32			

188

189 Total soluble solids (TSS) of root (⁰Brix)

From the data presented in Table 6, it was observed that, the maximum 4.00 TSS content in the root was recorded in variety Arka Nishant which was at par with varieties Pusa Chetki (3.89 ⁰Brix), Japanese White (3.74 ⁰Brix) and Pusa Desi (3.82 ⁰Brix). The minimum (3.02 ⁰Brix) TSS content in the root was recorded in variety I H R-1-1 and remaining varieties were at par with each other (Table 6). The variation

in the TSS content in root, might be due to the indivisual character of variety and it also might be due to

the effect of soil and climatic conditions wherein that variety grown. These results are in conformity with

the finding [10]in radish crop.

197 Pithiness in root

198 The data regarding scores obtained after the evaluation of radish plants of different radish varieties, for

- 199 pithiness in root regarding different quality characters like diameter of root and fiber content in it as
- 200 vegetable, by different outcomes are presented in Table 7. The differences in pithiness in root can be
- attributed to genetic dissimilarities among the cultivars and biological as well as climatic situation, as
- 202 climate throughout the growth and development of plant plays a dominant role in pithiness in root of
- 203 radish. Similar trend of result was also observed [17].
- 204

Table 7: Performance of different radish varieties in respect of Pithiness in root							
	Different harvesting dates and pithiness status						
Treatment	43	46	49	52	55	58	60
(Varieties)	Days after	Days after	Days after	Days after	Days after	Days after	Days after
	Sowing	Sowing	Sowing	Sowing	Sowing	Sowing	Sowing
V1 - Pusa	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р	Р
Desi	111	111	111	111	111	1	1
V2 - Pusa	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р	Р	Р
Himani	111	111	111	111	1	1	1
V3 - Pusa	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р	Р	Р
Reshmi	111	111	111	111	1	1	1
V4 - Pusa	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р
Chetki	111	111	111	111	111	111	1
V5 - Arka	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р
Nishant	111	111	111	111	111	111	1
V6 -							_
Japanese	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р
White							_
V7 - IHR-1-1	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р
*NP= Non pithy root							
*P = Pithy roo	t						

205 Conclusion:

206 The plant height was supreme (28.29 cm) in Arka Nishant, maximum chlorophyll content of leaves (3.10

mg g⁻¹) recorded in Arka Nishant. The variety Arka Nishant required minimum (43 days) number of days, 207 208 in weight of total fresh weight of plant (190.06 g to 226.60 g) were observed. It was maximum in variety Arka Nishant, whereas, minimum in variety Pusa Desi. The variations in fresh weight of root (122.76 g to 209 161.74 g) were observed. The maximum root to shoot ratio (1.37) was observed in variety Arka Nishant. 210 The values of root diameter were maximum (3.69 cm) in variety Arka Nishant. The maximum root yield 211 plot⁻¹ (32.34 kg plot⁻¹) and hectare⁻¹ (53.91 t ha⁻¹) was produced in variety Arka Nishant. The maximum 212 moisture content of root (97.75%) was recorded in Arka Nishant variety. The ascorbic acid content was 213 maximum (18.36 mg100g⁻¹), TSS (4.00 ⁰B) was recorded in the variety Arka Nishant. In nutshell, the 214 radish varieties Arka Nishant, Japanese White and Pusa Chetki had shown the significantly superior 215 216 performance with respect to most of the growth, yield and quality attributes. Hence, these varieties are suitable for agro-climatic conditions of Akola. Among seven varieties, the variety Arka Nishant 217 performed better in black soils of vidharbha region. 218

219 **References:**

Anjanappa, M., N. Shiva Reddy, K. Murli and K.S. Krishnappa, 1998. Performance of certain radish varieties under southern dry region of Karnataka. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 11(3); 862-864.

- Area and production estimates for horticultural crops. National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon, Haryana, 2015. <u>www.nhb.gov.in</u>
- 224 3. Becker, G., 1962, Handbush der pflanzenzuchtung. 6; 23-78.
- 4. Bhat, K. L., 1996, Effect of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on yield of different cultivars of radish. Crop Res, 11(2);204-206.
- 5. Bhatti, M.H., H. I. Ullah, S. Khan, and A. Shakoor, 1983. Studies on evaluation of exotic and local cultivars of radish, Pakistan J. Agric. Res. 4 (1); 17-21.
- 6. Chapagain, T. R., S. Piya, N. B. Dangal, J. L. Mandal, and B. P. Chaudhary, 2010. Comparisons of commercial and local varieties of radish at different level of manures and fertilizers. Nepal J. of Sci. and Tech. 11; 51-56.
- 7. Deotale, A.B., P.V. Bilorkar, N.G. Bedvaik, S. R. Patil, and J. R. Rathod, 1994. Studies on performance of some radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) cultivars under Nagpur condition. J. Soils and Crops 4(2); 120-121.
- 8. Dixit, J., R. P. Singh and G. S. Gaur, 1980. A note on studies on varietal performance of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). Haryana J. Hort. Sci. 9(1-2); 98-100.
- 237 9. Jackson ML. soil chemical analysis Prentice Hall of India Pvt. New Delhi, 1967.
- 10. Joshi, P.C. and N.S. Patil, 1988. Effect of plant density, nitrogen and phosphorus on TSS and ascorbic
 acid content of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). South Indian Horticulture 36(6); 331-332.
- 11. Khokhar, K.M., I. Hussain, and K.M. Qureshi, 1987. Performance of some early maturing radish cultivars in respect yield and certain growth characters. Pakistan J. Agric. Res. 24(2);112/16.
- 242 12. Kirtikar, K. R. and B. D. Basu, 1935. Indian Medicinal Plants. Lalit Mohan Basu, Allahabad.
- 13. Kopta, T., and R. Pokluda, 2013. Yields, quality and nutritional parameters of radish (*Raphanus sativus*) cultivars when grown organically in the Czech Republic. Hort. Sci. (Prague), 40; 16–21.
- 14. Kumar, J.C., B.S. Sooch, and D.S. Khurana, 1995. Studies on evaluation of radish cultivars for yield and its parameters during spring season. The Punjab Veg. Grower. (30); 62-63.
- 15. Kumar, R., R. Sharma, R.K. Gupta, and M. Singh, 2012. Determination of genetic variability and divergence for root yield and quality characters in temperate radishes. International J. of Veg. Sci. 18(4);307-318.
- 16. Mapari, A.V., V.N. Dod, P.D. Peshattiwar and Archana Thorat, 2009. Genetic variability in radish.
 The Asian J. of Hort. 4 (2);225-258.
- 17. Marcelis, L. F. M, E. Heuvelink and D. Van Dijk, 1997. Pithiness and growth of radish tubers as affected by irradiance and plant density. Annals of Botany 79; 397-402.
- Panse, V. G. and P. U. Sukhatme, 1957. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, Indian Council of
 Agriculture Research publication New Delhi.
- 256 19. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Hans publishers, Bombay, 1966; 368.
- 257 20. Ponnuswami, V., E. Vadivel, and I. Irulappan, 1980: Studies on variability studies in Radish
 (*Raphanus sativus* L.). South Ind.Hort.30; 42-44.
- 21. Rabbani, M.A., Y. Murakami, Y. Kuginuki, and K. Takayanagi, 1998. Genetic variation in radish
 (*Raphanus sativus* L.) germplasm from Pakistan using morphological traits and RAPDs. Genetic
 Resources and Crop Evaluation (45); 307-316.
- 262 22. Rajgopal, A., P. Rangaswamy, and A. Metha, 1979. Studies on varietal evaluation in radish. South Ind.
 263 Hort. (27);75-78.
- 264 23. Salaria, A. S. and B. S. Salaria, 2009. Horticulture at a Glance. Jain Brothers New Delhi 2; 7-8.
- 24. Singh, G.P., M.L. Pandita and G.R. Singh, 1979. Effect of date of sowing on growth, yield and quality
 on different cultivars of radish. Haryana. J. Hort. Sci. 8(3-4); 134-138.
- 267 25. Singh, V.B. and R.K. Taj, 2005: Evaluation of radish cultivars under rain fed conditions of Nagaland.
 268 Prog.Horti.37 (1); 72-74.
- 269 26. Subbaiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Curr. Sd. 1956; 25;259-260.
- 271 27. Sundaram, V., Udaykumar, A., Vadivel, E. 2005. Effective microorganisms on growth and yield of
 272 radish (*Raphanus sativus*. L.) cv. Pusa Chetki (short). http:// www.eminfo.nl/radish.doc.

- 28. Verma S., K., Phogat K. P. S., 1994, Impact of pollinations by honey bees (Apiscerena) on yield of radish under valley conditions of Himalyan hills. Indian Bee Journal, 45;183-186.