

VARIATION OF SOIL FERTILITY WITH DIVERSE HILL SOILS OF CHITTAGONG HILL TRACTS, BANGLADESH

Abstract

This study was carried out to evaluate chemical and nutritional properties in high, medium and low hill soils of three hilly district of Chittagong Hill Tracts, Bangladesh. There were 30 hill sites and every hill site was a different hill with changeable slopes. A total number of 90 soil samples were collected from surface from three position of each hill for analysis. Results showed that chemical and nutritional properties varied for different hills. Mean values of soil pH, organic matter, total N, total P, Ca, Mg, K, S, B, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn of three different hill soils ranged from 5.00 to 5.35, 1.82 to 2.19%, 0.09 to 0.17 %, 3.44 to 5.24 mg kg^{-1} , 2.19 to 2.82 mg 100g^{-1} , 1.39 to 1.62 mg 100g^{-1} , 0.29 to 0.49 mg 100g^{-1} , 5.97 to 10.85 mg kg^{-1} , 0.23 to 0.25 mg kg^{-1} , 0.24 to 0.67 mg kg^{-1} , 44.48 to 67.63 mg kg^{-1} , 16.28 to 20.84 mg kg^{-1} , and 0.44 to 0.71 mg kg^{-1} . Individually high, medium and low hill soils showed variation in chemical and nutritional properties for different sites. From this result it is assessed that the soils are generally poor in organic matter and nutrients as well as poor in fertility status.

Keywords: Chittagong hill tract, Organic matter, Soil Sustainability and Slope of Hill.

INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is consisted of a total land area of 147,570 km^2 with hilly areas of 17,342 km^2 (8.5 % of total area of Bangladesh). Chittagong Hill Tracts is the wide ranging hilly area in the southeastern part of the country which is situated in between 21°25' N and 23°25' N latitude and 91°54' E to 92°50' E longitude.(MOCHTA 2011). Rangamati, Bandarban and Khagrachari are three unique geographical and cultural landscape administrative districts in this region (BBS 2014),

The area of the Chittagong Hill Tracts consists of 92% is highland, 2% medium highland, 1% medium lowland and 5% homestead and water bodies. It is estimated that the agricultural potential of hill soils is mainly suitable for low for field crops, though it ranges between low and high for tree crops including transplanted aman-cowpea, aubergin, broadcast aush, bitter gourd, sweet potato, cotton ,okra, cucumber, sweet gourd, sugarcane, maize, pineapple, coriander leaf, and some other summer and winter vegetables.The weather of this region is tropical monsoon climate. The mean annual rainfall here is about 2540 mm to 3810 mm in the south and west and 2540 mm in the north. November to March is the dry and cool season; pre-monsoon season is April to May which is very hot and sunny and June to October is the monsoon season in this area, which is warm, cloudy and wet. Most of the people here live on agriculture which is the main source of livelihood. Generally, there is lacking of Non-farm income opportunities and in some areas it doesn't even exist. The tribal populations here are deprived of many facilities and they are the most disadvantaged group of populations in

41 Bangladesh. Shifting agriculture, which is also known as Jhum is the main cultivation
42 systems in this region. There is only a little impact on agricultural land use patterns of
43 different government plans and programs to promote the agricultural system. So eventually
44 the shifting agriculture led to indiscriminate destruction of forest and the tribal populations
45 are suffering from food insecurity which is ultimately resulting ecological degradation in this
46 hill tract regions. Environmentally compatible and economically viable agricultural system
47 policies and program should be provided to remove poverty caused by traditional agriculture
48 and environmental degradation in the Chittagong Hill Tracts of Bangladesh (Thapa and
49 Rasul, 2005). It is a mandatory fact that there should be understanding in local condition for
50 making any effective plans and programs for agricultural development which led to
51 classification and characterization of farming/agricultural systems. (Hardiman, 1990).

52 Some decades ago, humid tropical rainforests and diverse flora and fauna covered this region.
53 Now-a-days, this area is largely been deforested because of the pressure of increased human
54 population. Shifting cultivation in hilly areas is also considered as one of the main factors of
55 forest degradation (Salam *et. al.* 1999).The physical, geomorphic and soil characteristics of
56 the Chittagong region differs to the rest of Bangladesh. It consists of highland and medium
57 hills and there is also a small area which is consisted of lowland valleys and plain lands
58 (Khan et al. 2007).

59

60 The soils of hilly areas are graded into broken shale and mottled sand at a depth with the
61 color of yellowish brown to reddish brown loams. This natural resource is not infinite in
62 nature. The source is important and not possible for within time span of a human life (Mandal
63 *et al.* 2009). While there is an agricultural operations, soil should be the utmost importance
64 because it is the cradle for all crops and plants. The depth of top soil is about 15–30 cm on
65 which plants grow. The farming activities also flourishes here. Hence, to increase agriculture
66 production, it is important to keep healthy and productive soil with appropriate soil
67 amendment and crop management practices so that the function of the soil can be continued
68 optimally(MacCarthy *et al.* 2013). To increase the fertility status of soil of this region, a wide
69 variation in the parent materials, topography and vegetation should be brought in this soil. At
70 present, the most common phenomenon in the hill tract and undulated areas of Bangladesh is
71 land degradation. There occurs a high intensity rainfall (>3000 mm in some areas) which
72 causes extremely soil erosion in most of the areas of Chittagong Hill Tracts by run-off over
73 steep and very steep slopes (Khisha 1982). Deforestation, soil erosion and soil fertility
74 depletion are considered as land degradation which take place at a massive scale in the
75 Chittagong areas. Water storage and supply schemes of sedimentation and flooding resulting
76 in the increased negative downstream effects which is caused by the hydrological regime
77 (E.g. the Kaptai reservoir; N.N. 2002).

78 Though it is a satisfactory matter that alternative land uses in some areas are gradually
79 evolving day by day. Agro-forestry is getting popular in some tribal communities.
80 Horticulture is also considered to be environmentally and economically suitable; others are
81 also having their agriculture by integrating trees and livestock with annual crops.It is helpful
82 to improve the economic benefits as well as reduce possible risks of food scarcity and low
83 income in many ways (Khan and Khisha 1970, Roy 1995). The Government of Bangladesh
84 has taken some steps to generate scientific information alternative land-use practices while

85 facing the development challenge in the Chittagong. In addition, there are many reports
86 elsewhere about the short-term soil nutrient dynamics which are studied in detailed associated
87 with the slash and burn practice (Gafur *et al.* 2000). Therefore, a comprehensive knowledge
88 proved that geo-statistical analysis methods are very useful for obtaining for the
89 understanding of characteristics, distribution and variability of soil fertility in timely and
90 proper manner for agricultural farming. For the site-specific management, that is a
91 management practice which increases productivity of agriculture (Cahn *et al.* 1994).

92 Several scholars (Feder, Onchan, & Chalamwong, 1988; Li *et al.*, 1998; Thapa, 1998) have
93 laid emphasis on tenurial security of fertility status as important factor influencing land use
94 decision which can make a better agroforestry management. Thapa and Rasul (2005)
95 classified agricultural systems in the mountain regions of Bandarban in the Chittagong Hill
96 Tracts of Bangladesh. This systems were classified into three major groups and they are
97 extensive, semi-extensive and intensive - using cluster analysis. There was conducted a study
98 on the three districts of Chittagong hill tract in khagrachari, Rangamati and Bandarban to
99 evaluate and analysis the fertility status on each sites having individual slopes, elevation and
100 forest type (Imam and Kashem, 2014).

101 As a developing country, soil nutrient status is the main constrain to agricultural productivity.
102 So the knowledge of nutrient status in the various region of the country led to proper
103 management of land patterns. As Chittagong Hill Tracts region cover a huge land area, so
104 sustainable agricultural managements and systems should be applied in this area to increase
105 soil productivity and crop yield. The objective of this present study is to draw a general
106 picture of fertility status of hilly soils in this area to strengthen the national and local soil
107 quality database so that goal-oriented soil evaluations and predictions can be made and to
108 show the changes of chemical and nutritional properties in high, medium and low hill soils.
109 This research work also conducted to give some suggestion on improving chemical and
110 nutritional properties of soil for the maintenance of soil health quality and to increase
111 agricultural productivity. This information also will help to apply revised soil management
112 and effective strategy on organic and fertilizer inputs as well as suitable agricultural
113 technique and cropping patterns.

114

115 **Materials and Methods**

116 This study area covered mainly three hilly districts, Rangamati, Khagrachari and Bandarban
117 of Chittagong Hill Tracts. Ten high hill, ten medium hill and ten low hill sites were randomly
118 selected from these locations for collecting soil samples. Soil samples were collected from
119 three surface position in each sampling hill site. Three samples were obtained from the hill
120 top, mid-slope and foot hill of each hill site and then a composite sample is made for each
121 hill. Total 90 soil samples are collected and 30 composite soil samples are made for three
122 different type hills. Soil samples are taken in polythene bags, marked well and carried to the
123 laboratory to assess chemical and nutritional properties.

124 Total Nitrogen content was determined following micro-kjeldal method as described by
125 Jackson (1973). Soil sample was digested with H₂O₂, conc. H₂SO₄ and catalyst mixture
126 (K₂SO₄. CuSO₄. 5H₂O: Se = 10:1:0.1) Nitrogen in the digest was estimated by distillation
127 with 40% NaOH followed by titration of the distillate trapped in H₃BO₃ with 0.01 N H₂SO₄
128 (Page *et al* 1982) The determination of total P content was made colorimetrically by the

129 vanadomolybdate procedure based on the yellow color of the unreduced
130 vanadomolybdophosphoric heteropoly complex in $\text{HNO}_3\text{-HClO}_4$ digest medium (Barton
131 1948, Kitson and Mellon, 1944). Total sulfur was estimated by the turbid metric method using
132 $\text{HNO}_3\text{-HClO}_4$ acid digest (Jackson 1973).

133 The pH in a solution can be measured by the use of glass electrode associated with a
134 millivoltmeter. The pH measured in a soil suspension made using 0.01M CaCl_2 and the pH
135 varies less with changes in soil: solution ratio (Imam and Didar, 2005). The organic matter of
136 the soil sample was determined by Walkley and Black's (1934) wet oxidation method. Here
137 Oxidation was done with potassium dichromate associated with sulfuric acid conc. Total
138 potassium in $\text{HNO}_3\text{-HClO}_4$ acid digest was determined by using Jencons Flame Photometer
139 (Model No. PFP 7). Total Calcium and Magnesium content was determined titrimetrically
140 (Heald 1965) from $\text{HNO}_3\text{-HClO}_4$ acid digest (Jackson 1973). Total Iron content was
141 determined using colorimetric method (Olson 1965) from HNO_3 acid digest (Jackson 1973).
142 Total Manganese content was determined using colorimetric method (Adams 1965) from
143 HNO_3 acid digest (Jackson 1973). Total Zinc was determined by Atomic Absorption
144 Spectrometer (Model: VARIAN 220) from HNO_3 acid digest. (Jackson 1973). Total Copper
145 was determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (Model: VARIAN 220) from HNO_3
146 acid digest (Jackson 1973). Total Boron was determined by Curcumin method using a
147 suspension associated with 1N CaCl_2 (Imam and Didar 2005).

148 **Results and Discussion**

149 **Chemical Properties**

150 Soil pH varied between 4.5 (sample A₄) to 5.8 (sample A₇) among high hill soils (Table-1),
151 4.5 (sample B₄) to 6.0 (sample B₉ and B₁₀) among medium hill soils (Table-2) and 4.3
152 (sample C₅, C₇ and C₁₀) to 5.8 (sample C₈) among low hill soils (Table-3). According to the
153 USDA classification (Soil Survey Division Staff 1993), mean pH of the presently studied
154 high hill soils and medium hill soils fall in strongly acid category and mean pH of low hill
155 soils fall in very strongly acid category.

156 Organic matter content varied from 1.42% (sample A₅) to 2.87% (sample A₈) among high hill
157 soils, 0.57% (sample B₄) to 3.09% (sample B₆) among medium hill soils and 0.99% (sample
158 C₁) to 2.76% (sample C₉) among low hill soils. Bangladesh soils normally contain low
159 organic matter content; most soils having less than 1.5% organic matter in 0-15 cm surface
160 soil (BARC 2005). On the basis of organic matter content, agricultural soils of Bangladesh
161 classified into very low (<1.0%), low (1.0-1.7%), medium (1.7-3.4%), high (3.4-5.5%) and
162 very high (>5.5%). Hill soils contain higher organic matter than agricultural soils (Osman
163 2013). According to this scheme, mean organic matter content of high, medium and low hill
164 soils fall in medium category.

165 **Nutritional Properties**

166 The hill soils in most under developed countries are not fertilized and nutrient demands of
167 trees are mainly met by nutrient recycling (Vitousek and Sanford 1986). Most terrestrial
168 ecosystems are considered nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) limited (Aerts and Chapin 2000).
169 Total nitrogen contents ranged from 0.08% (sample A₁) to 0.70% (sample A₅) among high
170 hill soils, 0.03% (sample B₄) to 0.15% (sample B₆) among medium hill soils and 0.05%
171 (sample C₁) to 0.16% (sample C₉) among low hill soils. Normally soils with low organic

172 matter contain low nitrogen. Mean values of total nitrogen content of all three types of hill
173 soils seem very low.

174 Total P in the presently studied soils varied between 1.24 mg kg^{-1} (sample A₁₀) to 6.68 mg
175 kg^{-1} (sample A₃) among high hill soils, 1.50 mg kg^{-1} (sample B₄) to 10.38 mg kg^{-1} (sample
176 B₁) among medium hill soils and 1.95 mg kg^{-1} (sample C₆) to 10.31 mg kg^{-1} (sample C₁₀)
177 among low hill soils. Mean value of total P content of high and medium hill soils fall in
178 medium (between 2.66 to 4.22 mg kg^{-1}) and low hill soils fall in high ($>4.22 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$)
179 category.

180 Table-1: Chemical and nutritional properties of high hill soils

Sampl e	pH	Organic Matter (%)	Total N (%)	Total P (mg kg^{-1})	Ca (mg 100g^{-1})	Mg (mg 100g^{-1})	K (mg 100g^{-1})	S (mg kg^{-1})	B (mg kg^{-1})	Cu (mg kg^{-1})	Fe (mg kg^{-1})	Mn (mg kg^{-1})	Zn (mg kg^{-1})
A ₁	5.3	1.53	0.08	4.28	5.50	1.00	0.16	6.35	0.17	0.36	11.8 0	12.00	1.72
A ₂	5.6	2.27	0.11	1.95	4.00	2.50	0.16	5.44	0.17	0.26	28.2 0	6.00	0.22
A ₃	5.2	2.73	0.14	6.68	2.50	2.50	0.40	7.60	0.26	0.86	34.2 0	46.20	0.36
A ₄	4.5	2.20	0.11	6.50	5.50	2.50	0.53	12.4 7	0.38	0.92	42.6 0	25.60	0.36
A ₅	5.6	1.42	0.70	3.69	1.00	1.00	0.70	10.6 4	0.17	0.86	10.6 0	11.00	0.08
A ₆	5.6	1.91	0.09	2.59	1.00	0.80	0.11	1.00	0.32	0.04	54.6 0	5.80	0.30
A ₇	5.8	1.47	0.09	2.74	2.00	1.45	0.20	8.00	0.20	0.62	71.8 0	5.00	0.62
A ₈	5.3	2.87	0.15	2.53	1.83	0.96	0.39	4.66	0.25	0.24	54.4 0	22.80	0.28
A ₉	5.5	2.59	0.12	2.24	1.85	1.31	0.27	4.50	0.25	1.47	73.9 5	11.54	0.20
A ₁₀	5.7	2.69	0.13	1.24	3.00	2.18	0.21	8.00	0.21	1.06	62.6 5	16.90	0.23
Mean	5.3 5	2.17	0.17	3.44	2.82	1.62	0.31	6.87	0.24	0.67	44.4 8	16.28	0.44

181

182 Total calcium content in this present study ranged from 1.00 mg 100g^{-1} (sample A₅ and A₆) to
183 5.50 mg 100g^{-1} (sample A₁ and A₄) among high hill soils, 0.43 mg 100g^{-1} (sample B₄) to 3.50
184 mg 100g^{-1} (sample B₂ and B₃) among medium hill soils and 0.10 mg 100g^{-1} (sample C₁) to
185 6.01 mg 100g^{-1} (sample C₃) among low hill soils. Mean values of total calcium content was
186 low ($<42.0 \text{ mg 100g}^{-1}$) for all three types of hill soils.

187 Total magnesium content varied between 0.80 mg 100g^{-1} (sample A₆) to 2.50 mg 100g^{-1}
188 (sample A₂, A₃ and A₄) among high hill soils, 50 mg 100g^{-1} (sample B₄) to 2.50 mg 100g^{-1}
189 (sample B₂ and B₃) among medium hill soils and 0.52 mg 100g^{-1} (sample C₅) to 3.50 mg
190 100g^{-1} (sample C₃) among low hill soils. Mean total magnesium content for high and medium

191 hill soils fall in medium (between 14.1 to 21.9 $\text{mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$) and low hill soils fall in low (<14.1
 192 $\text{mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$) category.

193 Total potassium ranged from 0.11 $\text{mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ (sample A₆) to 0.70 $\text{mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ (sample A₅)
 194 among high hill soils, 0.15 $\text{mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ (sample B₄) to 0.47 $\text{mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ (sample B₅) among
 195 medium hill soils and 0.11 $\text{mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ (sample C₅) to 2.10 $\text{mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ (sample C₂) among low
 196 hill soils. There were low mean values of K (<9.4 $\text{mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$) in all the three types of hill
 197 soils.

198 Total Sulfur content varied between 1.00 mg kg^{-1} (sample A₆) to 12.47 mg kg^{-1} (sample A₄) in
 199 high hill soils, 1.00 mg kg^{-1} (sample B₇) to 15.15 mg kg^{-1} (sample B₁₀) in medium hill soils
 200 and 1.00 mg kg^{-1} (sample C₉) to 20.36 mg kg^{-1} (sample C₂) in low hill soils. It is observed that
 201 mean values of sulfur content is low (4 – 7 mg kg^{-1}) in high and medium hill soils and
 202 medium (8 - 12 mg kg^{-1}) in low hill soils.

203

204 Table-2: Chemical and nutritional properties of medium hill soils

Sample	pH	Organic Matter (%)	Total N (%)	Total P (mg kg^{-1})	Ca ($\text{mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$)	Mg ($\text{mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$)	K ($\text{mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$)	S (mg kg^{-1})	B (mg kg^{-1})	Cu (mg kg^{-1})	Fe (mg kg^{-1})	Mn (mg kg^{-1})	Zn (mg kg^{-1})
B ₁	5.3	2.42	0.12	10.38	1.50	1.50	0.36	2.98	0.36	0.14	50.10	23.60	1.92
B ₂	5.2	1.12	0.05	3.20	3.50	2.50	0.17	7.55	0.21	0.14	12.60	6.60	0.08
B ₃	5.0	2.83	0.14	4.92	3.50	2.50	0.25	2.40	0.19	0.12	76.80	37.80	0.56
B ₄	4.5	0.57	0.03	1.50	0.43	0.50	0.15	3.78	0.12	0.04	5.00	31.40	0.10
B ₅	5.0	2.27	0.11	3.20	2.50	1.50	0.47	5.31	0.35	0.10	34.40	25.80	0.42
B ₆	5.8	3.09	0.15	2.11	2.30	1.76	0.29	8.00	0.27	0.75	63.10	16.15	0.31
B ₇	5.5	2.64	0.11	5.92	2.00	1.15	0.37	1.00	0.29	0.03	36.20	13.20	0.24
B ₈	5.8	2.50	0.12	3.05	2.10	1.36	0.36	8.20	0.28	0.38	64.30	13.70	0.65
B ₉	6.0	2.46	0.11	2.87	2.50	1.30	0.36	5.33	0.22	0.16	117.40	28.20	0.31
B ₁₀	6.0	2.03	0.10	3.68	1.60	0.98	0.19	15.15	0.25	0.51	62.18	11.92	0.35
Mean	5.41	2.19	0.10	4.08	2.19	1.50	0.29	5.97	0.25	0.24	52.21	20.84	0.49

205

206 Total Boron content ranged from 0.17 mg kg^{-1} (sample A₁, A₂ and A₅) to 0.38 mg kg^{-1} (sample
 207 A₄) in high hill soils, 0.12 mg kg^{-1} (sample B₄) to 0.36 mg kg^{-1} (sample B₁) in medium hill
 208 soils and 0.05 mg kg^{-1} (sample C₇) to 0.41 mg kg^{-1} (sample C₁) in low hill soils. Mean value of
 209 boron content seems very low (0 – 0.3 mg kg^{-1}) for all the three types of hill soils.

210 Total Copper content of this studied soils ranged from 0.04 mg kg^{-1} (sample A₆) to 1.47 mg kg^{-1}
 211 (sample A₉) in high hill soils, 0.04 mg kg^{-1} (sample B₄) to 0.75 mg kg^{-1} (sample B₆) in
 212 medium hill soils and 0.08 mg kg^{-1} (sample C₅) to 1.36 mg kg^{-1} (sample C₃) in low hill soils. It

is observed that mean copper content in high and low hill soils is low (0.3 – 0.8 mg kg⁻¹) but very low (0 – 0.3 mg kg⁻¹) in medium hill soils.

Total Iron content of this studied soils varied between 10.60 mg kg⁻¹ (sample A₅) to 73.95 mg kg⁻¹ (sample A₉) in high hill soils, 5.00 mg kg⁻¹ (sample B₄) to 117.40 mg kg⁻¹ (sample B₉) in medium hill soils and 8.00 mg kg⁻¹ (sample C₁) to 336.80 mg kg⁻¹ (sample C₈) in low hill soils. It seems that mean value of iron content is very high (>30.00 mg kg⁻¹) for all the three types of hill soils.

Total Manganese content ranged from 5.00 mg kg⁻¹ (sample A₇) to 46.20 mg kg⁻¹ (sample A₃) in high hill soils, 6.60 mg kg⁻¹ (sample B₂) to 37.80 mg kg⁻¹ (sample B₃) in medium hill soils and 4.20 mg kg⁻¹ (sample C₉) to 26.80 mg kg⁻¹ (sample C₃) in low hill soils. It is observed that mean values of manganese content is very high (>1.0 mg kg⁻¹) in high, medium and low hill soils.

Table-3: Chemical and nutritional properties of low hill soils

Sample	pH	Organic Matter (%)	Total N (%)	Total P (mg kg ⁻¹)	Ca (mg 100g ⁻¹)	Mg (mg 100g ⁻¹)	K (mg 100g ⁻¹)	S (mg kg ⁻¹)	B (mg kg ⁻¹)	Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)	Fe (mg kg ⁻¹)	Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)	Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)
C ₁	4.8	0.99	0.05	2.82	0.10	0.22	0.18	8.20	0.41	0.26	8.00	11.00	1.02
C ₂	5.1	1.70	0.08	7.92	3.07	2.80	2.10	20.36	0.36	0.62	28.60	25.20	0.62
C ₃	5.0	1.90	0.09	—	6.01	3.50	0.28	9.24	0.20	1.36	44.60	26.80	0.64
C ₄	5.2	1.68	0.08	8.03	2.43	0.92	0.60	2.16	0.15	0.10	8.60	9.60	0.78
C ₅	4.3	1.95	0.09	2.80	0.73	0.52	0.11	16.27	0.14	0.08	20.40	11.80	0.38
C ₆	5.6	2.04	0.10	1.95	2.26	1.06	0.14	11.88	0.18	0.18	27.80	19.60	0.40
C ₇	4.3	1.85	0.09	9.16	1.93	1.29	0.43	18.20	0.05	0.22	25.80	22.60	0.86
C ₈	5.8	2.16	0.10	2.12	2.00	1.35	0.31	14.62	0.22	0.43	54.85	17.30	0.53
C ₉	5.5	2.76	0.16	2.09	2.00	1.45	0.29	1.00	0.29	1.30	336.80	4.20	1.40
C ₁₀	4.3	1.18	0.06	10.31	1.28	0.78	0.53	6.60	0.33	0.24	120.80	16.40	0.50
Mean	5.0	1.82	0.09	5.24	2.19	1.39	0.49	10.85	0.23	0.48	67.63	16.45	0.71

Total Zinc content in this study varied between 0.08 mg kg⁻¹ (sample A₅) to 1.72 mg kg⁻¹ (sample A₁) in high hill soils, 0.08 mg kg⁻¹ (sample B₂) to 1.92 mg kg⁻¹ (sample B₁) in medium hill soils and 0.38 mg kg⁻¹ (sample C₅) to 1.40 mg kg⁻¹ (sample C₉) in low hill soils. It seems that mean values of zinc content is very low (0 – 0.5 mg kg⁻¹) for high and medium hill soils and low (0.5 – 1.0 mg kg⁻¹) for low hill soils (Guidelines for Interpretation of Soil Analysis, Buchholz 2004).

From above results it seems that chemical and nutritional status of hill soils increase with decreasing heights except manganese (Mg). So we can say that soils of low hilly area is more fertile than soils of medium and high hilly areas. The reasons are drainage conditions, steepness, shift cultivation, varieties in vegetation, erosion, land slide, shifting cultivation

(Jhum cultivation) etc. Soil pH of this area is strongly acid to very strongly acidic which is not suitable for plants. Organic matter content is moderate which is normally good for vegetation and agro-forestry. Deficiency in total N, total Ca, total Mg, total K, total S, total B, total Cu and total Zn content seemed for all type of hills which should be increased by long term fertility management program. Total phosphorus content is medium to high which is quite good for agriculture. Total Iron and Manganese content is very high. So no further step is needed for total P, total Fe and total Mn.

Conclusion

In this study the outcome revealed differences in chemical and nutritional properties among different soil samples of three type hill soils of three districts of Chittagong Hill Tracts. The general fertility of the three hill soils was low, although some samples showed adequate levels of organic matter. Soils of high hill areas are less fertile than soils of low hill area. Land degradation is one of the major concerns in this area, so management of soil chemical and nutritional properties are important. The data from this study suggest that new research initiatives should be taken on the use of organic materials to ameliorate high acidity and enhance N, Ca, Mg, K, S, Cu and Zn supply in this hilly areas. Applications of nutrients should be in recommended dose. Therefore, researchers must continue to face the challenge to provide a base for bridge building between farmer's and scientist's knowledge. Without this, a satisfactory level of crop production and the maintenance of soil quality cannot be achieved. A long term fertility management program, integrated inorganic-organic soil fertilization program and monitoring are urgently needed for sustainability. Establishment of new agricultural technologies and information of resource management in this areas would be effective in meeting the ecological needs and in fulfilling the high food demands of the increasing population in future. Horticulture cultivation might be one of the alternative way to protect land degraded area, instead of shifting cultivation (Jhum cultivation). Conservation tillage and cover crop management will be crucial for eroded soil surface. The observations of the present work may give a sign of the future planning and program in the management of soils of Chittagong Hill Tracts.

References

- Khisha, A.L., 1982. Parbatya Chattogram Jhum Chash: Otit, Bartaman oh Vabishat (in Bengali) [Shifting Cultivation in Chattagong Hill Tracks], J. Tribal Res. Vol. 1, June 1982.
- Khan, F. K. and Khisha, A. L. 1970. Shifting cultivation in East Pakistan. The Oriental Geographer. Xiv (2): 24-43.
- Roy, R. D. 1995. Land rights, land use and indigenous people in the Chittagong Hill Tracts In: Bangladesh land forest and forest people, Society for Environment and Human Development (SEHD), Gain, Dhaka, Bangladesh. 53-118.
- Hardiman, R. T., Lacey, R. and Yang, Y. M. 1990. Use of cluster analysis for identification and classification of farming systems in Qingyang Country, Central North China. Agricultural Systems, 33: 115-125.
- N.N., 2002. State of Environment, Bangladesh 2001. <http://www.eapap.unep.org/reports/soe/bangladeshsoe.cfm>.

284
285 Salam M.A., Noguchi T. and Koike M., 1999: The causes of forest cover loss in the hill
286 forests in Bangladesh GeoJournal 47(4): 539-549.
287
288 Khan MFA and Mantel S. 2007. Planning for improved natural resources management: pilot
289 study in Bandarban Sadar Upazila. CHARM Project Report No. 8. Dhaka, Bangladesh.
290
291 Ministry of Chittagong Hill Tracts Affairs (MOCHTA), Government of Bangladesh (2011).
292 <http://www.mochta.gov.bd/>
293
294 [BBS] Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. 2014. *Statistical pocketbook of Bangladesh 2013*. 07
295 June 2015. [http://www.bbs.gov.bd/WebTestApplication/userfiles/Image/LatestReports/PB201](http://www.bbs.gov.bd/WebTestApplication/userfiles/Image/LatestReports/PB2013.pdf)
296 [3.pdf](http://www.bbs.gov.bd/WebTestApplication/userfiles/Image/LatestReports/PB2013.pdf).
297
298 M.K. Mandal, R. Pati, D. Mukhopadhyay, K. Majumdar Maximization of lentil (*Lens*
299 *culinaris*) yield through management of nutrients Ind. J. Agric. Sci., Volume 79, Issue 8,
300 2009, pp. 645-647.
301
302 D.S. MacCarthy, W.A. Agyare, P.L.G. Vlek, S.G.K. Adiku. Spatial variability of some soil
303 chemical and physical properties of an agricultural landscape West Afr. J. Appl. Ecol., 21 (2)
304 (2013), pp. 47–61.
305
306 Thapa, G. B. and Rasul, G. 2005. Patterns and determinants of agricultural systems in the
307 Chittagong Hill Tracts of Bangladesh. Agricultural Systems. 84. 255-277.
308
309 A Gafur, O.K Borggaard, J.R Jensen, L Petersen., Changes in soil nutrient content under
310 shifting cultivation in the Chittagong Hill tracts of Bangladesh. Danish Journal of
311 Geography, 100 (2000), pp. 37–46.
312
313 M.D. Cahn, J.W. Hummel, B.H. Brouer. Spatial analysis of fertility for site-specific crop
314 management Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 58 (1994), pp. 1240–1248.
315
316 Chesnin, L. and C.H. Yien. 1951. Turbid metric determination of total sulfates. Soil Sci. Am.
317 Proc. (1950) 15:149-151.
318
319 Barton, C.J. 1948. Photometric analysis of phosphate rock. Anal. Chem. 20:1068-1073.
320
321 Imam and Didar. 2005. A handbook on Analysis of soil, plant and water.
322
323 Page, L.R. 1982, Methods of Soil Analysis part 1. American Soc. Agron. Pub. USA.
324
325 Farid, A.T.M. Iqbal, A. Karim 1992. Soil erosion in the Chittagong hill tract and its impact
326 on nutrient status of soils in Bangladesh.
327
328 Soil Survey Division Staff. "Soil survey manual 1993, Chapter 3, selected chemical
329 properties". Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18.
330 Retrieved 2011-03-12.
331
332 BARC (2005). Fertilizer Recommendation Guide-2005. The Bangladesh Agricultural
333 Research council. Dhaka, Bangladesh.

331
332 BARC, (1989). Fertilizer Recommendation Guide. Z. Karim, M. I. Ali, Md. M. U. Miah and
333 SK. G. Hussain (eds.). Soils Publ. No: 32.
334
335 Osman K. T. (2013). Soils: Principles, Properties and Management. Springer, Dodrecht, The
336 Netherlands.
337
338 Vitousek PM, Sanford RL Jr (1986) Nutrient cycling in moist tropical forest. Annu Rev Ecol
339 Syst **17**:137-167.
340
341 Aerts R, Chapin FS III (2000) The mineral nutrition of wild plants revisited: a re-evaluation
342 of processes and patterns. Adv. Ecol. Res. **30**:1-67.
343
344 Guidelines for Interpretation of Soil Analysis. Bolsa Analytical, 2337 Technology Pkwy.,
345 Suite K, Hollister, CA 95023.
346
347 Buchholz D. Daryl. 2004. Soil Test Interpretations and Recommendations Handbook.
348 University of Missouri – College of Agriculture, Division of Plant Sciences.
349