# Original Research Paper

# Effect of N, Zn and B Levels on Yield, N, Zn and B Concentration, Uptake and N Use Efficiency in Maize –Wheat Sequence in a Vertisol

# P.S.Kulhare<sup>1</sup>, G.T.Yedke<sup>1</sup>, G.D.Sharma<sup>1</sup> and G.S.Tagore<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

#### 8 ABSTRACT

1

5

6

7

9 A field experiment was conducted during 2010-12 at Research Farm of Jawaharlal Nehru 10 Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (Madhya Pradesh) to study the effect of levels of N (0, 60, 120 and 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), Zn (0, and 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B (0 and 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) on yield, N, Zn and B concentration, 11 12 uptake and N use efficiency by maize and wheat in maize-wheat sequence in a Vertisol. The 13 treatments of N were applied to maize and wheat crop. While the treatments of Zn and B were applied 14 to only maize crop and their residual effect was observed in wheat crop. Application of increasing 15 levels of N in maize and wheat significantly increased the yield. B concentration in grain and strover/straw and N, Zn and B uptake by maize and wheat over their respective control. The N 16 application of 120 and 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in wheat significantly increased the N, Zn and B concentration in 17 18 grain and straw of wheat over control. The N use efficiency by maize and wheat significantly 19 decreased with increasing levels of N.

The application of 10 kg Zn, 1.0 kg B and combined application of 10 kg Zn + 1.0 kg B and its residual effect significantly increased the grain yield, N uptake, Zn and B concentration in grain and their uptake by maize and wheat, respectively over control. The B application of 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and its residual effect was found significantly superior to 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> for grain yield, B concentration in grain, N, Zn and B uptake by maize and wheat, respectively. The B application of 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased the N use efficiency by maize over control. Email -gstagore@gmail.com

26

# Key words: Uptake, N use efficiency, yield, Wheat, Zinc, Boron

1. INTRODUCTION

28 Maize (Zea mays L.) and wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is important product in the world for 29 human and animal nutrition. Maize is important in agricultural economy as food for man and feed for 30 animal including poultry. Nutrient management is one of the most important factors in crop production. 31 The knowledge regarding the use of optimum dose of nutrients especially nitrogen, zinc and boron is 32 of prime concern. The yield of a crop can be adversely affected by deficient or excessive supply of any 33 one of the essential nutrients. However, in intensive agriculture nitrogen is the major nutrient which 34 determining crop yield. Nitrogen plays an important role in plant growth as an essential constituent of 35 cell components having direct effect on growth, yield and quality of crop. Nitrogen fertilization of maize 36 influences dry matter yield by influencing leaf area index, leaf area duration and photosynthetic 37 efficiency [1]. It is a fast growing exhaustive crop requires high amount of major nutrients (N and P) 38 and micronutrient (Zn) to produce large biomass as its grain yield potential is twice as compared to 39 other cereals crops [2]. The actual harvested yield of maize and wheat are low due to wide spread 40 deficiency of N (89%) and Zn (49%) and in-sufficient pre plant N and lack of Zn application as maize 41 and wheat are highly and mildly sensitive to Zn deficiency, respectively [3-4]. N is the most important 42 growth element and it is most frequently deficient nutrient in most non legume cropping system. The 43 response of maize to N and Zn application has been reported by [4-5], respectively.

44 Wheat is an important cereal crop, source of staple food and thus the most important crop in 45 food security prospective. Besides its tremendous significance, average yield is far below than 46 developed countries. Zinc has metabolically important role in plant growth and development and is 47 therefore called an essential trace element or a micronutrient. It plays main role in synthesis of 48 proteins, enzyme activation, oxidation and revival reactions and metabolism of carbohydrates. By 49 utilizing of fertilizers contain zinc and other micronutrients, performance and quality of crops is gets 50 enhanced and shortage of this elements due to decline in plant photosynthesis and destroys RNA, amount of soluble carbohydrates and synthesis of protein, resulting in decrease in performance and 51 52 quality of crop.

Zinc has a key role as a structural constituent or regulatory co-factor of a wide range of enzymes and proteins in many important biochemical pathways and these are mainly concerned with carbohydrate metabolism, both in photosynthesis and in the conversion of sugars to starch, protein metabolism, auxin (growth regulator) metabolism, pollen formation, maintenance of integrity of biological membranes and resistance to infection by certain pathogens. Zinc is an active element in biochemical processes and there is chemical and biological interaction between it and some other elements such as phosphorus, iron and nitrogen in plants.

Boron deficiency is a worldwide problem for field crop production where significant crop losses occur both in yield and quality. Availability of B to plants is affected by a variety of soil factors including soil pH, texture, moisture, temperature, oxide content, carbonate content, organic matter content and clay mineralogy Boron is generally less available in clay soils and availability increases with increasing temperature. Soil pH is regarded as a major factor regulating B availability in soils. Increasing pH favours its retention by soils or soil constituents. Thus, B fertilization is necessary for improvement of crop yield as well as nutritional quality.

After Zn, B is the second yield limiting micronutrient (33 % deficient) which is essential for stimulating plant growth and in increasing yield of crops such as rice, wheat [6]. B and Zn deficiency upset the order of grains on the corn and make them deformed so that some parts of the corn ear are free from grain [7].The information on interaction among N, Zn and B is hardly available in maize – wheat sequence in the region hence the investigation was undertaken.

## 72 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

73 A field experiment was conducted at Research Farm of Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa 74 Vidyalaya, Jabalpur during 2010-12. The soils of the experimental site belongs to medium black clayey 75 (Vertisol), pH 7.42, EC 0.16 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, available N 164 kgha<sup>-1</sup>, P 33.6 kgha<sup>-1</sup>, K 200 kgha<sup>-1</sup>,DTPA extractable Zn 1.18 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and hot water soluble B 0.49 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The experiment was conducted in a 76 77 split plot design comprised of 4 levels of N (0, 60, 120 and 180 kgha<sup>-1</sup>) as main treatments and 2 levels of Zn (0 and 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 2 level of B (0 and 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as sub treatments were replicated thrice. 78 79 N levels were applied in three split doses. 50% of N treatment, 35.2 kg P, and 33.2 kg K kgha<sup>-1</sup>were 80 applied as basal dose through urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively in

81 maize and wheat crop. Zinc and boron levels were applied to maize crop as basal dose and their 82 residual effect was observed in wheat crop. Remaining 25 % N each was applied at knee height and 83 silking stage of maize and crown root initiation and maximum tillering stage of wheat. The maize crop (JM 216) was sown on 9.7 2010 and 5.7.2011 @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during first and second year respectively 84 85 with spacing of 25 cm between plant to plant and 60 cm row to row. Two hand weeding were done on 86 10.08.2010 and 10.09.2010 during first year and 10. 08.2011 and 10.9.2011 during second year. The 87 maize crop was harvested on 16.10 2010 and 22.10.2011. The rainfall received 1451.2 mm and 1525.1 88 mm during growth period of maize during 2010 and 2011, respectively. The wheat crop (GW-273) was sown on @ 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> on dated 5.12.2010 and 23.11.2011 in the same field after the harvest of 89 90 maize crop. Two hand weeding were done at 20 day interval after sowing of wheat crop. Four 91 irrigations were applied to wheat crop at crown root initiation (CRI), tillering, flowering and seed 92 formation stages of wheat. The wheat crop was harvested on 10.04.2011 and 7.04.2012. The plant 93 samples of maize and wheat were collected at the time of harvesting for analysis of the nutrient 94 concentration. The plant samples were washed with 0.1 N HCl and rinsed with distilled water and then 95 dried at 60 °C for 6 - 8 hours. The samples were grinded by stainless steel blade grinder and then 96 used for chemical analysis. N concentration in grain and stover was determined by micro-Kjeldahl 97 method as described by [8]. The plant Zn concentration was determined after digestion of plant sample 98 with diacid mixture of nitric and perchloric acid in 2.5:1 ratio suggested by [9] using atomic absorption 99 spectrophotometer. Plant B concentration was determined by curcumin method as described by 100 [10]. The nutrient uptake was calculated by multiplying the nutrient concentration X yield.

101 N use efficiency=Increase in plant N uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) due to N/Applied N level (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) X100.

#### 102 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 103 Maize yield

104 The pooled data presented in table 1 revealed that the increasing levels of N significantly 105 increased the grain, stover and cob core yield of maize over control. However, the grain yield with 120 106 and 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior to 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> but the difference between two N 107 levels was found non-significant. While the stover and cob core yield successively and significantly 108 increased with increasing levels of N. The increase of grain and stover yield of maize with increasing 109 levels of N might be due to beneficial effect of N in vegetative growth, photosynthesis and in grain 110 formation as N is a constituent of amino acid, protein and enzymes which are responsible for yield 111 improvement. The increase of grain yield of maize with application of N was reported by [11-13].

112 The application of 10 kg Zn, 1.0 kg B and combined use of 10 kg Zn + 1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> produced 113 significantly higher grain yield. However, the grain, stover and cob core yield of maize with 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>was found significantly superior to 10 kg Zn alone and combined use of 10 kg Zn + 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> 114 115 <sup>1</sup>. The increase of grain, stover and cob core yield with Zn and B application might be due to beneficial 116 effect of Zn and B on growth and yield of maize crop as Zn helps in enzyme activation, protection of 117 bio membrane, hormone metabolism, nucleic acid, protein synthesis and seed formation. The 118 interaction among N and Zn/B was found significant for grain and cob core yield of maize. The grain 119 and cob core yield with the application of B of 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior to 10 kg Zn alone or combined application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> with all N levels. The maximum grain yield of 120 4.65 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was observed with 180 kg N +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> which was found significantly superior to lower 121 122 levels of N. It might be due to synergistic effect of N and B application. The increase of grain yield with 123 Zn application was reported by [14-18]. The promotive effect of B may be due to maintain membrane 124 integrity, pollen tube development which affects seed setting and ultimately the crop yield. The increase of yield of maize with B and Zn fertilizers was reported by [19-21]. 125

#### 126 N concentration and uptake by maize

The pooled data presented in table 1 and 2 revealed the application of N of 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> 127 128 significantly increased the N concentration in grain and stover over control but the N level were found 129 on par with higher or lower levels of N. The N uptake by grain, stover, cob core and total uptake significantly increased with increasing levels of N over control. However, the application of N of 120 130 and 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were found significantly superior to 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> but the two N levels were found on 131 132 par. The increase of N concentration in maize plant and its uptake with increasing levels of N might be 133 due to increase of N availability in soil and which was taken up by plant. The increase of N 134 concentration in and its uptake by maize with N application was confirmed by [22] and [13].

135 The application of 1.0 kg B alone and combined application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> were 136 found significant over control for N concentration in stover. However, the 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> was found

significantly superior to10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> alone and combined use of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> for N 137 concentration in stover and N uptake by grain, stover, cob core and total N uptake by maize. The 138 139 interaction among N, Zn and B was found significant for N concentration in grain and its uptake. The 140 combined application of 10 kg Zn+1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significant over 10 kg Zn and 1.0 kg B alone at 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. While N concentration in stover with 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significant over 10 kg Zn 141 alone but it was found on par with combined application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>. The N uptake by 142 grain, stover, cob core and total N uptake by maize with 1.0 kg Bha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior 143 to 10 kg Zn alone or combined application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> with 60, 120 and 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> 144 except N uptake by grain and stover with combined application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> at 120 kg N 145 ha<sup>-1</sup>. The N concentration in grain and its uptake by grain significantly increased with the application of 146 147 Zn and B alone and combined application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>. The increase of N concentration 148 in and its uptake by grain, stover, cob core and total N uptake by maize due to beneficial effect of Zn 149 and B increasing the availability of N in soil. The increase of N concentration in and N uptake by 150 maize due to Zn or B application was supported by [15], [23] and [24].

#### 151 N use efficiency by Maize

The data presented in table 3 revealed that the application of N of 60,120 and 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted N recovery of 54.77, 48.20 and 35.31% by maize crop, respectively which decreased significantly with increasing levels of N. This decreased N use efficiency might be due to increase of N losses with increasing levels of N and improportionate increase of N uptake by maize. Similar results of N use efficiency in maize crop reported by [12].

The application of 1.0 kg B and combined use of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased the N use efficiency over control and 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, the N use efficiency with 1.0 kg B (59.74%) was found significantly higher than that at 10 kg Zn +1kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>. The interaction among N, Zn and B was found significant. The N use efficiency with the application of 1.0 kg B and conjoint use of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> at 60 and 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior to control or 10 kg Zn alone. The maximum N use efficiency 75.24 % was observed with 1.0 kg B + 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> which decreased significantly with 120 and 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The increase of N use efficiency with B application might be due to beneficial effect of B application on increase of N uptake (table 3). The increase of N
use efficiency with B application was also reported by [22] and [20].

#### 166 **Zn concentration and uptake by maize**

167 The pooled data presented in table 1 indicated that the maximum Zn concentration in stover 29.02 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> was observed in control but it significantly decreased with 60/120 and 180 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup>. The 168 Zn concentration in stover with 60 and 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was found on par. The Zn uptake by grain, stover, 169 170 cob core and total Zn uptake by maize significantly increased with increasing levels of N over control. 171 However, the Zn uptake by grain successively and significantly increased with increasing levels of N. While the Zn uptake by stover, cob core and total Zn uptake by maize with 120 and 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> were 172 found significantly superior to 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> except Zn uptake by stover with 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> but the 173 174 difference between two treatment was found non-significant. The decrease of Zn concentration in plant 175 with higher N levels might be due to dilution of Zn as a result of increased plant growth with higher N 176 levels. The increased N uptake by maize with N application might be due to higher dry matter yield with 177 N application. These results are in agreement with [12].

The application of 10 kg Zn, 1.0 kg B and combined use of 10 kg Zn + 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> 178 179 significantly increased the Zn concentration in grain, stover, cob core and their Zn uptake over control except Zn concentration in stover with 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, the Zn concentration in grain, stover 180 and cob core with 10 kg Znha<sup>-1</sup> and combined use of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg Bha<sup>-1</sup> were found significantly 181 superior to 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>but the difference between the two treatment was found not significant for Zn 182 183 concentration in grain and cob core. However, the Zn concentration in stover with combined application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior to 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> alone. It might 184 185 be due to beneficial effect of Zn application in increasing the availability of Zn in soil and accumulated in plant and increased grain and stover yield of maize resulted higher Zn uptake. While the Zn uptake 186 by grain and cob core with 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> alone and combined application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> 187 were found significantly superior to 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> alone but the difference between the two treatment 188 189 was found non-significant for Zn uptake by grain.Whereas the Zn uptake by stover and total Zn uptake 190 by maize with combined application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> was also found significantly superior to 10 kg Zn and 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> alone. The interaction among N, Zn and B was found significant for Zn 191

concentration and uptake. The application of 10 kg Znha<sup>-1</sup> alone and combined use of 10 kg Zn +1.0 192 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> with 60 and 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> were found significant over 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> for Zn concentration in 193 grain, stover and cob core except Zn concentration in cob core at 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The maximum Zn 194 195 concentration in grain 36.41 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and stover 35.36 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> was observed with combined application of 10 kg Zn+ 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> at 60 and 0 N, respectively which decreased significantly with 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. 196 While the Zn uptake by grain with 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> progressively and significantly increased with N levels 197 over 10 kg Zn alone. While the combined application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> at 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> level 198 199 was found significantly superior to 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The Zn uptake by stover and total Zn uptake by maize was found significantly higher with 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> at 120 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> than that of 1.0 kg B or combined 200 201 application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B with lower or higher level of N. The significant increase of Zn 202 concentration in and Zn uptake by maize with B and Zn fertilization was reported by [15] and [23-24].

#### 203 **B concentration and uptake by maize**

204 The pooled data presented in table 1 revealed that the increasing levels of N significantly 205 increased the B concentration in grain, stover and cob core over control but the treatments were found 206 on par amongst themselves for B concentration in grain and stover. While the B concentration in cob core with 120 and 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly higher than that at 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> but the 207 208 difference between the two level was found non-significant. However, B uptake by grain, stover, cob 209 core and total B uptake by maize successively and significantly increased with increasing levels of N. 210 An increase in B concentration in plant tissue as a result of B application is obviously due to enhanced 211 availability of B in soil and subsequently its uptake by plant. These results are in agreement with the findings of [25]. The application of 10 kg Zn, 1.0 kg B and combined use of 10 kg Zn+1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> 212 213 significantly increased the B concentration in grain and cob core as well as B uptake by grain, stover, 214 cob core and total B uptake by maize over control but the treatments were found on par amongst 215 themselves for B uptake by stover. However, the B concentration in grain and their uptake with 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior to 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> alone and combined application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 216 217 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>. Whereas the B concentration in stover was found significantly higher with combined 218 application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> than control. The interaction among N, Zn and B was found 219 significant for B concentration in grain, stover, cob core and their B uptake by maize. The B

concentration in grain and cob core and their uptake with 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior 220 to 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> alone and combined use of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>at all N levels except B 221 concentration in cob core with combined use of 10 kg Zn+1kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>at 120 and 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The B 222 223 concentration in grain with 1.0 kg B +120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior to combined application of 10 kg Zn + 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> at 60 or 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The B concentration in stover was found 224 significantly higher than 10 kg Zn and 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>at 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. While it was found significant with 225 1.0 kg B+180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> than combined application of 10 kg Zn+1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>. The B uptake by grain 226 with 1.0 kg B +120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior to 1.0 kg B +60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> but it was found 227 on par with 180 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup>. The B uptake by stover and total B uptake by maize with 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> alone 228 229 successively and significantly increased with increasing levels of N. The maximum B uptake by stover and total B uptake by maize was observed with1 kg B +180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> which was significantly higher 230 231 than combined application of 10 k g Zn+1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>. The application of Zn and B increased the B 232 uptake by maize was reported by [26].

#### 233 Wheat yield

The pooled data presented in table 4 revealed that the grain and straw yield significantly increased with increasing levels of N over control. However, the grain yield with N of 120 and 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior to 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> but the difference between the two N level was found non significant. While the straw yield with 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly higher than that at 60 or 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> but the N of 60 and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were found at par. The increase of grain and straw yield of wheat with increasing levels of N due to beneficial effect of N in better root growth higher N concentration and uptake. The increase of wheat yield with N application was reported by [27-28].

The residual effect of 10 kg Zn, 1.0 kg B and combined use of 10 kg Zn+1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased the grain and straw yield of wheat over control but the treatments were found on par amongst themselves for straw yield of wheat. However, the grain yield with residual effect of 1.0 kg B was found significantly higher than that of 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> but it was found on par with residual effect of combined application of 10 kg Zn+1kg Bha<sup>-1</sup>. The increase of grain and straw yield of wheat due to beneficial effect of residual Zn and B in soil. The interaction among N, Zn and B was found significant for grain and straw yield. The grain and straw yield with residual effect of B of 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior to the residual effect of combined application of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>
<sup>1</sup> at 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The treatment was also found significantly superior to 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> but it was found
on par with higher levels of N. The increase of wheat yield due to residual effect of Zn was reported by
[15], [18] and [29-34] reported increase of yield of wheat with B application.

#### 252 N concentration and uptake by wheat

253 The pooled data presented in table 4 and 5 revealed that the application of the increasing 254 levels of N significantly increased the N concentration in grain, straw and their N uptake and total N 255 uptake by wheat over control except N concentration in grain with 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The N uptake by grain, 256 straw and total N uptake by wheat followed the same trend. However, the N concentration in straw and N uptake by grain and straw and total N uptake with 120 and 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> were found significantly 257 258 superior to 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>but the difference between the two treatment was found non significant. 259 Consistently positive effect of N application on its concentration and uptake could be due to the 260 increased availability of N in the soil with N application which resulted in greater absorption of N by 261 plant due to better vegetative growth and root development. Similar results were recorded by [35] and 262 [27].

The residual effect of 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> and combined application of 10 kg Zn +1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> 263 264 significantly increased the N concentration in grain and straw, respectively over control but other 265 treatments were found non significant over control. These treatments significantly increased the N 266 uptake by grain, straw and total N uptake by wheat over control except N uptake by straw with 10 kg Zn alone. However, the N uptake by grain with residual effect of 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly 267 superior to residual effect of 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> alone. The interaction among N, Zn and B were found 268 significant for total N uptake by wheat. The total N uptake by wheat with residual effect of 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> 269 was found significantly superior to residual effect of 10 kg Znha<sup>-1</sup> at 60 and 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The 270 271 maximum N uptake 163.88 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was observed with residual effect of combined use of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> at 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> which was also found significantly higher than that of 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>at 272 273 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The increased N concentration in grain and straw of wheat with residual effect of 1.0 kg B 274 ha<sup>-1</sup> and combined use of 10 kg Zn+1.0 kg B might be due to beneficial effect of Zn and B in increasing

N availability in soil and resulted higher N concentration and uptake by wheat. These results are inagreement with [15].

#### 277 N use efficiency by wheat

278 The data presented in table 5 indicated that the application of N of 60,120 and 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> 279 resulted N recovery of 69.69, 56.87 and 40.83 %, respectively which decreased significantly with 280 increasing levels of N in wheat. The maximum recovery of N was observed at lower level of 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and minimum at higher level of N of180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. This decreased N use efficiency might be due to 281 282 increased N losses and improportionate increased N uptake by wheat with increasing levels of N as a 283 result of decreased total dry matter production and competition among plant for N due to more 284 available N in soil. The decrease of recovery efficiency of N in wheat with increasing levels of N was 285 reported by [35].

The residual effect of B of 1.0 kgha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased the N recovery over residual effect 286 of 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> but it was found on par with the residual effect of conjoint use of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B 287 288 ha<sup>-1</sup>. The increase of N use efficiency with B application might be due to beneficial effect of B 289 application on increase of N uptake by wheat (Table 5). The interaction among N, Zn and B was found significant. The residual effect of B of 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior to residual effect of 290 combined application of 10 kg Zn +1kg B at 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> but it was found on par with 10 kg Zn alone. 291 The N use efficiency with 1kg B +120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior to 180 kg N +1.0 kg B 292 293 ha<sup>-1</sup>. The increase of N use efficiency with B application was reported by [20].

294 Zn concentration and uptake by wheat

The pooled data presented in table 4 and 5 revealed that the application of N of 120 and 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased the Zn concentration in grain and straw of wheat over control but the difference between the two N level was found non-significant. However, the Zn uptake by grain, straw and total Zn uptake of wheat progressively and significantly increased with increasing levels of N. The increase of Zn concentration and uptake by wheat might be due to beneficial effect of N application in increasing the Zn availability in soil due to decrease in pH. These results are supported by [36].

The residual effect of 10 kg Zn, 1.0 kg B and combined use of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased the Zn concentration in grain, straw and their Zn uptake as well as total Zn

uptake by wheat over control except Zn concentration in grain with 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, the Zn 303 concentration in grain with residual effect of 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> and combined use of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> 304 <sup>1</sup> were found significantly superior to residual effect of 1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> but the two treatments were found 305 306 on par. While the residual effect of combined application of 10 kg Zn +1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> was also found 307 significantly superior to residual effect of 1.0 kg B for Zn concentration in grain and straw and its Zn uptake and total Zn uptake by wheat but it was found on par with 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>. The increase of Zn 308 309 concentration and uptake by wheat might be due to beneficial effect of residual Zn level in increasing 310 the Zn availability in soil and resulted higher Zn uptake by wheat. The residual effect of Zn increased 311 the Zn concentration and its uptake by wheat was also reported by [15] and [30-32].

#### 312 **B** concentration and uptake by wheat

The pooled data presented in table 4 and 5 revealed that the increasing levels of N significantly increased the B concentration in grain and straw and their B uptake by wheat over control but the treatments were found on par amongst themselves for B concentration in grain and straw. However, the B uptake by grain, straw and total B uptake by wheat with N of 120 and 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior to N of 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> but the difference between the two treatment was found non-significant. The significant increase of B uptake with application of N was reported by [32].

The residual effect of 10 kg Zn, 1.0 kg B and combined use of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> 319 320 significantly increased the B concentration in and Zn uptake by grain and straw and total B uptake by wheat over control except B concentration in straw with 10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, the B concentration in 321 grain and straw and its B uptake and total B uptake with residual effect of 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> and combined 322 use of 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> were found significantly superior to10 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> except B concentration 323 in straw with 10 kg Zn +1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>. The total B uptake by wheat with residual effect of 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> 324 was also found significantly superior to the residual effect of combined application of 10 kg Zn + 1.0 kg 325 326 B ha<sup>-1</sup>. The interaction between N and Zn/B was found significant for B concentration in grain and B 327 uptake by straw. B concentration in grain and B uptake by straw with residual effect of 1.0 kg B was 328 found significantly superior to residual effect of 10 kg Zn alone as well as Zn uptake by straw over 329 combined use of 10 kg Zn +1kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> at 120 and 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The increase of B concentration and 330 uptake with B application was reported by [34].

#### 331 4. CONCLUSIONS

The application of 120 kg N + 1.0 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> produced the maximum grain yield (4.18 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) of maize and addition of 120 N ha<sup>-1</sup> alone in wheat gave the maximum grain yield of wheat (5.9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) in maize-wheat sequence. The maximum N and B concentration in grain and their uptake and recovery efficiency of N was observed with 1.0 kg B+120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in maize-wheat sequence.

## 336 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 337 The authors of the manuscript are highly thankful to JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh and AICRP on
- 338 MSN (ICAR) for providing facility to carry out the research on this aspect.

#### 339 **REFERENCES**

- 340 1. Siam SH, Abd-El- Kader, GM, El-Alia, IH. Yield and yield components of maize as affected by
- 341 different sources and application rates of nitrogen fertilizer. Research Journal of Agricultural
   342 and Biological Sciences. 2008; 4 (5):399-412.
- Tollennaar M, Lee E. Yield potential, yield stability and stress tolerance in maize. Field Crops
   Research. 2002; 75:161-169.
- 345 3. Sharma PD. Nutrient management-challenges and options. Journal of Indian Society of Soil
  346 Science. 2008; 55 (4):395-403.
- Havlin JH, Tisdale SL, Beaton JD, Nelson WL. Soil fertility and Fertilizers: An introduction to
   nutrient management, 7<sup>th</sup> eds Dorlings Kindersley (India) Pvt, Ltd.; 2007.
- 349 5. Cakmak I. Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: agronomic or genetic bio-fortification. Plant
  350 and Soil. 2010; 302:1-17.
- Sakal R, Singh AP, Sinha RB. Evaluation of rate and frequency of B application in cropping
   systems. Fertilizer News. 2002; 10:37-38.
- 353 7. Marschner H. Mineral nutrition to higher plants. Acad. Press. London. 1995:301-306.
- 8. Piper CS. Soil and Plant Analysis, Hans Publisher, Bombay;1950.
- 355 9. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice hall of India Pvt Ltd.; 1973.
- 356 10. Black CA. Method of plant and soil analysis. (Part 2), American Soc. Agronomy, Inc.
  357 Publication Medicon, Wisconsin, USA; 1965.

- 358 11. Sharar MS, Ayub M, Nadeem MA, Ahmad N. Effect of different rates of N, P on growth and
   359 grain yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Asian Journal of Plant Science. 2003; 2:347-349.
- Nemati AR, Sharifi RS. Effect of rates and nitrogen application timing on yield, agronomic
   characteristics and nitrogen use efficiency in corn. International Journal of Agriculture and
   Crop Science. 2012; 4:534-539. http://pakacademicsearch.com/pdf-files/agr/70/534-539.pdf
- Rawal R, Kuligod VB. Influence of graded doses of nitrogen on nutrient uptake and grain yield
   of maize (*Zea mays*) under varying levels of soil salinity. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural
   Science. 2014; 27:22-24.
- Alam MS, Islam N, Jahiruddin M. Effect of Zn and B application on the performances of local
   and hybrid maize. Bangladesh Journal Soil Science. 2000; 26:95-101.
- 368 15. Adiloglu A, Adiloglu S. The effect of B application on the growth and nutrient concentration of
   369 maize in Zn deficient soils. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Science. 2006; 2:1 370 4.
- 371 16. Hossain MA, Jahiruddin, Khatim F. Response of maize varieties to Zn fertilization. Bangladesh
   372 Journal of Agricultural Research 2011; 36:434-447.
- 373 17. Badiyala D, Chopra P. Effect of Zn and FYM on productivity and nutrient availability in maize
  374 (Zea mays)- linseed (Linum usitatissimum ) cropping sequence. Indian Journal of
  375 Agronomy.2011; 56:88-91.
- 376 18. Faujdar RS Sharma M. Effect of FYM, biofertilizers and Zn on yield of maize and their residual
  377 effect on wheat. Journal of Soil and Crops. 2013; 23(1):41-52.
- 378 19. Sahrawat KL, Wani SP, Murthy VS. Diagnosis of secondary and micronutrients deficiencies
  379 and their management in rain-fed. Soil science and plant analysis. 2010; 41:346-360.
- 380 20. Shukla AK. Micronutrient research in India: Current status and future strategies. Journal of
  381 Indian Society of Soil Science. 2011; 59: S-88-98.
- 382 21. Sarkar AK. Micronutrient management in soils for higher crop productivity. Journal of Indian
   383 Society of Soil Science. 2011; 59: S 58-66.
- Wang DQ, Guo PC, Cheng GH. The fertilizer response of crops to urea containing borate.
  Journal of Shandong Agricultural. University. 1992; 23 (2):108-113.

- Potarzycki J, Grzebisz W. Effect of zinc foliar application on grain yield of maize and it's
   yielding components. Plants Soil Environment. 2009; 55:519-527.
- 388 24. Aref F. The effect of boron and Zinc application on concentration and uptake of N, P and K in
  389 corn grain. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2011; 4:785-791.
  390 http://www.indjst.org/index.php/indjst/article/viewFile/30111/26062
- 391 25. Sentimenla, Singh AK, Singh S. Response of soybean to P and B fertilization in acidic upland
  392 soils of Nagaland. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science. 2012; 60:167-170.
- 393 26. Stalin P, Singh MV, Muthumanickam D, Chitdeshwari T, Velu V, Appavu K. Four decades of
  394 research on management of micro and secondary nutrients and pollutants elements in crops
  395 and soils of Tamil Nadu. Research Bulletin; 2010:1-105.
- Rahman MA, Sarkar MA, Z Amin MF, Jahan AHS, Akhter MM. Yield response and nitrogen
  use efficiency of wheat under different doses and split application of nitrogen fertilizer.
  Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research 2011; 36:231-240.
- 28. Rahimi A. Effect of potassium and nitrogen on yield and yield components of dry land wheat in
  Boyerahmad Region of Iran. Annals of Biology Research 2012; 3:3274-3277.
- 29. Chaube AK, Ruhella R, Chakraborty R, Gangwar MS, Srivastava PC, Singh SK. Management
  of Zn fertilizers under Pearl millet-wheat cropping system in a Typic Ustipsamment. Journal of
  the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2007; 55:196-202.
- 404 30. Prasad RK, Kumar V, Prasad B, Singh AP. Long term effect of crop residues and Zn fertilizers
  405 on crop yield, nutrient uptake and fertility buildup under rice-wheat cropping system in
  406 Calciorthents. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science. 2010; 58:205-21.
- 31. Rathore DD, Meena MC, Patel KP. Evaluation of different Zn–enriched organics as source of
  Zn under wheat- maize (fodder) cropping sequence on Zn deficient Typic Haplusterts. Journal
  of Indian Society of Soil Science. 2012; 60:50-55.
- 32. Kulhare PS, Choudary MK, Uike Y, Sharma GD, Thakur RK. Direct and residual effect of Zn
  alone and incubated with cow dung on growth characters, Zn concentration, uptake and
  quality of soybean (*Glysine max (L) Merril*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in a Vertisol. Soybean
  Research. 2014:12 (2).

- 33. Soylu S, Topal S, Sade B, Akgun N, Gezgin S, Babaoglu, M. Yield and yield attributes of
  durum wheat genotype as affected by B application in B-deficient calcareous soils. Journal of
  plant Nutrition. 2004; 27:1077-1106.
- 417 34. Khan R, Gurmani AR, Khan MS, Jalal UD, Gurmani AH. Residual, direct and cumulative effect
  418 of boron application on wheat and rice yield under rice-wheat system. Sarhad Journal of
  419 Agriculture. 2011:27.
- 420 35. Yadav BL, Vyas KK. Influence of sub-surface compaction on recovery and use efficiency of N
  421 in wheat crop on highly permeable soils. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2006;
  422 54:158-162.
- 423 36. Zou BJ, Portch S. Effect of the micronutrients B and Zn on crop nutrient balance. Proceedings
- 424 of the Int. Symp. on role of S, Mg and micronutrients in plant nutrition;1992:344-351.

Treatments			Yield (	tha <sup>-1</sup> )		N concentration (%)											
freatments	Main/Sub	No	N <sub>60</sub>	N <sub>120</sub>	N <sub>180</sub>	Mean		SEm±	CD (P=0.05)	No	N <sub>60</sub>	N <sub>120</sub>	N <sub>180</sub>	Mean		SEm±	CD (P=0.05)
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	0.75	1.32	2.49	2.42	1.75	N levels	0.062	0.190	0.98	1.11	1.13	0.92	1.04	N levels	0.022	0.068
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	1.12	1.75	2.60	3.30	2.19	Zn/B	0.054	0.153	1.06	1.15	1.12	1.07	1.10	Zn/B	0.019	NS
Grain	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	1.97	3.08	4.18	4.65	3.47	NXZn/B(1)*	0.107	0.305	1.04	1.15	1.10	1.05	1.09	NXZn/B(1)*	0.039	0.110
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	1.88	2.43	3.36	3.79	2.86	NXZn/B(2)**	0.142	0.403	0.83	1.15	1.24	1.07	1.07	NXZn/B(2)**	0.051	0.145
	Mean	1.43	2.15	3.16	3.54	2.57				0.98	1.14	1.15	1.03	1.07			
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	4.51	7.11	7.78	9.67	7.26	N levels	0.246	0.759	0.60	0.60	0.61	0.51	0.58	N levels	0.011	0.061
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	4.42	7.47	8.66	9.98	7.63	Zn/B	0.181	0.516	0.55	0.55	0.58	0.71	0.60	Zn/B	0.008	0.023
Stover	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	5.10	7.95	9.60	10.12	8.19	NXZn/B(1)*	0.362	NS	0.66	0.75	0.77	0.77	0.74	NXZn/B(1)*	0.016	0.046
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	4.69	7.37	8.56	9.89	7.63	NXZn/B(2)**	0.529	NS	0.61	0.63	0.76	0.57	0.64	NXZn/B(2)**	0.023	0.066
	Mean	4.68	7.47	8.65	9.92	7.68				0.61	0.63	0.68	0.64	0.64			
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	0.29	1.05	1.51	1.84	1.17	N levels	0.055	0.169	0.34	0.42	0.42	0.45	0.41	N levels	0.014	NS
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	0.95	1.25	2.02	2.04	1.57	Zn/B	0.053	0.150	0.43	0.44	0.47	0.43	0.44	Zn/B	0.013	NS
Cob core	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	1.63	2.97	3.32	3.19	2.78	NXZn/B(1)*	0.106	0.301	0.46	0.40	0.47	0.45	0.45	NXZn/B(1)	0.027	NS
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	0.97	2.39	2.29	2.89	2.14	NXZn/B(2)**	0.132	0.376	0.39	0.36	0.42	0.47	0.41	NXZn/B(2)	0.034	NS
	Mean	0.96	1.91	2.29	2.49	1.91				0.41	0.41	0.45	0.45	0.43			
			Zn cor	ncentrati	<b>on</b> (mg k	(g <sup>-1</sup> )							B con	centratio	o <b>n</b> (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	24.79	26.64	30.19	29.44	27.76	N levels	0.922	NS	5.34	7.28	7.70	7.88	7.05	N levels	0.145	0.448
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	33.65	34.79	31.21	30.14	32.45	Zn/B	0.519	1.477	5.15	8.03	8.56	8.88	7.65	Zn/B	0.130	0.370
Grain	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	30.93	29.26	25.91	30.28	29.10	NXZn/B(1)*	1.037	2.954	9.30	10.44	11.20	10.67	10.40	NXZn/B(1)*	0.260	0.737
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	34.57	36.41	33.88	30.64	33.87	NXZn/B(2)**	1.833	5.220	7.82	9.70	9.58	9.04	9.03	NXZn/B(2)**	0.338	0.958
	Mean	30.98	31.77	30.30	30.12					6.90	8.86	9.26	9.12	8.53			
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	25.92	20.08	21.48	20.07	21.88	N levels	0.596	1.838	10.71	14.98	15.82	16.44	14.49	N levels	0.378	1.166
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	26.84	28.83	32.80	22.93	27.85	Zn/B	0.549	1.562	11.95	15.16	15.73	18.15	15.25	Zn/B	0.336	0.957
Stover	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	27.97	22.40	23.50	18.52	23.10	NXZn/B(1)*	1.097	3.125	13.98	14.32	15.39	17.57	15.32	NXZn/B(1)*	0.672	1.914
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	35.36	35.29	28.91	24.44	31.00	NXZn/B(2)**	1.403	3.997	16.04	18.00	17.00	13.36	16.10	NXZn/B(2)**	0.876	2.496
	Mean	29.02	26.65	26.67	21.49					13.17	15.62	15.99	16.38	15.29			
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	23.25	23.53	19.85	26.24	23.22	N levels	0.538	NS	1.68	2.78	3.23	3.92	2.90	N levels	0.069	0.212
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	32.55	30.18	32.34	30.22	31.32	Zn/B	0.503	1.432	2.85	3.94	4.54	4.49	3.95	Zn/B	0.049	0.139
Cob core	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	26.39	28.41	27.95	27.44	27.55	NXZn/B(1)*	1.006	2.865	3.39	5.01	4.75	4.89	4.51	NXZn/B(1)*	0.098	0.278
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	32.19	30.94	31.65	28.97	30.94	NXZn/B(2)**	1.276	3.633	4.20	4.41	4.94	4.62	4.54	NXZn/B(2)**	0.146	0.416
	Mean	28.59	28.27	27.95	28.22					3.03	4.03	4.36	4.48	3.98			

## 425 Table 1. Effect of N, Zn and B levels on yield and N, Zn and B concentration in maize (pooled data of 2010 and 2011)

426 \* Comparison of two Zn/B levels at same level of N

\*\*comparison of two N levels at same or different levels of Zn/B

427

428

					N upt	ake (kg h	na <sup>-</sup> ')		Zn Uptake (g ha ')										
Treatments	Main/Sub	No	N <sub>60</sub>	<b>N</b> 120	<b>N</b> 180	Mean		SEm±	CD (P=0.05)	No	N <sub>60</sub>	<b>N</b> 120	<b>N</b> 180	Mean		SEm±	CD (P=0.05)		
Grain	$Zn_0B_0$	7.45	14.72	28.35	21.63	18.04	N levels	0.971	2.993	18.93	36.34	74.40	71.12	50.200	N levels	3.386	10.407		
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	11.77	20.11	27.84	33.39	23.28	Zn/B	0.820	2.336	37.54	61.84	80.84	98.47	69.670	Zn/B	2.096	5.952		
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	20.37	35.37	45.94	48.67	37.59	NXZn/B(1)*	1.641	4.673	58.46	87.84	107.97	141.38	98.910	NXZn/B(1)*	4.191	11.901		
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	16.31	27.87	41.90	40.13	31.55	NXZn/B(2)**	2.201	6.270	64.43	88.18	113.43	114.80	95.210	NXZn/B(2)**	6.896	19.583		
	Mean	13.98	24.52	36.01	35.95					44.84	68.55	94.16	106.44						
Stover	$Zn_0B_0$	27.07	42.01	46.64	47.36	40.77	N levels	1.992	6.141	132.96	157.13	183.48	217.37	172.730	N levels	7.102	21.830		
	$Zn_{10}B_0$	24.14	40.27	48.43	71.18	46.00	Zn/B	1.481	4.217	125.27	226.11	305.81	249.66	226.710	Zn/B	6.933	19.688		
	$Zn_0B_1$	33.05	58.62	71.32	76.42	59.85	NXZn/B(1)*	2.961	8.434	168.43	192.04	243.36	202.43	201.560	NXZn/B(1)*	13.865	39.373		
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	28.83	46.86	63.28	53.91	48.22	NXZn/B(2)**	2.961	8.434	180.45	287.58	259.66	263.27	247.740	NXZn/B(2)**	17.190	48.815		
	Mean	28.27	46.99	57.42	62.22					151.77	215.72	248.08	233.18						
	$Zn_0B_0$	0.99	4.67	7.22	9.07	5.49	N levels	0.526	1.621	6.74	25.40	26.80	46.20	26.300	N levels	1.853	5.712		
	$Zn_{10}B_0$	4.13	5.73	10.20	8.98	7.26	Zn/B	0.380	1.080	31.20	39.00	65.40	59.40	48.800	Zn/B	1.900	5.400		
Cob core	Zn₀B₁	7.20	11.80	15.60	14.30	12.20	NXZn/B(1)*	0.760	2.160	40.90	83.20	92.60	87.50	76.000	NXZn/B(1)*	3.790	10.800		
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	3.83	8.59	9.78	14.30	9.12	NXZn/B(2)**	1.120	3.200	29.70	74.20	72.80	82.60	64.800	NXZn/B(2)**	4.590	13.100		
	Mean	4.04	7.70	10.70	11.70					27.20	55.50	64.40	68.90						
	$Zn_0B_0$	35.50	61.40	82.20	78.10	64.30	N levels	2.274	7.013	159.00	219.00	285.00	335.00	249.000	N levels	8.890	27.400		
Tatal	$Zn_{10}B_0$	40.00	66.10	86.50	114.00	76.50	Zn/B	1.940	5.530	194.00	327.00	452.00	408.00	345.000	Zn/B	8.330	23.700		
uptake	Zn₀B₁	60.60	106.00	133.00	139.00	110.00	NXZn/B(1)*	3.880	11.100	268.00	363.00	444.00	431.00	377.000	NXZn/B(1)*	16.700	47.400		
-	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	49.00	83.30	115.00	108.00	88.90	NXZn/B(2)**	5.180	14.700	275.00	450.00	446.00	461.00	408.000	NXZn/B(2)**	21.100	60.100		
424	Mean	46.30	79.20	104.00	110.00					224.00	340.00	407.00	409.00						

Table 2. Effect of N, Zn and B levels on N and Zn uptake by maize (pooled data of 2010 and 2011) 

Comparison of two Zn/B levels at same level of N 

\*\*comparison of two N levels at same or different levels of Zn/B

					B up	otake (g h	a⁻¹)			N use Efficiency (%)									
Treatments	Main/Sub	No	N <sub>60</sub>	N <sub>120</sub>	N <sub>180</sub>	Mean		SEm±	CD (P=0.05)	Main/Sub	N <sub>60</sub>	<b>N</b> 120	N <sub>180</sub>	Mean		SEm±	CD (P=0.05)		
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	3.84	9.22	18.26	18.54	12.47	N levels	0.659	2.026	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	43.17	38.91	23.64	35.24	N levels	1.950	6.010		
	$Zn_{10}B_0$	5.75	13.76	21.71	28.07	17.32	Zn/B	0.771	2.189	$Zn_{10}B_0$	43.43	38.68	40.84	40.98	Zn/B	2.240	6.381		
Grain	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	19.23	32.80	47.14	49.72	37.22	NXZn/B(1)*	1.541	4.376	Zn₀B₁	75.24	60.22	43.75	59.74	NXZn/B(1)*	4.480	12.760		
	$Zn_{10}B_1$	15.02	23.50	31.66	33.75	25.98	NXZn/B(2)**	1.756	4.987	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	57.26	54.99	33.00	48.42	NXZn/B(2)**	5.145	14.654		
Stover	Mean	10.96	19.82	29.69	32.52	23.25				Mean	54.77	48.20	35.31						
Stover	Zn₀B₀	46.10	108.08	126.27	164.33	111.20	N levels	5.174	15.904										
	$Zn_{10}B_0$	49.73	113.78	138.72	191.41	123.41	Zn/B	3.466	9.843										
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	70.56	109.45	141.45	183.50	126.24	NXZn/B(1)*	6.932	19.658										
	$Zn_{10}B_1$	78.79	138.26	149.02	123.80	122.47	NXZn/B(2)**	10.787	30.632										
Stover Cob core	Mean	61.30	117.39	138.87	165.76	120.83													
	Zn₀B₀	0.49	2.90	4.94	7.16	3.87	N levels	0.295	0.910										
	$Zn_{10}B_0$	2.71	4.87	9.30	9.06	6.48	Zn/B	0.240	0.700										
Cob core	$Zn_0B_1$	5.61	14.90	15.70	15.60	13.00	NXZn/B(1)*	0.490	1.390										
	$Zn_{10}B_1$	4.15	10.50	11.30	13.30	9.82	NXZn/B(2)**	0.660	1.890										
	Mean	3.24	8.30	10.30	11.30														
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	50.40	120.20	149.50	190.00	127.50	N levels	5.440	16.770										
Total	$Zn_{10}B_0$	58.20	132.40	169.70	228.50	147.20	Zn/B	3.770	10.750										
uptake	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	95.40	157.10	204.30	248.80	176.40	NXZn/B(1)*	7.550	21.500										
•	$Zn_{10}B_1$	98.00	172.30	192.00	170.80	158.30	NXZn/B(2)**	11.470	32.670										
	Mean	75.50	145.50	178.90	209.60														

439 Table 3. Effect of N, Zn and B levels on N use efficiency and B uptake by maize (pooled data of 2010 and 2011)

440 \* Comparison of two Zn/B levels at same level of N

441 \*\*comparison of two N levels at same or different levels of Zn/B

442

438

# Table 4.Direct effect of N and residual effect of Zn and B levels on yield and N, Zn and B concentration in wheat (pooled data of 2010 and 2011)

					Wh	eat yield	(t ha⁻¹)		N concentration (%)								
Treatments	Main/Sub	N <sub>0</sub>	N <sub>60</sub>	N <sub>120</sub>	N <sub>180</sub>	Mean		SEm±	CD (P=0.05)	No	N <sub>60</sub>	N <sub>120</sub>	<b>N</b> 180	Mean		SEm±	CD (P=0.05)
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	2.94	4.35	5.32	5.60	4.55	N levels	0.079	0.244	1.44	1.54	1.68	1.70	1.59	N levels	0.040	0.125
	$Zn_{10}B_0$	3.54	4.77	5.57	5.85	4.93	Zn/B	0.061	0.174	1.53	1.61	1.82	1.85	1.70	Zn/B	0.026	0.073
Grain	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	3.66	5.25	5.90	5.85	5.16	NXZn/B(1)	0.122	0.348	1.59	1.67	1.84	1.83	1.73	NXZn/B(1)*	0.051	NS
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	3.73	5.25	5.55	5.72	5.06	NXZn/B(2)	0.173	0.493	1.51	1.65	1.80	1.71	1.67	NXZn/B(2)**	0.083	NS
	Mean	3.47	4.91	5.58	5.75					1.52	1.62	1.78	1.77	1.67			
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	4.36	6.67	7.53	8.66	6.81	N levels	0.196	0.604	0.42	0.56	0.59	0.60	0.54	N levels	0.011	0.034
Straw	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	5.24	7.43	7.85	8.52	7.26	Zn/B	0.111	0.315	0.47	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.56	Zn/B	0.012	0.034
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	5.13	7.65	8.46	8.56	7.45	NXZn/B(1)*	0.221	0.630	0.51	0.57	0.66	0.66	0.60	NXZn/B(1)*	0.024	NS
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	5.74	7.37	7.49	8.63	7.31	NXZn/B(2)**	0.390	1.110	0.47	0.59	0.60	0.63	0.58	NXZn/B(2)**	0.028	NS
	Mean	5.12	7.28	7.83	8.60					0.47	0.57	0.61	0.63	0.57			
					Zn conc	entratio	<b>n</b> ( mg kg <sup>1</sup> )	•					B conc	entratio	<b>n</b> (mg kg <sup>1</sup> )	•	
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	23.87	25.32	25.90	26.72	25.45	N levels	0.465	1.324	8.36	14.94	15.02	13.71	13.01	N levels	0.410	1.265
	$Zn_{10}B_0$	27.31	28.21	28.35	27.78	27.91	Zn/B	0.388	1.106	10.74	15.33	15.71	15.98	14.44	Zn/B	0.337	0.959
Grain	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	24.74	26.05	25.72	26.91	25.86	NXZn/B(1)*	0.777	NS	14.40	16.17	17.99	18.31	16.72	NXZn/B(1)*	0.673	1.918
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	26.91	28.21	28.74	30.10	28.49	NXZn/B(2)**	1.049	NS	15.72	17.47	17.68	17.78	17.16	NXZn/B(2)**	0.919	2.618
	Mean	25.71	26.94	27.17	27.88					12.31	15.98	16.60	16.44				
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	8.14	8.35	8.58	9.24	8.58	N levels	0.264	0.814	5.38	6.84	7.81	7.44	6.87	N levels	0.305	0.941
	$Zn_{10}B_0$	9.39	9.74	10.23	10.53	9.97	Zn/B	0.183	0.521	5.78	8.07	8.11	7.83	7.44	Zn/B	0.267	0.760
Straw	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	8.95	9.24	9.39	10.46	9.51	NXZn/B(1)*	0.366	NS	7.98	9.34	10.25	9.60	9.29	NXZn/B(1)*	0.533	NS
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	9.18	9.86	11.28	11.28	10.40	NXZn/B(2)**	0.556	NS	6.89	9.14	8.70	7.84	8.14	NXZn/B(2)**	0.702	NS
	Mean	8.91	9.30	9.87	10.38					6.51	8.35	8.72	8.18				

446 \* Comparison of two Zn/B levels at same level of N

447 \*\*comparison of two N levels at same or different levels of Zn/B

# 453 **Table 5.Direct effect of N and residual effect of Zn and B levels on NUE and N, Zn and B uptake by wheat (pooled data of** 454 **2010 and 2011)**

					N upt	take( kg h	a⁻¹)		Zn uptake (g ha <sup>-1</sup> )									
Treatments	Main/Sub	No	N <sub>60</sub>	N <sub>120</sub>	N <sub>180</sub>	Mean		SEm±	CD (P=0.05)	No	N <sub>60</sub>	N <sub>120</sub>	N <sub>180</sub>	Mean		SEm±	CD (P=0.05)	
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	42.68	67.08	89.79	95.10	73.75	N levels	3.143	9.692	69.76	109.74	137.76	149.72	116.75	N levels	2.470	7.592	
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	53.64	76.89	101.50	109.60	85.17	Zn/B	1.624	4.695	95.32	134.04	157.55	162.01	137.23	Zn/B	2.644	7.508	
Grain	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	57.78	87.25	108.40	107.00	90.11	NXZn/B(1)*	3.248	NS	89.30	136.35	151.13	156.87	133.41	NXZn/B(1)*	5.287	NS	
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	56.56	87.58	100.50	98.18	85.72	NXZn/B(2)**	6.129	NS	98.00	147.49	159.14	172.14	144.19	NXZn/B(2)**	6.266	NS	
	Mean	52.66	79.71	100.03	102.40					88.10	131.90	151.39	160.18	132.89				
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	22.13	38.41	44.70	48.69	38.48	N levels	1.318	4.063	35.89	55.62	64.52	79.71	58.94	N levels	1.732	5.324	
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	28.80	42.29	45.80	49.92	41.70	Zn/B	1.141	3.249	49.15	72.25	80.37	89.81	72.89	Zn/B	1.663	4.722	
Straw	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	28.81	42.29	55.50	53.91	45.13	NXZn/B(1)*	2.293	NS	45.78	70.21	79.34	87.28	70.65	NXZn/B(1)*	3.327	NS	
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	28.17	44.04	45.42	50.58	42.06	NXZn/B(2)**	3.040	NS	52.68	72.73	84.61	95.96	76.50	NXZn/B(2)**	4.159	NS	
	Mean	26.98	41.76	47.86	50.78	41.85				45.88	67.70	77.21	88.19	69.74				
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	64.81	105.49	134.50	143.79	112.14	N levels	3.840	11.838	105.66	165.36	202.28	229.43	175.68	N levels	2.834	8.071	
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	82.44	119.18	147.26	159.27	127.04	Zn/B	1.753	4.993	144.47	206.28	237.92	251.82	210.12	Zn/B	3.128	8.910	
Total	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	86.59	129.59	163.88	160.89	135.24	NXZn/B(1)*	3.506	9.985	135.08	206.56	230.47	244.15	204.06	NXZn/B(1)*	6.256	NS	
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	84.75	131.62	145.92	148.76	127.76	NXZn/B(2)**	7.331	20.820	150.68	220.22	243.75	268.10	220.69	NXZn/B(2)**	7.311	NS	
	Mean	79.65	121.47	147.89	153.18					133.97	199.61	228.60	248.38	202.64				
			-		Bup	otake(g ha	a <sup>-1</sup> )				N use efficiency (%)							
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	24.12	65.43	80.03	77.58	61.79	N levels	2.858	8.785	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	67.80	58.08	43.88	56.59	N levels	2.651	8.174	
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	37.64	73.15	87.19	93.70	72.92	Zn/B	2.058	5.844	$Zn_{10}B_0$	61.18	54.02	42.58	52.59	Zn/B	2.258	6.430	
Grain	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	52.23	84.45	106.59	107.01	87.57	NXZn/B(1)*	4.115	NS	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	71.67	64.41	41.28	59.12	NXZn/B(1)*	4.515	12.860	
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	58.98	91.65	97.89	101.78	87.57	NXZn/B(2)**	6.100	NS	$Zn_{10}B_1$	78.12	50.98	35.57	54.89	NXZn/B(2)**	6.031	17.180	
	Mean	43.24	78.67	92.92	95.02					Mean	69.69	56.87	40.83	55.80				
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	24.01	44.83	58.87	64.43	48.03	N levels	2.410	7.408									
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	30.58	59.59	63.70	66.67	55.13	Zn/B	1.641	4.660									
Straw	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	39.74	71.45	87.25	82.91	70.34	NXZn/B(1)*	3.283	9.323									
	$Zn_{10}B_1$	39.14	67.32	64.91	68.20	59.89	NXZn/B(2)**	5.050	14.341									
	Mean	33.37	60.79	68.68	70.55													
	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	48.13	110.26	138.89	142.01	109.82	N levels	3.991	12.268									
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	68.22	132.73	150.88	160.37	128.05	Zn/B	2.988	8.485									
Total	Zn <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	91.97	155.89	193.84	189.92	157.91	NXZn/B(1)*	5.975	NS									
	Zn <sub>10</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	98.11	158.97	162.80	169.98	147.46	NXZn/B(2)**	8.635	NS									
	Mean	76.61	139.46	161.60	165.57													

455 \* Comparison of two Zn/B levels at same level of N

456 \*\*comparison of two N levels at same or different levels of Zn/B