1 2

3

4

5

6 ABSTRACT

7 The present study was carried out at 'Chilli and Vegetable Research Unit', Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh 8 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra during winter season of 2013-14 to investigate growth, yield and 9 qualitative parameters of various radish varieties. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (R.B.D.) with seven treatments and three replications. Seven varieties of radish, used for the study 10 as treatments were viz., V₁- Pusa Desi, V₂-Pusa Chetki, V₃- Pusa Reshmi, V₄- Pusa Himani, V₅- Japanese 11 12 White, V₆- Arka Nishant and V₇- IHR-1-1. The plant height was supreme (28.29 cm) in Arka Nishant, maximum chlorophyll content of leaves (3.10 mg g^{-1}) recorded in Arka Nishant. The variety Arka Nishant 13 14 required minimum (43 days) number of days, in weight of total fresh weight of plant (190.06 g to 226.60 15 g) were observed. It was maximum in variety Arka Nishant, whereas, minimum in variety Pusa Desi. The variations in fresh weight of root (122.76 g to 161.74 g) were observed. The maximum root to shoot ratio 16 17 (1.37) was observed in variety Arka Nishant. The values of root diameter were maximum (3.69 cm) in variety Arka Nishant. The maximum root yield plot⁻¹ (32.34 kg plot⁻¹) and hectare⁻¹ (53.91 t ha⁻¹) was 18 produced in variety Arka Nishant. The maximum moisture content of root (97.75%) was recorded in Arka 19 Nishant variety. The ascorbic acid content was maximum (18.36 mg 100g⁻¹), TSS (4.00 ⁰B) was recorded 20 in the variety Arka Nishant. 21

Performance of Different Radish (Raphanus sativus L.) Varieties in Black Soils of Vidharbha-

Maharashtra

<u>Original Research Article</u>

22

24

23 Keyword: Radish, black soil, vidharbha region, ascorbic acid content, T.S.S.

25 Introduction

26

27 Radish (Raphanus sativus L.) belongs to genus Raphanus, family Brassicaceae or Cruciferae originated 28 from the Central and Western China and India. It is one of the most ancient vegetables. It was cultivated 29 about 2700 B.C [3]. It is being cultivated in India over an area of 1, 69,000 ha with annual production of 30 22, 03,000 MT (N.H.B., 2015) [2]. Radish is a good source of vitamin A and vitamin C and minerals like 31 calcium, potassium, iron and phosphorus. The most popular eating part of radish is the tuberous roots 32 although the entire plant is edible and the tops can be used as a leafy vegetable. Radish has got several 33 medicinal properties. It increases appetite, prevent constipation, beneficial for the patients suffering from piles, liver trouble, enlarged spleen, jaundice, gall bladder and urinary disorders. Good quality seed is one 34 35 of the important means to increase productivity in any crop [24]. The vegetable intake as per the acclaimed of the dietitians must be 300 g day⁻¹ capita and its obtainability is 145 g in India. Out of 300 g 36 37 of vegetables, 125 g of green leafy vegetables, 100 g of roots and 75 g of other vegetables [20]. 38 Vegetables are called as shielding food as their intake can avoid numerous diseases. Vegetables are the 39 richest and cheapest source of natural protective food backing carbohydrates, proteins, fats, vitamins and minerals. India is the second largest producer of vegetables after China. Radish is beneficial in liver and 40 41 gall bladder difficulties. In homoeopathy, it's used for neuralgic headache, sleeplessness and chronic 42 diarrhea. Roots, leaves, flower and pod are quite effective against gram positive bacteria. Radish (Raphanus sativus L.) is an important root vegetable cultivated in India mainly for its tender roots, which 43 are used as salad or cooking vegetables. Radish is grown on an area of 67,345 ha with production of 44 45 803,000 t in India [23]. Radish also has therapeutic value [23]. In Maharashtra, vegetables are grown on large scale throughout the year in areas around the big cities. The roots are said to be useful in urinary 46 47 complaints, piles and in gastrodynia. A salt extracted from roots, dried and burnt to white ash is said to be 48 used as diuretic and laxative. The seeds are said to be pectin, expectorant, diuretic and carminative [11]. 49 Radish is grown for its young tender tuberous roots which are consumed raw as a salad or prepared as a vegetable. It is savored for its pungent flavor and is considered as an appetizer. The young leaves are also 50

51 cooked as vegetable and eaten. Radish has energizing and depurative properties. The edible portion of 52 radish root develops from both primary root and hypocotyls. The primary root and hypocotyls develop 53 into edible portion of radish root. There are several causes like variety, season of planting, nutrition and 54 irrigation which plays a principal role in yield contribution and quality production. Several varieties of radish are available in the market having varying length, size, colour, taste, yield potential and quality 55 parameters. The consumers as well as growers are demanding for the varieties having good qualities. As 56 57 radish is an important salad vegetable, it is in demand throughout the year in big cities. The vegetables 58 from this region are also sold in the big markets like Mumbai, Pune, Nagpur and Hyderabad. The varieties 59 like Pusa Reshmi, Pusa Himani, Pusa Desi, Pusa Chetki, Japanese White, Arka Nishant, and IHR-1-1 are grown in various parts of the India. Higher yield, long sized roots and earliness are some of the characters 60 which might be accountable for increase in the revenue of radish growing farmers. 61

Material and Methods

The present experiment entitled "Performance of Different Radish Varieties at Akola Region of 63 64 Vidharbha" was undertaken in the field of Chilli and Vegetable Research Unit, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three 65 replications (Fig. 1). The treatments include seven varieties viz., Pusa Desi, Pusa Chetki, Pusa Reshmi, 66 67 Pusa Himani, Japanese White, Arka Nishant, and IHR-1-1 (Table 1). The soil of the experimental block 68 was medium black. The field was prepared by ploughing and frequent harrowing. FYM was given at the rate of 25 cart load hectare⁻¹ before last harrowing and mixed well with soil. Later on sowing was done on 69 20th of October. The ridges and furrow was opened in a bed size 3.60 x 2.30 m. A spacing of 30 cm was 70 71 kept between the ridges. The seed was dibbled at 10 cm spacing. Fertilization carried out as per recommendations and all the necessary cultural practices were adopted. The observations on length of 72 root, diameter, weight of root, leaves, plant and yield were recorded. The analysis of variance were 73 74 carried out as suggested [16].

Table 1: Source of seed used in experiment						
Sr. No.	Name of varieties	Source of seed				
1.	Pusa Desi	IARI New Delhi				
2.	Pusa Himani	IARI New Delhi				
3.	Pusa Reshmi	IARI New Delhi				
4.	Pusa Chetki	Chilli and Vegetable Research Unit, Dr. PDKV, Akola.				
5.	Arka Nishant	Department of Horticulture, Dr. PDKV, Akola				
6.	Japanese White	Local Market				
7.	I H R -1-1	IIHR Bangalore				

75

62

76 Fig. 1: Layout of Experiment




- 84
- 85
- 86 **Result and Discussion:**

8788 Growth Parameters

89

90 The growth parameters like plant height, number of leaves plant⁻¹, leaf area, and chlorophyll content of 91 leaves were observed among different varieties at different growth stages. The plant height was maximum

(28.29 cm) in Arka Nishant, while it was minimum (22.70 cm) in Pusa Himani (Table 2, Fig. 2). The 92 maximum plant height 24.40, 25.96, 28.29 cm was produced by Arka Nishant variety. These differences 93 94 in plant height among the varieties might be due to the genetic makeup of the plant and its expression to the growing soil and environmental conditions. The variation in plant growth of different radish varieties 95 were also observed, which confirms the results [1]. The supreme number of leaves plant⁻¹ 12.33 were 96 produced in Japanese White and it was at par with Pusa Reshmi, I H R -1-1. Whereas, the minimum 97 98 number of leaves plant⁻¹ 9.86 were observed in variety Pusa Chetki (Table 2, Fig. 3). The significant difference in early stages of growth is obvious, as during germination and growth initiation process, the 99 varieties might not have expressed their genetic potential. The significant differences thereafter could be 100 attributed to the requirement of developing plants for more quantum of carbohydrates, which might have 101 forced the plants of these varieties to produce more number of leaves. The variation in number of leaves 102 103 among different radish varieties was also reported which supports the results of present findings [8,17,13,1,18,22,6,14]. The maximum leaf area 120.92 cm² was recorded in variety Arka Nishant, which 104 was found to be at par with the varieties Japanese White with 117.83 cm², I H R-1-1 with 113.40 cm² and 105 it was followed by the variety Pusa Chetki with 110.04 cm² (Table 2, Fig. 4). Maximum leaf area might 106 107 be helpful for more photosynthesis and making food for better yield potential character of plant growth and produce maximum yield. Further, the growth characters of the plants greatly depend on the genetic 108 makeup of the variety. Similar results were also obtained [22,15]. Also the chlorophyll content of leaves 109 (3.10 mg g^{-1}) recorded in Arka Nishant and minimum chlorophyll content of leaves was recorded (1.61) 110 mg g⁻¹) in variety Pusa Desi (Table 2, Fing. 5). Chlorophyll content of leaves was might be varied 111 according to leaf area and types of leaves and genotypic character. Similar results has been reported in 112 Pusa Chetki cultivar of radish [15]. 113








Fig. 4: Leaf area (cm²)




Fig. 3: No. of leaves plant⁻¹




Fig. 5: Chlorophyll content of leaves (mg g⁻¹)

114 115

Table 2: Performance of different radish varieties in respect of various Growth parameters							
Treatment	Plant Height at	No. of leaves	Leaf area	Chlorophyll content of			
(Varieties)	Harvest (cm)	plant ⁻¹	(cm^2)	leaves (mg g^{-1})			
V1 - Pusa Desi	23.95	10.46	87.76	1.61			

V2 - Pusa Himani	23.70	11.33	85.04	2.95
V3 - Pusa Reshmi	23.50	11.66	95.46	3.04
V4 - Pusa Chetki	26.28	9.86	110.04	2.95
V5 - Arka Nishant	28.29	10.26	120.92	3.10
V6 - Japanese White	26.02	12.33	117.83	2.93
V7 - I H R-1-1	24.88	11.86	113.40	2.74
"F" test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.
SEm±	1.02	0.29	2.53	0.16
CD at 5 %	3.15	0.90	7.82	0.49

116

117 **Yield Parameters:**

118

119 Days required to harvest

The variety Arka Nishant required minimum (43) number of days that is 43 days for edible size and it was 120 121 closely followed by varieties Pusa Chetki (46 days), Japanese White, Pusa Himani (49 days), Pusa Reshmi (50 days) and Pusa Desi (52 days). However, the maximum numbers of days that is 58 days were 122 taken by variety I H R -1-1 for obtaining edible size of roots (Table 3). The data in respect to number of 123 days required to harvesting and to attend edible size, indicated that, it was varied from 43-58 days. The 124 differences in maturity period can be attributed to genetic differences among the cultivars and ecological 125 as well as climatic condition, as climate during growth and development of plant plays a dominant role in 126 growth, yield and quality of radish. Similar trend of result was also observed [19,5,15]. 127 128

Table 3: Performance of different radish varieties in respect of yield parameters							
Treatment	Days require to	Fresh weight of	Fresh weight of	Total fresh weight of			
(Varieties)	harvest	leaves (g)	root (g)	plant (g)			
V1 - Pusa Desi	52	67.48	122.76	190.06			
V2 - Pusa Himani	49	72.64	133.66	204.22			
V3 - Pusa Reshmi	50	74.70	140.88	214.74			
V4 - Pusa Chetki	46	64.85	154.80	218.10			
V5 - Arka Nishant	43	66.02	161.74	226.60			
V6 - Japanese White	49	68.12	153.38	223.75			
V7 - I H R-1-1	58	70.99	133.25	216.10			
"F" test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.			
SEm±	0.52	1.54	1.22	4.40			
CD at 5 %	1.63	4.77	3.75	13.58			

129

130 Fresh weight of leaves (g)

131 The data presented in Table 8 pealed that, the fresh weight of leaves were significantly influenced by 132 the different varieties of radish. The variety Pusa Reshmi recorded 74.70 g, expressively maximum fresh 133 weight of leaves, which was statistically at par with Pusa Himani (72.64g) and I H R -1-1 with 70.99 g.

134 Whereas, the minimum weight of leaves 64.85 g was recorded in variety Pusa Chetki (Table 3). The

similar variations in fresh weight of leaves among different varieties have reported [15].

136 Fresh weight of root (g)

The weight of roots influenced significantly among the different varieties. It was observed that, the maximum fresh weight of root was 161.74 g recorded in variety Arka Nishant. However, the minimum weight of root was 122.76 g recorded in variety Pusa Desi. The varieties Pusa Himani (133.66 g) and IHR-1-1 (133.25g) were found statistically at par with each other (Table 3). The variation in the weight of root might be due to the genetic variation. Increase in leaf area, root diameter, plant height might be reflected in the increases in the root weight. The present findings are in conformity with the work done

143 [8,5,7] on radish crop.

144 Total fresh weight of plant (g)

The variety Arka Nishant recorded highest total fresh weight of plant 226.60 g which was statistically at
par with variety Japanese White which was 223.75 g, Pusa Reshmi, Pusa Chetki, Japanese White, IHR -11. While, the minimum total fresh weight of plant was 190.06 g recorded in variety Pusa Desi (Table 3).
The variations in total fresh weight of plant among different radish varieties might be due to the varietal

difference. The results of the present investigation are similar [15,7] in radish.

150 **Root yield hectare**⁻¹ ($t ha^{-1}$)

151 The variety Arka Nishant recorded maximum root yield of 53.91 t ha⁻¹ and it was significantly superior

over rest of varieties and followed by Japanese White with 50. 86 t ha⁻¹ and Pusa Chetki with 50.78 t ha⁻¹.
Whereas, the minimum root yield was 40.90 t ha⁻¹ recorded in variety Pusa Desi (Table 4). It is obivious

that, the varieties which performed better in a unit area are likely to perform better on large scale as the

155 yield hectare⁻¹ was calculated by multiplying yield plot⁻¹ with hectare factor. The yield is the result of

156 interaction of the genotype to a given agro climatic and management factors. Further, yield is supposed to

157 be reflections of the yield components. The yield variations among these seven varieties may be

158 considered as varietal difference, as all these varieties are tested under same soil, management and similar 159 agro climatic conditions. The variations in yield among the radish varieties were also reported by several

160 workers [19,21,8,5,10].

- 1	C 1	
. 1	hI	
_	.01	

Treatment	shoot ratio Root yield hectare ⁻¹	Doot to shoot ratio	
(Varieties)	$(t ha^{-1})$	Root to shoot ratio	
V1 - Pusa Desi	40.90	0.94	
V2 - Pusa Himani	44.52	0.89	
V3 - Pusa Reshmi	46.95	0.94	
V4 - Pusa Chetki	50.76	0.86	
V5 - Arka Nishant	53.91	1.37	
V6 - Japanese White	50.86	1.23	
V7 - I H R -1-1	44.40	0.82	
"F" test	Sig.	Sig.	
SEm±	0.41	0.09	
CD at 5 %	1.27	0.18	

162 **Root to shoot ratio**

The data related to root to shoot ratio in radish as influenced by varieties of radish are presented in Table 4 shows the significantly maximum root to shoot ratio produced by variety Arka Nishant was 1.37 and it was superior over rest of the varieties and followed by Japanese White (1.23), Pusa Chetki (0.86), respectively. The minimum root to shoot ratio recorded in variety IHR-1-1 was 0.82 (Table 4). The root to shoot ratio indicated significant differences among the different radish varieties. The variations in root to shoot ratio among different radish varieties were also observed [1,4] which supports the results of the present investigation.

170

171 **Quality parameters:**

172

173 Ascorbic acid content of root (mg 100 g^{-1})

The ascorbic acid content of root was significantly influenced by different radish varieties. The maximum 174 ascorbic acid content of root recorded in variety Arka Nishant and Pusa Chetki was 18.36 mg 100g⁻¹ 175 which was followed by Japanese White (18.28 mg $100g^{-1}$). Whereas, the minimum (13.59 mg $100g^{-1}$) 176 ascorbic acid content of root was recorded in variety Pusa Desi (Table 5). It might be due to the fact that, 177 genetic cause or responses of the particular genotype to the soil and climatic conditions might be reflected 178 in such characters. Similar results were also reported [9,15,12]. It is interesting to state that, more content 179 180 of ascorbic acid in root of radish would be responsible for longer preservation of the produce during 181 storage.

182

Table 5: Performance of different radish varieties in respect of Ascorbic acid content of root (mg 100 g ⁻¹) and TSS of root (0 Brix)						
Treatment (Varieties)	Ascorbic acid content of root (mg 100g ⁻¹)	TSS of root (⁰ Brix)				
V1 - Pusa Desi	13.59	3.82				
V2 - Pusa Himani	16.58	3.49				
V3 - Pusa Reshmi	17.11	3.48				
V4 - Pusa Chetki	18.36	3.89				
V5 - Arka Nishant	18.36	4.00				
V6 - Japanese White	18.24	3.74				
V7 - I H R-1-1	18.12	3.02				
"F" test	Sig.	Sig.				
SEm±	0.84	0.10				
CD at 5 %	2.58	0.32				

183

Total soluble solids (TSS) of root (⁰Brix)

From the data presented in Table 5, it was observed that, the maximum 4.00 TSS content in the root was recorded in variety Arka Nishant which was at par with varieties Pusa Chetki (3.89 ⁰Brix), Japanese White (3.74 ⁰Brix) and Pusa Desi (3.82 ⁰Brix). The minimum (3.02 ⁰Brix) TSS content in the root was recorded in variety I H R-1-1 and remaining varieties were at par with each other (Table 5). The variation in the TSS content in root, might be due to the indivisual character of variety and it also might be due to the effect of soil and climatic conditions wherein that variety grown. These results are in conformity with the finding [9] in radish crop.

192 Pithiness in root

- 193 The data regarding scores obtained after the evaluation of radish plants of different radish varieties, for
- 194 pithiness in root regarding different quality characters like diameter of root and fiber content in it as
- 195 vegetable, by different outcomes are presented in Table 6
- 196

Table 6: Performance of different radish varieties in respect of Pithiness in root

	Table 0. Terrormanee of different radish varieties in respect of Trunness in root							
	Different harvesting dates and pithiness status							
Treatment	43	46	49	52	55	58	60	
(Varieties)	Days after	Days after	Days after	Days after	Days after	Days after	Days after	
	Sowing	Sowing	Sowing	Sowing	Sowing	Sowing	Sowing	
V1 - Pusa	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р	Р	
Desi	111	111	111	111	111	1	1	
V2 - Pusa	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р	P	Р	
Himani	111	111	111	111	1	1	1	
V3 - Pusa	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р	P	Р	
Reshmi	111	111	111	111	1	1	1	

V4 - Pusa Chetki	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р
V5 - Arka Nishant	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р
V6 - Japanese White	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р
V7 - I H R - 1-1	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	Р
*NP= Non pithy root *P = Pithy root							

197 Conclusion:

198 The plant height was supreme (28.29 cm) in Arka Nishant, maximum chlorophyll content of leaves (3.10

mg g⁻¹) recorded in Arka Nishant. The variety Arka Nishant required minimum (43 days) number of days, 199 in weight of total fresh weight of plant (190.06 g to 226.60 g) were observed. It was maximum in variety 200 Arka Nishant, whereas, minimum in variety Pusa Desi. The variations in fresh weight of root (122.76 g to 201 202 161.74 g) were observed. The maximum root to shoot ratio (1.37) was observed in variety Arka Nishant. The values of root diameter were maximum (3.69 cm) in variety Arka Nishant. The maximum root yield 203 plot⁻¹ (32.34 kg plot⁻¹) and hectare⁻¹ (53.91 t ha⁻¹) was produced in variety Arka Nishant. The maximum 204 moisture content of root (97.75%) was recorded in Arka Nishant variety. The ascorbic acid content was 205 maximum (18.36 mg 100g⁻¹), TSS (4.00 ⁰B) was recorded in the variety Arka Nishant. In nutshell, the 206 207 radish varieties Arka Nishant, Japanese White and Pusa Chetki had shown the significantly superior performance with respect to most of the growth, yield and quality attributes. Hence, these varieties are 208 209 suitable for agro-climatic conditions of Akola. Among seven varieties, the variety Arka Nishant

210 performed better.

211 **References**:

- Anjanappa, M., N. Shiva Reddy, K. Murli and K.S. Krishnappa, 1998. Performance of certain radish varieties under southern dry region of Karnataka. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 11(3):862-864.
- Area and production estimates for horticultural crops. National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon, Haryana,
 2015. <u>www.nhb.gov.in</u>
- 216 3. Becker, G., 1962, Handbush der pflanzenzuchtung. 6: 23-78.
- 217 4. Bhat, K. L., 1996, Effect of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on yield of different cultivars
 218 of radish. Crop Res, 11(2):204-206.
- 5. Bhatti, M.H., H. I. Ullah, S. Khan, and A. Shakoor, 1983. Studies on evaluation of exotic and local cultivars of radish, Pakistan J. Agric. Res. 4 (1), 17-21.
- 6. Chapagain, T. R., S. Piya, N. B. Dangal, J. L. Mandal, and B. P. Chaudhary, 2010. Comparisons of commercial and local varieties of radish at different level of manures and fertilizers. Nepal J. of Sci. and Tech. 11: 51-56.
- 7. Deotale, A.B., P.V. Bilorkar, N.G. Bedvaik, S. R. Patil, and J. R. Rathod, 1994. Studies on performance of some radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) cultivars under Nagpur condition. J. Soils and Crops 4(2): 120-121.
- 8. Dixit, J., R. P. Singh and G. S. Gaur, 1980. A note on studies on varietal performance of radish
 (*Raphanus sativus* L.). Haryana J. Hort. Sci. 9(1-2): 98-100.
- 9. Joshi, P.C. and N.S. Patil, 1988. Effect of plant density, nitrogen and phosphorus on TSS and ascorbic
 acid content of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). South Indian Horticulture 36(6):331-332.
- 10. Khokhar, K.M., I. Hussain, and K.M. Qureshi, 1987. Performance of some early maturing radish cultivars in respect yield and certain growth characters. Pakistan J. Agric. Res. 24(2):112/16.
- 233 11. Kirtikar, K. R. and B. D. Basu, 1935. Indian Medicinal Plants. Lalit Mohan Basu, Allahabad.
- 12. Kopta, T., and R. Pokluda, 2013. Yields, quality and nutritional parameters of radish (*Raphanus sativus*) cultivars when grown organically in the Czech Republic. Hort. Sci. (Prague), 40: 16–21.

- 13. Kumar, J.C., B.S. Sooch, and D.S. Khurana, 1995. Studies on evaluation of radish cultivars for yield
 and its parameters during spring season. The Punjab Veg. Grower. (30): 62-63.
- 14. Kumar, R., R. Sharma, R.K. Gupta, and M. Singh, 2012. Determination of genetic variability and divergence for root yield and quality characters in temperate radishes. International J. of Veg. Sci. 18(4):307-318.
- 15. Mapari , A.V., V.N. Dod, P.D. Peshattiwar and Archana Thorat, 2009. Genetic variability in radish.
 The Asian J. of Hort. 4 (2):225-258.
- Panse, V. G. and P. U. Sukhatme, 1957. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, Indian Council of
 Agriculture Research publication New Delhi.
- 245 17. Ponnuswami, V., E. Vadivel, and I. Irulappan, 1980: Studies on variability studies in Radish
 246 (*Raphanus sativus* L.). South Ind.Hort.30: 42-44.
- Rabbani, M.A., Y. Murakami, Y. Kuginuki, and K. Takayanagi, 1998. Genetic variation in radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) germplasm from Pakistan using morphological traits and RAPDs. Genetic Resources and Crop Evaluation (45): 307-316.
- Rajgopal, A., P. Rangaswamy, and A. Metha, 1979. Studies on varietal evaluation in radish. South Ind.
 Hort. (27):75-78.
- 252 20. Salaria, A. S. and B. S. Salaria, 2009. Horticulture at a Glance. Jain Brothers New Delhi 2: 7-8.
- 253 21. Singh, G.P., M.L. Pandita and G.R. Singh, 1979. Effect of date of sowing on growth, yield and quality
 254 on different cultivars of radish. Haryana. J. Hort. Sci. 8(3-4): 134-138.
- 255 22. Singh, V.B. and R.K. Taj, 2005: Evaluation of radish cultivars under rain fed conditions of Nagaland.
 256 Prog.Horti.37 (1): 72-74.
- 257 23. Sundaram, V., Udaykumar, A., Vadivel, E. 2005. Effective microorganisms on growth and yield of
 258 radish (*Raphanus sativus*. L.) cv. Pusa Chetki (short). http:// www.eminfo.nl/radish.doc.
- 24. Verma S., K., Phogat K. P. S., 1994, Impact of pollinations by honey bees (Apiscerena) on yield of
 radish under valley conditions of Himalyan hills. Indian Bee Journal, 45:183-186.