



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_34975
Title of the Manuscript:	VARIATION OF SOIL NUTRIENTS WITH DIVERSE HILL SOILS: A CASE STUDY OF CHITTAGONG HILL TRACT, BANGLADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Undeniably, the strong point of the article is the topicality of presented research. I propose to write more clearly and in detail the relevance of the research, and why these studies are so necessary for this territory.</p> <p>I suggest writing what was the research purpose in last sentences of the Introduction.</p> <p>The section "Conclusion" should be more detailed and reveal the further application of the results of the study</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The sections of the articles "Methodology" and "Results and Discussion", unfortunately, do not contain information about the concentration of available form of zinc, iron and copper in studied soil. But it is known, that exactly available form of element determines the plant up-taking from soil.</p> <p>In view of the results obtained during the experiment, the concentration of elements content is very low (<i>f.ex., in 220-222lines: "Zn in this study varied between 0.08 ppm (sample A5) to 1.72 ppm (sample A1) in 221 high hill soils, 0.08 ppm (sample B2) to 1.92 ppm (sample B1) in medium hill soils and 0.38 222 ppm (sample C5) to 1.40 ppm (sample C9) in low hill soils"</i>). Therefore, it is necessary to clarify the available forms of these elements in the soil. In my opinion, it should be better to highlight available forms for all elements in the soil.</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		
---	--	--

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Nataliia Ryzhenko</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Department of Ecological Safety, State Ecological Academy of Post-Graduate Education and Management, Kyiv, Ukraine</i></b>