EFFECT OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND POTASSIUM APPLICATION ON AGRONOMIC

PARAMETERS, YIELD AND UPTAKE IN MAIZE: A GREEN HOUSE EXPERIMENT

Abstract

1 2

3

It is important to explore varying supply of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) for sustainable production of maize in screen house environment. This necessitated the study to determine the effect of these nutrients on growth, dry matter yield and nutrient uptake in maize. The study involved three pot experiments laid in a completely randomized design with three replications carried out concurrently in the screen house at Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria. Treatments included (0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180 kg N ha⁻¹), (0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180 kg P ha⁻¹), (0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180 kg K ha⁻¹) for the first, second and third experiments, respectively. Maize seeds were sown in pots and treatments were applied two weeks after planting. Data were collected fortnightly on maize height, stem girth, leaf numbernumber of leaves, leaf length, breadth width and area for 8weeks; dry matter yield and uptake were determined at the end of the experiments. The result showed that application of N at a rate of 120 kg ha-1 significantly increased height (66 %), leaf number (96%) and dry matter yield of maize whereas leaf area and P conc. (157%) significantly increased with a rate of 150 kg N ha⁻¹. Significant increases in height (26%), stem girth, leaf area, leaf number (54 %), shoot dry weight and N concentrationwas wereobserved with 60 kg P ha⁻¹. However, the application of applying K at 180 kg ha-1 increased the plant height (16%), stem girth (61%), leaf number, leaf area (?%), leaf length (10 %), leaf width (?%)breadth, concentration and uptake of N and K. It is was concluded that maize growth, dry matter yield and uptake is greatly influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium applications at 120 to 150 kg N ha 1, 60kg P ha 1, and 180kg K ha⁻¹should be adopted.

242526

27

22

23

Keywords: Agronomic parameters, sustainable, nutrient concentration and uptake, Fertilizer, dry matter

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

1. INTRODUCTION

The demand for food is increasing because of increasing population; the problem of food scarcity is increasing. Maize (*Zea maysL*.) as an important crop in Nigeriais a better option to mitigate the threat of food shortage, as it is a high yielding crop that provides food and forage. It is Nigeria's third most important cereal crop after sorghum and millet [1]. However, a major reason for low yields in maize production is the poor organic matter and available nutrients of most soils in the humid tropics since they areas a result of continuously croppinged, and consequently, leading to reduction in sustainable soil productivity and sustainability [2]. Longer Long term cultivation has further

depleted the soil-organic-matter content and fertility status of thesoils[3]. This phenomenon is amidst other constraints like drought, poor crop management, diseases and pest. Efforts aimed at obtaining higher yields of maize would necessitate the augmentation of the nutrient status of the soil to meet the crop's requirements for optimum productivity, and also maintain the soil's fertility [4]. Increasing the nutrient status of the soil may be achieved by boosting the soil nutrient content with the use of inorganic fertilizers such as NPK. The maize crop requires an adequate supply of nutrients particularly nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium for optimum growth and yield [4]. Nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and other nutrient elements play great physiological importance in formation of chlorophyll, nucleotides, phosphotides and alkaloid as well as in many enzymes, hormones and vitamins for optimum grain yield [4]. Nitrogen deficiency could exert a particularly marked effect on maize crop yield as the plant would remain small and rapidly turn yellow if sufficient nitrogen is not available for the construction of protein and chlorophyll [6]. Phosphorus is also required by maize for growth, being an essential component of nucleic acid, phosphorylated sugar, lipids and protein plays a vital role in grain production [7]. It is important because it forms phosphate bonds with adenine, guanine and uridine, which act as carriers for biological process. In plants, phosphorus is a common component of organic compounds. It was noticed [8] that nitrogen and phosphorus application increased the green fodder yield of maize while Phosphorus application enhanced the crop to reach 50% tasseling and silking earlier [8, 9]. Potassium is one of the important macronutrients next to N and P. This nutrient is one of the essential nutrients whose deficiency affects the crop growth and production. Potassium is an activator of many plant enzymes. Potassium has important functions in plant water relations where it regulates ionic balances within cells. Potassium regulates the leaf stomata opening and subsequently the rate of transpiration and gas exchange. Plants also need K for the formation of sugars and starches, for the synthesis of proteins, and for cell division. It increases the oil content of pistachios

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

and contributes to its cold hardiness [10]. Under K deficient conditions, photosynthesis is depressed as a consequence of sucrose accumulation in the leaves and its effect on gene expression [11]. Maize is the most important cereal in the world after wheat, its nutritional values cannot be over emphasized and the rate at which it is being consumed and used industrially is increasing daily thereby making its production throughout the year a major concern. It is therefore pertinent to explore varying supply of nutrients particularly nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium needed for good growth and high yield of maize for sustainable production in screen house environment. This necessitated the study to determine the effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on growth, dry matter yield and nutrient uptake in of maize.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 SOIL COLLECTION AND SOIL ANALYSIS

The top soil (0-20cm) was collected from the University farms, Federal university of Agriculture Abeokuta, Ogun state. The soil was air dried, and sieved with through 2mm mesh sieve. Sub samples from of the soil was were collected and analyzed for the following properties: Soil pH was estimated in 1:2 (soil:soil: water) using glass electrode pH meter. Particle size was determined according to hydrometer method. Soil was digested and total nitrogen content was analyzed using kjedahl method. Available phosphorus was extracted with Bray-1 and P was determined according to [12]. Exchangeable cations were extracted with 1N ammonium acetate, Na and Kin the extract were determined by flame photometry, and Ca and Mg were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometer.

2.2 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

The experiments were laid out in completely randomized design with three replications. Treatments for experiment 1 included varying levels of nitrogen (0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150 and 180 kg K ha⁻¹) and constant levels of potassium and phosphorus at 90 kg N ha⁻¹ and 15 kg P ha⁻¹.

respectively. Treatments for experiment 2 included varying levels of phosphorus (0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150 and 180 kg K ha⁻¹) and constant levels of nitrogen and potassium at 90 kg N ha⁻¹ and 15 kg P ha⁻¹, respectively respectively. Treatments for experiment 3 were varying levels of potassium (0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150 and 180 kg K ha⁻¹) and constant levels of nitrogen and phosphorus at 90 kg N ha⁻¹ and 15 kg P ha⁻¹, respectively.

2.3 SCREEN HOUSE EXPERIMENT

Five kilogram'skilograms of soil was dispensed into each experimental pot with each treatment applied separately into the pot. The soil—insoil in the pots were watered and maize seeds (Swam 1) were sown at 3 seeds per pot. The plants were thinned to one plant per pot after two weeks. The plants were watered in the screen house for eight weeks, i.e.at tassel stage. Agronomic data including plant height, stem girth, leaf length, leaf breadthwidth, and number of leaves were taken recorded forth nightly. The leaf area was also measured. Maize plants were harvested at the end of the 8th week. The root and shoot components were separated, cleaned, placed in to neatly labeled envelopes and dried to constant weight. The oven dried shoots were milled and analyzed for potassium and nitrogen concentrations. Similar procedure as carried out in experiment 1 was done simultaneously in experiments and three, only that the target nutrients analyzed were different, phosphorus and nitrogen in experiment 2, and potassium and nitrogen in experiment 3. In experiment 2, oven dried shoot were milled and analyzed for phosphorus and nitrogen while milled shoots from experiment 3 were analyzed for potassium and nitrogen content.

2.4 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data collected wereanalyzedfor their variance by using the software package SAS (1999). The significant treatments were separated Mean comparison among the treatments was performed using LSD at 5 % level of probability.

3. RESULTS

110	3.1 SOIL CHARACTERISTICS		
111	The soil had a pH of 6.20, organic carbon, total nitrogen and available P of 0.65% and 0.04% and		
112	$3.01 \text{mgkg}^{-1} \text{respectively}$. It contained $4.41 \text{cmol}_{(2)} \text{kg}^{-1}$, $1.16 \text{cmol}_{(1)} \text{kg}^{-1}$, $0.64 \text{cmol}_{(2)} \text{kg}^{-1}$ and $0.24 \text{cmol}_{(2)} \text{kg}^{-1}$		
113	cmol ₄₊ kg ⁻¹ of calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium, respectively(Table 1).	 Formatted: Subscript	
114	3.2 EFFECT OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND POTASSIUM APPLICATION ON PLANT HEIGHT AND		
115	STEM GIRTH OF MAIZE		
116	Table 2 shows that application of nitrogen did not lead to significant increase in plant height at 2 and		
117	6WAP (weeks after planting),although the tallest plants were recorded with nitrogen_application at		
118	ratesatof150kg ha ⁻¹ and 120 kg ha ⁻¹ . At 4WAP, maize height was significant with a highest increase of		
119	66% above the control with 150kg N ha ⁻¹ . There was no difference among the control, 30kg and		
120	180kg N at 4WAP. Application of 120kg N ha ⁻¹ led to increase in maize height at 8WAP in		
121	comparisonrelative to the control and other application rates. The application of 120kg N ha		
122	¹ significantly increased maize height by 134% when compared to the application rate of 30kg N ha ⁻¹ .	 Formatted: Superscript	
123	Maize stem girth was narrowest with N rate of 30kg ha ⁻¹ . There was no <u>significant</u> difference in		
124	among the control, 30kgN ha ⁻¹ and 180kg N ha ⁻¹ in terms of stem girth at 2WAP. However, at 4 WAP		
125	stem girth was wider with 150kg N ha ⁻¹ in comparison as compared to the control although significant		
126	differenceswere not observed with other application rates. Stem girth was similar for all the		
127	treatments at 6 and 8WAP despite the fact that the widest girth at 6 and 8WAP were recorded with		
128	application of 90kg N ha ⁻¹ and 120kg N ha ⁻¹ .		
129	All the Aapplication rates of phosphorus at rates except with the exception of 30 kg ha ⁻¹ and 120 kg		
130	ha ⁻¹ resulted in increased maize height at 2WAP, even although increases were not significant with		
131	respect to the control. Similar responses were reported at 4WAP.However, the application rates of		
132	30 kg P ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ha ⁻¹ and 120kg P ha ⁻¹ had similar effect on maize height despite the fact that a		

highest significant increase of 26% was recorded with from the application 60kg P ha-1 relative to 30

kg P ha⁻¹. The height of maize was similar for the control and P application rates at 6WAP. A significant reduction in maize height was noted in control, 30kg P ha⁻¹and 150kg P ha⁻¹in comparison compared to 60kg P ha⁻¹at 8WAP. All P rates except 60kg P ha⁻¹had similar effect on height of maize at 8WAP. There was no significant difference in stem girth at 2WAP. Stem girth increased with increasing P untilup to 60 kg ha 1, while whereas application rates below 60 kg ha 1 led to significant reduction in stem girth at 4WAP. The application of 60kg P ha-1led to significant increase in stem girth when compared to other rates except 120 kgP ha-1 and 150kg P ha-1, but the highest significant increase was of 28% was recorded above the control. Similar response was observed at 6WAP only that widest stem girth produced with 60kg P ha-1 did not significantly differ from P rates above 60kg ha⁻¹. At 8WAP all P application rates did not differ from each other although significant increases in stem girth was were produced by 120 kg P ha⁻¹, 150 kg P ha⁻¹ and 180 kg P ha⁻¹. The application of 60kg K ha⁻¹produced significantly taller plants than the control although there was no difference in the height of maize with the application of potassium at the varying rates at 2WAP (Table 2). At 4WAP, significant increase in height was noted with K at 180kg ha⁻¹, even though this did not differ from 120kg ha⁻¹ and 150kg ha⁻¹. There was no significant difference in maize height at 6WAP but highest increase was noted with 60 kg K ha⁻¹ and 90kg K ha⁻¹. All the potassium application rates except 180kg K ha⁻¹and the control stimulated similar maize height at 8WAP. However, potassium application rate of at 180kg ha 1significantly increased the plant height to, an increase of 16% more thanof the control was observed. The stem girth of maize was higher with the application of potassium; significant difference was not recorded at 2 WAP. Applying potassium at a rate of 180kg K ha⁻¹widened the stem of maize at 2WAP in comparison to the control at an increase ofby 61%. The application of K at 30 kg K ha⁻¹, 60 kg K ha⁻¹, 90 kg K ha⁻¹ led to similar maize response in stemgirth when compared withto the control at 2WAP, however, stem girth of maizewas observedto increased with increasing application rates of potassium-rates. At 6 and 8 WAP, there was no significant difference in stem girth with the application of K at 30 kg ha-1. However, maize stem widened with increasing potassium rates at 6 and 8WAP. The application of 180kg K ha

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

160 ¹produced the widest stem girth relative to the application other rates at 6 and 8WAP. Application of 90 kg K ha⁻¹and 120 kg Kha⁻¹had similar effect on<u>stem</u> girth, while 150kg K ha⁻¹increased 161 the produced a widerstem girth than 120 kg K ha⁻¹ at 6WAP. 162 3.3 EFFECT OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND POTASSIUM APPLICATION ON LEAF LENGTH AND 163 **BREADTH OF MAIZE** 164 165 Leaf length of maize significantly increased with the application of nitrogen fertilizer of 120kgN ha 166 ¹at 2WAP in comparison with the control, while the otherapplication rates did not differ 167 considerably (Table 3). At 4 and 6WAP, no significant increase was observed in leaf length, although application of fertilizer increased leaf length when compared to the control. The highest increase in 168 Comment [DT1]: Do you mean the fertilizer in general, or it is about only N? Please specify clearly. leaf length was recorded with 120 kg N and 90 kg N ha-1 at 4 and 6WAP, respectively. Significant 169 170 increase in leaf length was recorded with the application 150kg N ha-1 relative to control at 8WAP. 171 With the exception of the observation made at 4 WAP, Lleaf breadth-widthdid not significantly differ afterfollowing theapplication of nitrogen fertilizer at all weeks except at 4WAP as shown in Table 3. 172 At 4 WAP, the highest significant increase was brought about by Napplication rate of at 120 kg N ha 173 ¹and 150kg N ha⁻¹ in respect to-ofthe control. 174 175 There was increase in leaf length of maize as the weeks progressed for all phosphorus treatments. 176 Though nNo significant effect was recorded among the treatments from 2-8WAP, despite the highest leaf length was produced with from the application of 60kg P ha-1 for all the weeks and the 177 lowest was recorded with P rate of 30kg ha⁻¹for all weeks except 2WAP. Similar response was 178 Comment [DT2]: Consider rewriting this part. observed for leaf breadth-widthduring the period of observation only that maize grown in the 179 control soil had the lowest leaf breadthwidth, highest leaf breadth for 2 and 8WAP was produced by 180 90kg P ha⁻¹. 181 Comment [DT3]: Rewrite 182 Table 3shows that leaf length of maize was significantly longer by 10% with the application of 60 kg K 183 ha in comparison with relative to the control. However, leaf length was similar for all the application Formatted: Superscript

rates of potassium rates at 2 WAP. Significant increase was only noted with the application of 180 kg 184 185 K ha-1 in relation to the other application rates and the control at 4WAP. All the application rates of 186 potassium rates led toresulted in significantly longer leaves than the control at 6WAP. A Thehighest 187 increase in leaf length was recorded with following the application of 150kg Kha⁻¹, even though it did 188 not significantly differ from that of 180kg K ha-1 at 6WAP. Increasing potassium rates also increased 189 the leaf length at 8WAP when wherein the longest leaf was recorded with underthe 180 kg K ha-1 190 treatment. All potassium rates produced significantly longer leaf-leavesthan the control, withand athehighest increase in leaf length was created observed with from the application rate of 180kg K ha 191 192 ¹at 8WAP. Maize leaf breadth_widthwas similar for<u>the</u>control, 30 kg K <u>ha</u>-¹and 60 kg K<u>ha</u>-¹. Application of 180 kg K ha-1 120 kg K ha-1 significantly reduced leaf breadth when compared with 90, 193 194 120 and 150 kg K 120 kg K ha⁻¹at 2WAP. Application rates of 60 kg Kha⁻¹and 180 kg K ha⁻¹ted 195 toresulted in similar leaf breadth width, which was significantly higher than the control and the other 196 application rates at 4WAP. Significantly, wider leaf was leaves were recorded observed with the 197 application of 150 kg K ha⁻¹and 180kg K ha⁻¹relative to the control, even though 180 kg K ha⁻¹did not 198 differ from the other application rates except for 30kg K ha at 6WAP, and 30 and 60 kg K ha at 199 8WAP. 200 3.4 EFFECT OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND POTASSIUM APPLICATION ON LEAF NUMBER AND 201 LEAF AREA

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Comment [DT4]: Rewrite

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

The leaf area of maize increased with nitrogen applied at 120kg ha⁻¹ and a decrease was recorded for the control (Table 4), no significant differences were recorded at 2 and 6WAP. At 4WAP, applying nitrogen rate at 120kg ha⁻¹ increased the leaf area significantly by 96% above control. However, at 8WAP there was no difference in leaf area with 30kg N ha⁻¹ in comparison with the control. Significant increase was only noted with nitrogen rate of 150kg N ha⁻¹ when compared to the control while other N rates did not differ from the control. Application of N fertilizer did not lead to significant increase in leaf number at 2, 6 and 8WAP though the lowest number of leaves was recorded with 60kg N, 180kg N and 30kg N at 2, 6 and 8 WAP respectively. However, at 4WAP,

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

application of nitrogen rates of 90 kg ha⁻¹, 120 kg ha⁻¹ and 150kg N significantly increased the leaf number than the control. Highest increase of 51% was recorded with 120kg N and 150kg N above the control. The application of P fertilizer increased the leaf number from 2 to 8WAP. At 2WAP, all P rates except 30kgha⁻¹and 150 kg ha⁻¹increased the leaf number. Similar response was noted at 4WAP in which all P rates except 30kg ha⁻¹and 120 kg ha⁻¹had similar effect on leaf number. A highest increase of 54% was recorded with 90kg Pha in comparison with 30kg Pha at 4 WAP. Significant increase in leaf number was recorded with the application of P fertilizer except 30kg ha-1 at 6WAP with the lowest leaf number produced with the control. Application rate of 60kg ha⁻¹ P significantly increased the leaf number when compared with the control and 30kg P ha⁻¹. An increase was observed in the leaf area of maize with increasing weeks though no significant effect was recorded with the application of phosphorus fertilizer at all the weeks. The highest leaf area was produced in maize grown on soil applied with 60kg P ha⁻¹at all weeks except at 8WAP. There was no significant difference in the leaf number of maize (Table 4) at 2WAP though similar number of leaf was recorded with the control and potassium rates except 60kg K ha⁻¹. At 4WAP, similar leaf number was recorded with the control, 30 kg K ha⁻¹, 90 kg Kha⁻¹ and 180 kg K ha⁻¹ while a decrease was noted with application rates of 60 kg K ha⁻¹ and 150kg K ha⁻¹. The application of 180kg K ha⁻¹, 150kg K ha⁻¹recorded the highest leaf number at 6WAP. However significantly lower leaf number was produced by the control and potassium rates of 30 – 90kg K ha⁻¹. The leaf area was similar for all potassium rates, moreover the application of potassium increased the area of leaf significantly above the control with the highest leaf area recorded with 90kg K ha⁻¹ for 2 WAP. At 4 WAP, applying potassium at 180kg Kha-1 produced the highest leaf area at 4WAP though this did not differ from 60 and 90kg K ha⁻¹. The control, 30kg K ha⁻¹, 90kg K ha⁻¹, 120kg K ha⁻¹ and 150 kg K ha⁻¹ significantly decreased the leaf area when compared to 180kg K ha⁻¹ at 4 WAP. Maize leaf area was

significantly increased at 6WAP with the application of potassium rates except 30kg K ha⁻¹. At 8WAP

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

maize leaf area increased with increasing potassium in which significantly lower area was observed in the control. Highest leaf area was recorded with K rate of 180kg ha⁻¹.

3.5 EFFECT OF POTASSIUM ON DRY MATTER, NUTRIENT CONCENTRATION AND UPTAKE

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

Shoot dry weight and root dry weight increased with the application of nitrogen fertilizer though increases were not significant as presented on Table 5. The highest dry weight was produced with N rate of 120kg ha⁻¹. Nitrogen concentration in maize shoot and uptake from soil did not significantly differ for all the rates and even the control despite N uptake increased with increasing rate up to 150kg ha⁻¹. However, application of nitrogen also increased potassium concentration in plant though significant increase was only noted with 150kg N ha with the highest increase of 157% over the control. The application of phosphorus fertilizer had significant effect on the shoot dry weight. All phosphorus rates except 30kg P ha⁻¹led to significant increase in shoot dry weight when compared to the control. Shoot dry weight was significantly decreased with P at 30kg ha 1 in respect to the control. The root dry weight did not significantly increased with the application of phosphorus. Phosphorus concentration was lowest in maize grown on control soil while the highest was recorded with 60kg P ha-1even though increase was not significant. Nitrogen concentration in plant was highest and only significant with P rate of 60kg in respect to the control, N concentration was observed to decrease with increasing P at rate lower than 60kg ha⁻¹. Phosphorus and nitrogen uptake were not significantly affected with application of phosphorus though the greatest uptake of these nutrients was recorded with 60kg P ha⁻¹. Shoot dry weight was significantly increased with potassium rate at 180kg ha-1 with respect to the control and rates below 90 kg ha⁻¹. No significant difference in root dry weight even though highest was recorded with 180kg K ha⁻¹. The application of potassium increased the nitrogen concentration

significantly although all rate except 60kg K ha⁻¹ led to similar nitrogen content in maize. Potassium

concentration was significantly higher with the 180kg K ha⁻¹in comparison to other rates and control. It was also observed that the more the potassium applied, the more the concentration in plant. Applying potassium at a rate above 90kg led to significant N uptake while a rate above 60kg ha⁻¹ increased K uptake significantly above the control.

Comment [DT5]: Consider the suggestions made in the other sub sections and do same for these sections

4. DISCUSSION

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

The soil used for the experiment-study was slightly acidic. It was low in organic carbon, available phosphorus, calcium, sodium and potassium. Its total nitrogen was very low, and while magnesium was medium. It was a sandy soil with poor nutrient status. hence would was expected to respond well to fertilizer application.

The result obtained from this study showed that different levels of nitrogen significantly improved maize growth, dry matter yield and nutrient uptake.It was reported [8] that nitrogen and phosphorus application increased the green fodder yield of maize. Growth was mostly supported with application levels of 120 kg N ha⁻¹. This was evident in the plant height, number of leaves and dry matter yield-of maize production. These results were similar to the findings of [13], who reported that increasing supply of N improved growth of corn. It was also observed that number of leaves per plant tended to increase as nitrogen application rate increased. Maximum numbers of leaves were produced with the application of 120 kg N ha⁻¹. This could be attributed to the fact that nitrogen promoted vegetative growth in maize. Some researchers [e.g., 14] have reported similar results.Leaf area was also affected by levels of nitrogen application. There was increase in leaf area with increased rates of nitrogen application. The application of 150kg N ha-1 resulted in significantly higher leaf area and P concentration in the plant. This result is in agreement with the findings of [15] who reported that higher rates of nitrogen promote leaf area during vegetative development and help to maintain functional leaf area during the growth period. The significant increase in phosphorus concentration with increased N fertilization could be attributed to the fact that nitrogen plays a major role in the formation of nucleotides and phosphotides phosphatides, thereby increasing the

concentration of phosphorus in the plant. This is in agreement with the findings of [16] who reported that increased P accumulation in leaves and kernels of two corn cultivars were due to urea application. Phosphorus fertilization led to increase in maize agronomic parameters, dry weight and nitrogen concentration. It was revealed [17]that application of phosphorous fertilizer significantly increased plant height. However, among all P <u>application</u> rates, application of 60kg P <u>ha</u> significantly increased plant height, stem girth, leaf area and leaf number than the control. The significant increase in the above-mentionedparameters could be because phosphorusis a major component of Adenosine triphosphate involved in respiration process, thus, increasing the leaf area and rate of photosynthesis. Furthermore, application at 60 kg P ha⁻¹ could have initiated the actions of microorganisms directly involved in nutrient mineralization and availability, thereby increasing plant growth (plant height, stem girth, leaf area and leaf number). This supports the findings of [18] that solubility of insoluble phosphates by phosphorus solubilizing microorganisms and the secretion of growth enhancers such as auxin, gibberellins and cytokinin by such organisms increased the root growth and consequently the crop growth. The significant increase in shoot dry weight with the application of 60kg P ha-1 is in conformity with [19] whoreported that dry matter yield increased with the increasing P up to 60 kg P ha⁻¹. The significant increases in plant height, stem girth and leaf length of maize with the application of 180kg K ha ⁻¹signifies that increased level of K led to higher plant height and girth. This could be attributed to the fact that potassium is responsible for maintaining proper water potential, turgid pressure and promoting cell elongation in the leaves. This supports the findings of [20], who reported that one of the more visually obvious consequences on plant growth from insufficient levels of plant potassium is a reduction in plant stature. Maize leaf area was significantly increased with the application of 180kg K_ha⁻¹—potassium rate below 180kg ha⁻¹did not lead to significant

increase. Insufficient Klevels reduced leaf area expansion leading to reduced leaf size in maize[21].

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

The increased concentration and uptake of potassium with increasing potassium in soil could be because soil responded well to K fertilization, thereby increasing the rate of K uptake from the soil. This is in conformity with the findings of [22] that potassium concentration increased because of K fertilization. Potassium influences the uptake and transport of nitrate within the plant [23]. This could have been the reason for the increased concentration and uptake of nitrogen with the application of 180kg K ha⁻¹. The trans-port of amino acids was reported to be enhanced by higher K levels, especially the transport of amino acids to developing seeds[24].

5. CONCLUSION

Agronomic parameters (plant height, number of leaves, leaf area), dry matter yield and phosphorus concentration were affected by N application. However, nitrogen concentration, shoot dry matter and agronomic parameters except leaf area and breadth were greatly influenced by P fertilization. Application of potassium to maize grown in screen house affected maize height, girth, leaf number area, length and breadth. FurthermoreFurthermore, increasing potassium rate was equivalent to increasing those parameters, concentration and uptake of N and K in maize.

It is therefore recommended thatnitrogen, phosphorus and potassium application should be encouraged for sustainable maize production in screen house. Additionally, application rate between 120 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹, 60 kg P ha⁻¹ and 180 kg K ha⁻¹ should be adopted.

Table 1. Some chemical characteristics of experimental soil

рН	Ca	Mg	Na	K	Avail P	Total N	Total C	Texture		
				cmolkg ⁻¹		mgkg ⁻¹		%		
<mark>Soil</mark>	<mark>6.</mark>	<mark>20</mark>	<mark>4.41</mark>	<mark>1.16</mark>	<mark>0.64</mark>	<mark>0.24</mark>	<mark>3.01</mark>	<mark>0.04</mark>	<mark>0.65</mark>	<mark>Sandy</mark>

Table 2. Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium application on plant height and stem girth of maize

Treatment		Plant	Height	(cm).		Stem	Girth	(cm).
(ha-1)	2WAP	4WAP	6WAP	8WAP	2WAP	4WAP	6WAP	8WAP
0 kg N	16.2a	19.2d	23.8a	31.2ab	0.2bcd	0.35b	0.27a	0.49a
30 kg N	15.5a	22.3bcd	25.4a	27.5b	0.13d	0.39b	0.33a	0.45a
60 kg N	15.9a	27.4abc	30.3a	50.0ab	0.28ab	0.61ab	0.39a	0.69a
90 kg N	17.3a	30.7a	39.9a	54.3ab	0.23abc	0.53ab	0.52a	0.77a
120 kg N	18.5a	30.1ab	46.3a	64.3a	0.29a	0.59ab	0.45a	0.83a
150 kg N	19.9a	31.9a	40.0a	52.7ab	0.18cd	0.72a	0.47a	0.67a
180 kg N	16.5a	20.5cd	29.7a	34.3ab	0.22abc	0.53ab	0.33a	0.41a
0 ka D	15.5ab	21 Ob	29.0ab	42.7c	0.13a	0.20d	0.26c	0.35b
0 kg P		21.0b						
30 kg P	13.7b	21.17b	26.8a	44.1c	0.14a	0.22cd	0.27bc	0.47ab
60 kg P	19.67a	26.8a	37.0a	67.5a	0.15a	0.50a	0.57a	0.65a
90 kg P	15.7ab	22.3b	33.5a	62.4abc	0.17a	0.25bcd	0.40abc	0.63ab
120 kg P	14.7b	21.5b	31.5a	55.0abc	0.15a	0.27bcd	0.35abc	0.63ab
150 kg P	17.50ab	24.3ab	30.8a	48.0bc	0.16a	0.45ab	0.55a	0.70a
180 kg P	17.0ab	23.7ab	31.2a	51.7abc	0.12a	0.42abc	0.50ab	0.77a
0 kg K	30.0b	80.3d	100.0a	116.6b	0.15a	0.24d	0.60e	0.64e
30 kg K	31.3ab	82.3cd	103.3a	122.6b	0.15a	0.28cd	0.63e	0.67e
60 kg K	33.0a	84.0bc	110.0a	116.6b	0.17a	0.28cd	0.69d	0.75cd
90 kg K	30.6ab	84.0bc	110.0a	123.3b	0.17a	0.30cd	0.76c	0.80c
120 kg K	32.3ab	87.0ab	103.3a	120.0b	0.17a	0.33c	0.78c	0.94b
150 kg K	31.6ab	86.6ab	100.0a	117.6b	0.17a	0.55b	0.85b	0.98ab
180 kg K	31.0ab	87.6a	103.3a	135.6a	0.16a	0.70a	0.91a	1.07a

Mean with thesame alphabet in each treatment section did not differ significantly across the column at (*P* = .05)

WAP- weeks after planting

331

332

333334

Table 3. Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium application on Leaf length and Leaf breadth of maize

Treatment (K ha ⁻¹)	2WAP	Leaf 4WAP	Length 6WAP	(cm) 8WAP	2WAP	Leaf 4WAP	Breadth 6WAP	(cm) 8WAP
Okg N	25.4b	32.2a	45.9a	72.0b	4.0a	3.6b	4.0a	5.0a
30kg N	35.6ab	46.4a	63.4a	74.6b	4.3a	4.3ab	4.0a	4.0a
60kgN	72.6ab	78.9a	104.3a	101.9ab	3.3a	5.0ab	4.7a	6.7a
90kgN	77.6ab	97.1a	120.5a	131.8ab	4.0a	5.3a	5.0a	8.0a

120kgN	90.3a	98.9a	120.1a	146.6ab	4.3a	5.7a	5.0a	8.0a
150kg N	66.0ab	83.7a	117.1a	176.9a	4.3a	5.7a	5.0a	6.7a
180kg N	47.4ab	60.7a	91.4a	92.8ab	4.3a	4.0ab	3.7a	5.0a
0kg P	19.0a	38.3a	44.6a	46.8a	1.55a	1.70a	2.1a	2.2a
30kg P	19.0a	33.7a	40.2a	44.3a	1.50a	1.80a	2.2a	2.6a
60kg P	19.7a	54.7a	65.6a	67.3a	1.73a	2.4a	3.0a	3.2a
90kg P	18.7a	49.1a	58.0a	64.3a	1.83a	2.4a	2.7a	3.6a
120kg P	17.7a	39.5a	48.5a	54.6a	1.63a	2.1a	2.6a	2.7a
150kg P	17.5a	41.1a	52.0a	54.7a	1.65a	2.5a	2.8a	2.6a
180kg P	19.3a	48.4a	56.6a	61.5a	1.63a	2.0a	3.2a	2.2a
0 Kg K	29.6b	56.67b	67.6d	77.0f	1.9ab	4.1b	5.5c	5.6c
30 kg K	31.0ab	58.0ab	69.6c	80.0e	2.1ab	4.3ab	5.6bc	5.7bc
60 kg K	32.6a	58.0ab	72.3ab	83.0d	2.1ab	4.5a	5.7ab	5.8a
90 kg K	31.3ab	57.6ab	71.6b	85.3c	2.2a	4.4b	5.7ab	5.8ab
120 kg K	32.3ab	56.0b	72.3ab	88.0b	2.3a	4.1b	5.6ab	5.6c
150 kg K	31.6ab	57.3ab	73.6a	88.3b	2.3a	4.1b	5.8a	5.8a
180 kg K	30.3ab	59.0a	73.3a	90.6a	1.7b	4.5a	5.8a	5.9a

Mean with the same alphabet in each treatment section did not differ significantly across the column at (P = .05)WAP- weeks after planting

Table 4. Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium application on number of leaves and Leaf area of maize

Treatment		Leaf	number			Leaf	Area	(cm²)
(K ha-1)	2WAP	4WAP	6WAP	8WAP	2WAP	4WAP	6WAP	8WAP
0 kg N	4.0a	3.7b	4.0a	5.0a	32.2a	25.4b	45.9a	72.1b
30kg N	4.3a	4.3ab	4.0a	4.0a	46.4a	32.6ab	63.4a	74.6b
60kg N	3.3a	5.0ab	4.6a	6.7a	78.9a	72.6ab	104.3	101.9ab
90kg N	4.0a	5.3a	5.0a	8.0a	97.1a	77.6ab	120.5	131.8ab
120kg N	4.3a	5.6a	5.0a	8.0a	98.9a	90.3a	120.1a	146.6ab
150kg N	4.3a	5.6a	5.0a	6.7a	83.7a	66.0ab	117.1a	176.9a
180kg N	4.3a	4.0ab	3.7a	5.0a	60.7a	47.0ab	91.4a	92.8ab
Okg P	3.5ab	4.5c	3.5c	6.0b	21.7a	46.6a	68.8a	76.6a
30kg P	3.0b	3.7b	4.3bc	6.0b	21.3a	45.8a	64.9a	87.0a
60kg P	4.0a	5.0abc	5.7ab	8.0a	25.7a	100.2a	150.3a	163.2a
90kg P	4.0a	5.7a	5.7ab	7.3ab	21.7a	88.0a	119.2a	171.6a
120kg P	4.0a	4.7a	6.0a	7.7ab	21.7a	64.0a	96.1a	112.7a
150kg P	3.5ab	5.5ab	5.0ab	7.5ab	21.7a	76.8a	108.9a	128.1a
180kg P	4.0a	5.0abc	5.7ab	8.0a	24.3a	78.2a	142.1a	182.a

0 Kg K	4.0a	6.3a	9.0c	9.7b	43.5b	175.7c	282.5d	321.5d
30 kg K	4.0a	6.0ab	9.3bc	10.0ab	50.5a	187.1bc	290.8cd	340.0c
60 kg K	3.7a	5.0c	9.0c	9.3b	50.6a	197.2ab	311.0ab	363.2b
90 kg K	4.0a	6.0ab	9.0c	9.7b	52.5a	188.7abc	306.3ab	371.3b
120 kg K	4.0a	5.7b	9.7ab	10.0ab	50.4a	180.6c	301.9bc	367.3b
150 kg K	4.0a	5.0c	10.0a	11.0a	50.5a	177.8c	318.6a	386.5a
180 kg K	4.0a	6.0ab	10.0a	11.0a	38.8a	200.6a	314.2ab	399.0a

Mean with the same alphabet in each treatment section did not differ significantly across the column at (P = .05)

341 WAP- weeks after planting

Table 5. Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium application on dry matter, nutrient concentration and uptake

Treatment	Shoot	Root	<mark>conc.</mark>	conc.	Uptake	Uptake
(ha ⁻¹)	<mark>dry wgt</mark>	dry wgt.			Per pot	Per pot
	gpot ⁻¹	gpot ⁻¹				
			N (%)	P (mgkg ⁻¹)	N(g)	P(mg)
0kg N	1.07a	0.36a	0.36a	70.0b	0.27a	90.0a
30kg N	0.94a	0.44a	0.44a	70.0b	0.28a	90.0a
60kg N	2.10a	0.31a	0.31a	100.0ab	0.31a	250.0a
90kg N	2.98a	0.42a	0.42a	130.0ab	0.55a	400.0a
120kg N	3.45a	0.48a	0.48a	150.0ab	0.82a	610.0a
150kg N	3.00a	0.44a	0.43a	180.0a	0.93a	590.0a
180kg N	1.70a	0.27a	0.27a	90.0ab	0.34a	210.0a
			P(mgkg ⁻¹)	N (%)	P(g)	N(g)
0kg P	4.50c	0.55a	400.8a	1.76b	0.73a	0.79a
30kg P	3.67d	0.33a	687.1a	3.60ab	1.97a	1.32a
60kg P	5.00abc	0.75a	1164.3a	5.95a	7.03a	2.97a
90kg P	5.67a	0.72a	458.1a	4.24ab	2.02a	2.40a
120kg P	4.67bc	0.53a	668.0a	3.60ab	1.93a	1.68a
150kg P	5.50ab	0.54a	1145.2a	3.65ab	3.36a	2.00a
180kg P	5.00abc	0.87a	591.7a	3.18ab	2.05a	1.59a
			K(%)	N (%)	K(g)	N(g)

0 Kg	6.66bc	0.84ab	2.34c	0.9c	0.15c	0.06b	
30 kg	6.05c	0.82b	2.61c	1.06ab	0.15c	0.07b	
60 kg	6.39c	0.81b	2.84bc	0.98bc	0.18bc	0.06b	
90 kg	8.41abc	0.97ab	3.57ab	1.10a	0.30ab	0.09ab	
120 kg	10.37ab	1.10ab	2.90bc	1.15a	0.30ab	0.12a	
150 kg	10.39ab	1.10ab	3.07abc	1.15a	0.33a	0.12a	
180 kg	10.58a	1.14a	3.77a	1.06ab	0.38a	0.11a	

Mean with the same alphabet in each treatment section did not differ significantly across the column at (P = .05)

346 wgt.- weight conc. - concentration

REFERENCES

- 348 **1.** FAO. Quarterly Bulleting of statistics. Food and agricultural organization of the United Nation 809 Rome, Italy. 1996; Page 8.
- Zingore SP, Mafongoya P, Myamagafota G, Giller KF. Nitrogen mineralization and maize yield
 following application of tree pruning to a sandy soil in Zimbabwe. Agroforestry System. 2003;
 57: 199-211.
- 35. Wu TY, Schoenau JJ, Li FM, QianPY, Malhi SS, Shi YC. Effect of tillage and rotation on organic 354 carbon forms in chernozemic soils in Saskatchewan. Journal Plant Nutrition Soil Science. 2003; 355 166: 385 – 393.
- 4. AgbaTS, Long, HS. Nitrogen effects on maize foliage and grain yield Nigerian Agricultural journal. 2005;3:74-80.
- Mohamed SA, Ewees SA, Sawsan A, Seaf EY, Dalia MS. Improving maize grain yield and its
 quality grown on a newly reclaimed sandy soil by applying micronutrients, organic manure and
 biological inoculation. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Science. 2008;4: 537 –
 544.
- Kogbe JOS, Adediran JA. Influence of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium application on the
 yield of maize in the savanna zone of Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology.2003;2(10):
 345-349.

365 366	7.	Khan HZ, Malik MA, Saleem MF. Effect of rate and source of organic materials on the production potential of spring maize (Zea mays L). Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Science.
367		2008; 45(1): 40- 43.
368	8.	Ayub M, Nadeen MA, Sharar MS, Mohmood S. Response of maize (Zea mays L) fodder to
369 370		different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. Asian Journal of Plant Science.2002; 1(4): 352–354.
371	9.	Chapman SR, Carter LP. Crop Production: Principles and Practices. W.H. Freeman and
372		Company, USA.1976.
373	10 .	Bhagwan D, Sheoran RS, Das B. Effect of phosphorus fertilization on quality and yield of
374		cowpea. Annals of Biology Ludhiana.1999;13(1): 195-196.
375	11.	Hermans C, Hammond JP, White PJ, Verbruggen N. How do plants respond to nutrient
376		shortage by biomass allocation? Trends plant science. 2006; 11: 610-617.
377	12.	Murphy J, Riley JP. A modified single solution method for determination of phosphate in
378		natural waters. Analytical Chemistry. 1962;27: 31-36.
379		
380	13 .	Ashraf M, Rehman H. Interactive effects of nitrate and long-term water logging on growth,
381		water relations, and exchange properties of maize (Zea mays L). Plant Science.1999;144: 35-
382		<mark>43.</mark>
383	<mark>14.</mark>	Shah HA, Fareed MK, Dilnawaz A, MubasherS. Comparative studies of
384		mountain maize (Zea mays L.) ecotypes in KotliDistrict, Azad Kashimir, Pakistan International
385		Journal Biodiversity Science Management. 2005;1: 129-133.
386		
387	15 .	Cox WJ, Kalonge S, Chemey DJR, Reid WS. Growth yield and quality of forage maize under
388		different nitrogen management practices, Agronomy Journal. 1993;85: 341-347.
389	<mark>16.</mark>	Nahidah B, Saeed AM, Seema M, Mahmood-ul-H, Habib-ur-RA, MohammadA. Influence of
390		urea application on growth, yield and mineral uptake in two corn (Zea mays L.)
391		cultivars. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2002;11(46): 10494-10503.
392		
393	17 .	Tilahun T, Minale L, Alemayehu A, Abreham M.Maize fertilizer response at the major maize
394		growing areas of northwest Ethiopia, Proceedings of the 1st Annual Regional Conference on
395		Completed Crop Research Activities,14 to 17 August 2006 Amhara Regional Agricultural
396		Research Institute Bahir Dar, Ethiopia.
397	18.	Sattar MA, Gaur AC. Production of auxins and gibberellins by phosphate dissolving
398		microorganisms. Zentralbl Microbiological. 1987;142: 393–395.
399		
400	19 .	Beede RH , BrownPH, KallsenC, WeinbaumS.A. Diagnosing and correcting nutrient deficiencies
401		fruit &nut .research and information center , university of California.2011. http://
402		fruitsandnuts .ucdavis .edu /files /52236. pdf

403 404	20.	Ebelhar SA, Varsa EC. Tillage and potassium placement effects on potassium utilization by corn and soybean. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2000;31: 11–14.
405 406	21.	Jordan-Meille L, Pellerin S. Leaf area establishment of a maize (Zea Mays L.) field crop under potassium deficiency. Plant Soil. 2004; 265: 75–92.
407 408 409	22.	Bruns HA, Ebelhar MW. Nutrient uptake of maize affected by nitrogen and potassium fertility in a humid subtropical environment. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2006; 37: 275–293.
410 411	23.	Blevins DG, Barnett NM, Frost WB. Role of potassium and malate in nitrate uptake and translocation by wheat seedlings. Plant Physiology. 1978; 62: 784–788.
412 413	24.	MengelK. Effect on potassium on the assimilate conduction to storage tissue. Ber Dtsch Bot Ges. 1980;93:353–362.
414 415		