



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_34053
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Soil Macro and Micro-Aggregates and Dispersion Ratio to Solid Cattle Manure in Cultivated and Non- cultivated Soils
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Generally the paper is scientifically sound and flows.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Author did not give background for the site used on this experiment especially on the soil history; soil quality is surely affected by length of production and the previous crops which were once grown on the land. Furthermore, soil quality change is a very slow process and is likely not to change significantly over 1 season. I suggest that the author should narrate the history of the land which might have affected the quality of the soil.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author was supposed to put line numbers on the text for the reviewer to be able to comment and refer to the script precisely.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Regina Hlatywayo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of crop science , University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe</i>