



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_34053
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Soil Macro and Micro-Aggregates and Dispersion Ratio to Solid Cattle Manure in Cultivated and Non- cultivated Soils
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>A positive correlation was found between the number of tubers and dispersion ratio, as well as between potato yield and macro and micro-aggregates which indicated that the organic matter addition increased the potatoes yield and decreased the dispersion ratio. - <i>Revise the sentence</i></p> <p>The majority of the macro-aggregates should have diameters in the range of 1 to 10 mm (Six <i>et al.</i>, 2004). - <i>Does not fit with previous sentences of this paragraph</i></p> <p>Soil aggregation processes, and factors affecting them, have been well documented. - <i>what the documentation talk about?</i></p> <p><i>It seems organic fertilizer and Solid Cattle Manure are used for the same, please denote it.</i></p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Him Lal Shrestha
Department, University & Country	Climate Change Section, Ministry of Population and Environment, Nepal