

# Original Research Article

## Tillage and Rice Straw Management affect Soil Enzyme Activities and Chemical Properties after Three Years of Conservation Agriculture Based Rice-Wheat System in North-Western India

### ABSTRACT

#### Aims:

Water, energy and labour scarcity, and increasing cost of production are major challenges faced by the farmers under intensive tillage-based conventional rice-wheat production system of Indo-Gangetic Plains in South Asia. To address these challenges, various Resource Conservation Technologies (RCTs) such as zero tillage in wheat, dry seeded rice and crop residue retention are being developed and promoted to increase the productivity and profitability of rice-wheat cropping sequence in the region. We evaluated the effects of rice establishment, tillage and rice straw management on changes in soil enzyme

activities and chemical properties in soil after three cycles of continuous rice-wheat system.

**Study design:** The experiment was laid in Split plot design with how many replications?

**Place and Duration of Study:** Department of Soil Science, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab 141004, India, between June 2010 and July 2013 Place of the experiment and the period

**Methodology:** The experiment was started during kharif season of 2010. The design of an experiment was having 12 treatments with 3 replications. The main plot treatments in rice (zero till direct seeded rice, ZT-DSR; conventional till direct seeded rice, CT-DSR; zero till direct transplanted rice, ZT-DTR and puddled transplanted rice, PTR) and three sub-plot treatments in wheat (conventional till wheat without rice straw, CTW-R; ZT wheat without rice straw, ZTW-R, and ZT wheat with rice straw retained as surface mulch using Happy Seeder, ZTW+R).

**Results:** Zero tillage with rice straw retention (ZTW) as surface mulch (+R) increased wheat yield by 9% and

15% compared with conventional tillage (CTW) and ZTW with no residue (-R). Significantly higher dehydrogenase, fluorescein diacetate, alkaline phosphatase, phytase and urease activities were recorded under ZTW+R compared with ZTW/CTW-R in 0-5 cm soil layer. Organic carbon, Olsen-P, available K and DTPA-extractable micronutrients (Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu) in the surface 0-5 cm soil layer were significantly higher in ZTW+R compared with ZTW/CTW-R. Soil enzyme activities were significantly and positively correlated with each other, soil organic carbon, Olsen-P and grain yield of wheat. **Conclusion:** We concluded that RCTs (ZTW and rice residue retention) improve soil enzyme activities and chemical properties in surface 0-5 cm soil layer and enhance productivity and sustainability of rice-wheat system.

9

**Keywords:** conservation agriculture, rice-straw surface mulch, tillage, enzyme activities, rice-wheat system

### 1. INTRODUCTION

13

The rice-wheat cropping system (RWS) occupies about 13.5 million hectares (M ha) in the Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP) of South Asia (Gupta and Seth, 2007) and is fundamental to employment, income and livelihood for millions of people in the region. However, sustainability of intensive tillage-based conventional RWS is threatened is constrained or limited by water, energy and labour scarcity, increasing cost of production, increasing air pollution and deteriorating soil health. To address these issues reverse this situation various Resource

19 Conservation Technologies (RCTs) such as zero tillage, direct seeded rice and crop residue retention  
20 are  
21 being developed and promoted for RWS (Sidhu *et al.*, 2007; Gathala *et al.*, 2013). Puddling in rice and  
22 intensive tillage in wheat are known to cause sub-soil compaction, deterioration of soil structure, and  
23 decrease in permeability in the subsurface layer and thereby adversely affecting productivity of RWS  
(Jat  
*et al.*, 2009).

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

2

The increasing constraints of labour and time under intensive agriculture have led 24 to the adoption  
25 of mechanized farming in RWS leaving large amounts of crop residues in the fields. As crop residues  
26 interfere with tillage and seeding operation for the next crop, farmers in northwestern (NW) India often  
27 prefer to burn surplus rice residues on-farm after grain harvest to establish the next wheat crop.

Residue

28 burning impacts human and animal health both medically, and by traumatic road accidents due to  
29 restricted visibility (Yadvinder-Singh *et al.*, 2014). Establishment of wheat crop by ZTW with retention  
of [discuss impacts related to the objectives of the study](#).

30 [crop residues on the soil surface potentially offers a labour-saving and cost-effective alternative to the](#)  
31 [burning of rice residues. A new machine, known as the 'Happy Seeder' \(HS\), has now been developed](#)  
32 [for this purpose which is capable of direct drilling wheat into heavy rice residue loads, without burning](#)  
in a

33 [single operation \( Sidhu \*et al.\*, 2007, 2015\)](#). [consider revising this](#)

34 There is currently much interest in dry direct seeded rice (DSR) as an alternative to conventional  
35 transplanted rice in North-West India due to labour scarcity for transplanting, and because puddling  
and

36 transplanting require large [amountsquantities](#) of water to establish the rice crop. Compared with  
removal/ burning

37 of crop residues, ZT with retention of rice residue (ZTW+R) has been [suggested- known](#) to conserve  
water

38 [content of soil, improve overall soil physical and chemical health through replenishing soil organic](#)  
[matter](#)

39 [and to support sustainable](#) [consider revising the statement for clarity](#) RWS (Jat *et al.*, 2009; Gathala *et al.*, 2013). Tillage, crop establishment and

40 residue management options may also have significant effect on soil biological health.

41 Soil enzyme activities respond much more quickly to the changes in tillage and soil management

42 as compared with soil organic matter (Jiang *et al.*, 2006) and therefore could be used as potential

43 biological indicators of soil quality (Yang *et al.*, 2011). Dehydrogenase activity is involved in oxidative

44 phosphorylation and reflects the total oxidative potential of the soil microbial community (Dick, 1997).

45 Fluorescein diacetate (FDA) hydrolyzed by a number of different enzymes, can provide

[comprehensiveadequate](#)

46 microbial activity (Bendick and Dick, 1999). The activity of alkaline phosphatase is linked to

47 transformation of organic P in soil to inorganic P compounds (Yang *et al.*, 2008). The soil phytase

48 enzyme increases the availability of P from phytate in soils. Urease activity controls hydrolysis of urea  
in

49 soils, which is an important source of N.

50 Significant increases in the activity of soil enzymes have been observed under ZT and residue

51 retention in non-rice based cropping systems (Eivaziet *et al.*, 2003) and the magnitude of the change

52 depends on soil type and climate. [Limited information](#), however, [littleinformation](#) is available in the

[literature](#) on the effects

53 of RCTs (DSR, tillage and rice straw management) on changes in soil biochemical properties under  
RWS

54 in South Asia. The present study was therefore, [undertaken-carried out](#) to determine the effects of rice  
establishment

55 methods, tillage and rice straw management on biochemical properties after three cycles of RWS in

56 northwestern India.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

57

## 58 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

59

### 60 Description of the experimental site and climate

61 A three-year (2010-2013) field experiment on irrigated RWS was conducted on a TypicUstochrept sandy

62 loam soil at the experimental farm of the Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana (30°56'N and 75°52'E)

63 in the IGP in the northwestern India. The top-soil (0–15 cm layer) at the start of experiment was nonsaline

(electrical conductivity 0.36 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) with pH 7.88 and contained 4.5 g kg<sup>-1</sup> Walkley-Black carbon, 8.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-extractable P (Olsen *et al.*, 1954) and 50.4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> 65 1 N NH<sub>4</sub>OAc-extractable

66 K. The region has a sub-tropical climate, with hot, wet summers and cool dry winters. Annual mean rainfall is 760 mm, about 80% of which occurs from June to September. The long-term average (30 years)

mean minimum and maximum temperatures in wheat (November to April) are 6.7 and 22.6°C while in rice

(June to October) are 18 and 35.6°C, respectively.

70

### 71 Experimental layout and treatments

72 Treatments applied to RWS were arranged in a split-plot design with a total of twelve treatments

73 replicated three times. Main plot treatments applied to rice were four combinations of tillage and crop

74 establishment methods in rice (zero till direct seeded rice, ZT-DSR; conventional till direct seeded rice,

75 CT-DSR; zero till mechanically transplanted rice, ZT-DTR and puddled transplanted rice, PTR). The three

76 sub-plot treatments in wheat were combinations of tillage and residue management options

(conventional

77 till wheat without residues, CTW-R; ZT wheat without residues, ZTW-R, and ZT wheat with residues

78 retained as surface mulch using Happy Seeder, ZTW+R). The treatments were assigned to the same

79 experimental plots in the 3 years of the study. The treatment details are summarized in Table 1.

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

3

80

### 81 Soil and crop management

82 Wheat straw was removed at harvest in April each year and the plots were fallowed until pre83

irrigation for rice in early June. ZT-DSR was sown in a single operation using zero-till-fertilizer cum seed

84 drill at 20 cm row spacing. In CT-DSR, plots were prepared by 2 harrowings + 1 cultivator + 1 planking followed by dry seeding of rice using a seed rate of 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>85. Light irrigation (50 mm) was applied at

1

86 day after seeding to ensure satisfactory germination, and then at 4–5 day intervals until physiological

87 maturity depending on the rainfall events during the growing season. In ZT-DTR, rice seedlings were

88 transplanted in ZT plots with standing water using mechanical transplanter. In PTR, tillage included

89 disking twice in early June followed by two cultivator operations in standing water to puddle the soil and

90 planking. Rice (variety PR 114) was transplanted manually using 30-day-old seedlings spaced at 15 cm x

91 20 cm in the second week of June. All treatments received a uniform dose of 150 kg N as urea, 26 kg P

92 as diammonium phosphate and 25 kg K as muriate of potash. Whole of P and K was applied at rice

93 planting on all the plots. Fertilizer N in PTR and ZT-DTR was applied in three equal split doses at

94 transplanting and at 3 and 6 weeks after transplanting. While in CT-DSR and ZT-DSR fertilizer N was

95 applied in three equal split doses at 3, 5 and 9 weeks after sowing. Rice was harvested manually in the

96 second week of October in –R to remove the rice straw from the field plots and the combine harvester

97 was used in +R plots to retain the rice straw in the field.  
98 All plots received flood irrigation (75-80 mm) prior to planting of wheat. In conventional plots, seed  
99 bed was prepared by 2 dry harrowings followed by 2 cultivators and one planking. Wheat (variety PBW  
621) was sown in the second week of November using a seed rate of 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. 100 Sowing of wheat  
was  
101 done on the same day in all the treatments at row spacing of 20 cm using Happy Seeder machine.  
Fertilizer N (120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>102 ) as urea was applied in three equal split doses at sowing, and three weeks  
and 8  
weeks after planting. A basal dose of 26 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> as single super phosphate and 25 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> 103 as  
muriate  
104 of potash were applied on all plots at planting. Wheat was irrigated (each of 75 mm) at crown root  
105 initiation (CRI), maximum tillering (MT), panicle initiation and dough stages recommended for wheat  
crop  
106 in the region. Grain yield of wheat was recorded from 1.4 m x 9 m area in the center of each plot and  
was  
107 reported on dry weight basis.

108

#### 109 Soil sampling and analysis

110 Soil samples were collected from 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm and 10-15 cm soil layers, after the harvest of  
111 wheat crop (after 3 cycles of rice-wheat rotation). The soil samples were collected with the help of  
tube  
112 auger (25 mm internal diameter) from randomly selected 4 places within each treatment plot. After  
removing visible root debris, the soil samples were mixed, sieved (2 mm) and stored at 4±13 C for  
114 subsequent analysis for biochemical soil properties. The methods used for assaying biochemical soil  
115 properties are listed in Table 2.

116

#### 117 Statistical analysis

118 All the dataset was analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and differences among  
119 treatments were compared at p=0.05 level of significance using the IRRISTAT data analysis package  
120 (IRRI, 2000). Correlations between the variables were [assessed by determining](#) [Determined using](#)  
Pearson correlation

121 coefficients (r) and probabilities. In all the analyses, significance was accepted at a level of probability  
(p)

122 of <0.05.

123

### 124 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

125

126 There was no significant [interaction](#) effects of [main \(rice establishment methods\)](#) and [sub-treatments](#)  
127 [\(tillage and rice residue management in wheat\)](#) [on wheat yield](#), enzymes activities and chemical  
128 properties in all the three soil layers (0-5, 5-10 and 10-15 cm).

[Therefore, main effects of the treatments](#)

[are reported and discussed in the following sections.](#) Rice establishment systems also showed no  
130 significant effects on the grain yield of subsequent wheat and any of the soil property measured in  
the

131 study.

132

133

#### 134 Enzyme activities in soil

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

4

Tillage and rice straw management in wheat significantly (P<0.05) influenced all the enzyme 135 activities  
in

136 0-5 cm soil layer but showed no effect at 5-10 cm and 10-15 cm soil layers (Tables 3-7). In general,  
137 enzyme activities decreased with depth. In 0-5 cm soil layer, DHA under ZTW+R were 6% and 14 %

138 higher as compared to ZTW-R and CTW-R, respectively (Table 3). DHA was 9 % higher under ZTW-R  
139 than CTW-R. FDA and APA at 0-5 cm depth were 9 and 13 % higher in ZTW+R as compared to  
140 ZTW-R  
140 and CTW-R, respectively (Table 4 and 5). PA was increased by 9% and 24 % under ZTW+R in 0-5  
141 cm  
141 layer compared to ZTW-R and CTW-R, respectively (Table 6). Three enzyme activities (FDA, APA  
142 and  
142 PA) were significantly higher under ZTW-R than CTW-R. The increase in UA under ZTW+R was 8  
143 and 13  
143 % higher as compared to ZTW-R and CTW-R in 0-5 cm soil layer, respectively (Table 7).  
144

#### 145 **Chemical properties of soil**

146 Tillage and rice straw management practices in wheat significantly affected the soil chemical  
147 properties,  
147 except soil pH and EC in the 0-5 cm layer only (data not shown) (Tables 8-11). Soil organic carbon  
148 content in 0-5 cm layer in ZTW+R treatment was increased by 10.3% and 23.1% compared to ZTW-  
149 R  
149 and CTW-R, respectively (Table 8). ZTW-R plots had significantly higher organic carbon content than  
150 CTW-R. Similarly, Olsen-P, NH<sub>4</sub>OAc-extractable - K and DTPA-extractable micronutrients (Zn, Fe, Mn  
151 and  
151 Cu) were significantly higher in ZTW+R compared to ZTW-R and CTW-R treatments (Table 9-11).  
152 The  
152 increase in micronutrient availability was greater for Fe and Cu (12-14%) compared with the increase  
153 in  
153 the Zn and Mn (3-6%) (Table 11).  
154

#### 155 **Grain yield and yield attributes of wheat**

156 Grain yield of subsequent wheat was not significantly affected by the rice establishment methods,  
157 irrespective  
158 of tillage and straw management in wheat (Table 12). However, tillage and rice straw management  
159 practices  
159 in wheat significantly affected grain yield of third wheat crop (Table 12). ZTW+R produced 9% and  
160 15%  
160 higher grain yield than ZTW-R and CTW-R, respectively. CTW-R produced significantly higher (6 %) grain  
161 yield than ZTW-R. As the grain yield of subsequent wheat was not significantly affected by the rice  
162 establishment methods so the yield attributes did not differ significantly (Table 12). However, tillage  
163 and straw  
163 management practices in wheat significantly affected wheat grain yield attributes. All the yield  
164 attributes  
164 namely Spike length, grains per spike, grain weight per spike and 1000 grain weight were highest  
165 under  
165 ZTW+R followed by CTW-R and ZTW-R.  
166

#### 167 **Correlation between soil enzyme activities, grain yield and soil chemical properties**

168 Activities of all of the enzymes **assayed** what is this in the study were significantly and positively  
169 correlated  
169 with each other as well as with organic carbon and Olsen-P in surface 0-5 cm soil layer (Table 13).  
170 The  
170 values of correlation coefficient (r) for soil enzyme activities ranged from 0.62 to 0.96. While the  
171 lowest  
171 value of 'r' was between APA and PA, the highest value was recorded for FDA and PPA. Except for  
172 APA,

Formatted: Highlight

172 all the other enzymes showed significant and positive relationship ( $r = 0.61 - 0.72$ ) with organic  
carbon in  
173 the 0-5cm soil layer. A strong and positive relationship ( $r = 0.65 - 0.83$ ) was observed between all the  
174 enzyme activities and Olsen P in 0-0.5 m layer. Grain yield of wheat showed significant positive  
175 relationship ( $r = 0.69 - 0.86$ ) with FDA, PA, PPA and UA in the surface 0-5 cm soil layer (Table 13).  
The  
176 activities of all the enzymes were significantly and positively correlated ( $r = 0.63 - 0.86$ ) with  
DTPA177  
extractable Fe and Zn in 0-5 cm layer (Table 14). The relationship with DTPA-Mn was significant only for  
178 FDA and PA.

179

## 180 DISCUSSION

181 In our study, ZTW+R improved all soil enzyme activities in the 0-5 cm soil layer of than ZTW-R and  
CTW182

R. Tillage and crop residues management practices have been reported to increase enzyme activities in  
183 soils (Klose *et al.*, 1999). The stimulating effect of organic materials on enzyme activities in soil is  
184 consistent with results earlier reported (Elfstrand *et al.*, 2007; Garcia *et al.*, 1998). The rice straw  
provided

185 carbon for enhancing microbial activity and thereby increased activity of several enzymes (Caravaca  
and

186 Roldan, 2003). The increases in microbiological activities under ZT compared to CT have been linked  
to

187 the increases in organic matter content of surface soils (Roldan *et al.*, 2007; Melero *et al.*, 2009). The  
188 addition of organic matter on CT fields can rapidly increase the soil enzyme activities (Kandeler *et al.*,  
189 2006).

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

5

DHA activity occurs intracellular in all living microbial cells (Yuan and Yue, 190 2012; Zhao *et al.*,  
191 2010) and can be used as an indicator of overall soil microbial activity (Salazar *et al.*, 2011). DHA  
192 responded to tillage and residue management treatments in a similar manner as SOC. DHA activity  
in soil

193 decreased with depth possibly due to the decrease in SOC, soil aeration and root biomass.

Consistent

194 with our study, reduced tillage or ZT increased DHA compared to CT and the values decreased with  
soil

195 depth (Madejon *et al.*, 2007; Tao *et al.*, 2009).

196 The FDA hydrolysis is a sensitive and nonspecific test to depict the hydrolytic activity of soil  
197 microorganisms and has been commonly accepted as a simple measure of total microbial activity  
(Adam

198 and Duncan, 2001). The large increase in FDA hydrolysis due to combined effect of ZTW and rice  
residue

199 could be attributed to organic matter enrichment in 0-5 cm soil layer. Earlier studies have showed a  
200 similar increase in FDA in ZT systems under different climatic conditions (Perez-Brandan *et al.*, 2012;  
201 Gajda *et al.*, 2013). The activity of APA is linked to the transformation of organic to inorganic P (Yang  
*et*

202 *al.*, 2008). Consistent with our results, several studies have reported higher APA activities under ZT  
than

203 CT (Tao *et al.*, 2009; Qin *et al.*, 2010). Higher PA in ZT with retaining rice straw may be due to  
organic

204 matter build up after 3 years of continuous ZT than under CT (Yadav and Tarafdar, 2004). The  
increase

205 in PA under ZTW+R is likely to increase the release of P from phytate present in soil.

206 Urease (UA) was significantly increased under ZTW+R in 0-5 cm soil layer demonstrating an  
207 improvement of soil quality. Mikanova *et al.*, (2009) observed that UA was significantly higher under

208 reduced tillage compared to the CT at soil depth of 0–10 cm. Similarly, Qin *et al.*, (2010) also observed

209 UA was significantly higher in ZT with maize residue as compared to CT without maize residue in 0-10 cm

210 soil layer. An increase in UA is generally accompanied by increase in SOC (Kheyrodinet *et al.*, 2012).

211 Activity of UA decreased with depth in all treatments which might be owing to a decrease in SOC.

212 Retention of rice residue in ZT caused significant increase in the contents of OC, Olsen-P,

213  $\text{NH}_4\text{OAc-K}$  and DTPA-micronutrients compared to CTW-R (Table 3). The increases in OC under ZT+R

214 have been observed in a range of soil and climatic conditions (Melero *et al.*, 2009; Yadvinder-Singh *et al.*,

215 2010b). The significant increases in the contents of Olsen-P,  $\text{NH}_4\text{OAc-K}$  and DTPA-micronutrients in 0-5

216 cm soil layers under ZTW+R compared to CTW-R were probably positive effects of rice residue retention

217 and lower rate of soil organic decomposition under ZT conditions (Salinas-Garcia *et al.*, 2002; Yadvinder-

218 Singh *et al.*, 2014). In addition, the increase in the availability of P in surface layer under ZTW+R

219 compared to CTW-R could also be due to lower P adsorption by soil and the release of organic P during

220 decomposition of rice residues (Qin *et al.*, 2010; Wang *et al.*, 2008). Being a rich source of K, rice straw

221 increased the availability K under ZT+R than the other treatments (Yadvinder Singh *et al.*, 2010a).

222 In our study, ZTW+R significantly increased the grain yield over ZTW-R and CTW-R. The

223 increase in grain yield of wheat under ZTW+R may be ascribed to the positive effects of soil temperature

224 modifications, soil moisture supply and improved soil fertility due to rice residue mulch (Yadvinder-Singh

225 and Sidhu, 2014). Consistent with our results, earlier studies have also reported higher yields of wheat

226 under ZT+R compared to CT-R (Sidhu *et al.*, 2007). When the entire rice residue was removed (-R),

227 of ZTW was significantly lower than CTW. In an earlier study, Arora *et al.*, (2010) reported that poor root

228 growth and lower N use efficiency was responsible for lower wheat yields in ZT-R compared to CT-R.

229 Significant correlations between different enzyme activities observed in our study (Table 4)

230 suggest a general relationship between soil microbiological properties. Retention of crop residues

231 improved the organic carbon status of soils, which in turn was reflected in the higher soil enzymatic

232 activities (Omidiet *et al.*, 2008; Gajda *et al.*, 2013). Qin *et al.*, (2010) found that UA and APA activities were

233 significantly correlated with available P content in soil. The relationship between all assayed soil enzyme

234 activities and chemical properties indicate that these enzymes play an important role in the cycling of

235 elements and initial phases of the decomposition of crop residues. This implies that continuous addition of

236 diversified carbon sources through rice residues as organic manuring enhanced the microbial biomass

237 carbon and abundance of different microbial communities of soil and perhaps the enzyme activities.

238

239 **4. CONCLUSION**

240 Our The study showed that zero tillage with rice residues as surface mulch (ZTW+R) markedly improved grain

241 yield of wheat over zero tillage without residue (ZTW-R) after three years of RW cropping sequence.

242 ZTW+R was more effective than ZTW/CTW for increasing the soil organic carbon, Olsen-P,  $\text{NH}_4\text{OAc-K}$

243 and DTPA-extractable micronutrients in soil surface 0-5 cm layer. A significant change in soil enzyme

244 activities occurred after 3 years of ZTW+R. All soil enzymes were positively correlated with each  
245 other  
246 and with soil chemical properties and grain yield. The increase in enzyme activities in soil may  
247 contribute

## 248 UNDER PEER REVIEW

6

249 to a long-term sustainability of RWS under semi-arid climate conditions of South Asia. 246 The beneficial  
250 effect of RCTs on soil quality was mainly confined to the soil surface layer.

248

## 249 REFERENCES

250

- 251 1. Adam G, Duncan H. Development of a sensitive and rapid method for the measurement of total  
252 microbial activity using fluorescein diacetate (FDA) in a range of soils. *Soil Biology and*  
253 *Biochemistry*. 2001;33:943–951.
- 254 2. Ames BN. Assay of inorganic phosphate, total phosphate and phosphatases. *Methods*  
255 *Enzymology*. 1966;8:115–118.
- 256 3. Arora VK, Sidhu S, Sandhu KS, Thind S S. Effects of tillage intensity, planting time and nitrogen  
257 rate on wheat yield following rice. *Experimental Agriculture*. 2010;46:267–275.
- 258 4. Bendick AK, Dick RP. Field management effects on soil enzyme activities. *Soil Biology and*  
259 *Biochemistry*. 1999;31:1471–1479.
- 260 5. Caravaca F, Roldan A. Effect of Eiseniafetida earthworms on mineralization kinetics, microbial  
261 biomass, enzyme activities, respiration and labile C fractions of three soils treated with a  
262 composted organic residue. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 2003;38: 45–51.
- 263 6. Dick RP. Soil enzyme activities as integrative indicators of soil health. In: Pankhurst C, Doube B,  
264 Gupta V (eds.) *Biological Indicators of Soil Health*, CAB International, Wallingford, UK, 1997;pp.  
265 121–156.
- 266 7. Douglas LA, Bremner JM. Extraction and colorimetric determination of urea in soils. *Soil Science*  
267 *Society of America Journal*. 1970;34:859–862.
- 268 8. Eivazi F, Bayan MR, Schmidt K. Select soil enzyme activities in the historic Sanborn field as  
269 affected by long-term cropping systems. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*.  
270 2003;3:2259–2275.
- 271 9. Elfstrand S, Bath B, Martersson A. Influence of various forms of green manure amendment on  
272 soil microbial community composition, enzyme activity and nutrient levels in leek. *Applied Soil*  
273 *Ecology*. 2007;36:70–82.
- 274 10. Gajda AM, Przewoka B, Gawryjoek K. Changes in soil quality associated with tillage system  
275 applied. *International Agrophysics*. 2013;27:133–141.
- 276 11. Garcia C, Hernandez MT, Albaladejo J, Castillo V, Roldan A. Revegetation in semiarid zones:  
277 influence of terracing and organic refuse on microbial activity. *Soil Science Society of America*  
278 *Journal*. 1998;62:670–676.
- 279 12. Gathala MK, Kumar V, Sharma PC, Saharawat YS, Jat HS, Singh M, Kumar A, Jat ML,  
280 Humphreys E, Sharma DK, Sharma S, Ladha JK. Optimizing intensive cereal-based cropping  
281 systems addressing current and future drivers of agricultural change in the Northwestern Indo-  
282 Gangetic Plains of India. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2013;177:85–97.
- 283 13. Gupta RK, Seth A. A review of resource conserving technologies for sustainable management of  
284 the rice-wheat cropping system of the Indo-Gangetic plains. *Crop Protection*. 2007;26:436–447.
- 285 14. International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) 2000 IRRISTAT for window (CD-ROM) version 4.02b.  
286 Los Banos, Philippines:IRRI.
- 287 15. Jackson ML. *Soil chemical analysis*. Prentice Hall of India, Private Limited, New Delhi. 1973;pp.  
288 38–56.
- 289 16. Jat ML, Gathala MK, Ladha JK, Saharawat YS, Jat AS, Kumar V, Sharma SK, Gupta R.  
290 Evaluation of precision land leveling and double zero-till systems in the rice-wheat rotation:  
291 Water use, productivity, profitability and soil physical properties. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2009;  
292 105:112–121.
- 293 17. Jiang HM, Jiang JP, Jia Y, Li FM, Xu JZ. Soil carbon pool and effects of soil fertility in seeded  
294 alfalfa fields on the semi-arid Loess Plateau China. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2006;38:2350–



295 2358.

296 18.Kandeler E, Mosier AR, Morgan JA, Milchunas DG, King JA, Rudolph S, Tscherko D. Response  
297 of soil microbial biomass and enzyme activities to the transient elevation of carbon dioxide in  
298 semi-arid grassland. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2006;38:2448–2460.

299 19.KhariaSushil Kumar, Thind HS, GoyalAvinash, Sharma Sandeep and Dhaliwal SS. Yield and  
300 Nutrient Uptakes in Wheat under Conservation Agriculture Based Rice-Wheat Cropping System

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

7

in Punjab, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 301 2017;6(2):  
302 1698-1708

303 20.Kheyrodin H, Ghazvinian K, Taherian M. Tillage and manure effect on soil microbial biomass and  
304 respiration, and on enzyme activities. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2012;11:14652–14659.

305 21.Klose S, Moore JM, Tabatabai MA. Arylsulfatase activity of microbial biomass in soils as affected  
306 by cropping systems. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 1999;29:46–54.

307 22. Lindsay WL, Norwell WA. Development of a DTPA test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper.

308 *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 1978;42:421-428.

309 23.Madejon E, Moreno F, Murillo JM, Pelegrin F. Soil biochemical response to long-term  
310 conservation tillage under semi-arid Mediterranean conditions. *Soil and Tillage Research*

311 2007;94:346–352.

312 24.Melero S, Lopez-Garrido R, Madejon E, Murillo JM, Vanderlinden K, Ordonez R, Moreno F.  
Long313

term effects of conservation tillage on organic fractions in two soils in South-West of Spain.

314 *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2009;133: 68–74.

315 25.Mikanova O, Javurek M, Simon T, Friedlova M, Vach M. The effect of tillage systems on some  
316 microbial characteristics. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2009;105:72–76.

317 26.Olsen S R, Cole C V, Waternabe F S, Dean L A. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorous in  
318 soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *USDA Cir* 939:19.

319 27.Omidi H, Tahmasebi Z, Torabi H, Miransari M. Soil enzymatic activities and available P and Zn as  
320 affected by tillage practices, canola (*Brassica napus* L.) cultivars and planting dates. *European*

321 *Journal of Soil Biology*. 2008;44:443–450.

322 28. Perez-Brandan C, Arzeno JL, Huidobro J, Grumberg B, Conforto C, Hilton S, Bending GD,

323 Meriles JM, Vargas-Gil S. Long-term effect of tillage systems on soil microbiological, chemical

324 and physical parameters and the incidence of charcoal rot by *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Tassi)

325 Goid in soybean. *Crop Protection*. 2012;40:73–82.

326 29. Qin S, He X, Hu C, Zhang Y, Dong W. Responses of soil chemical and microbial indicators to

327 conservational tillage versus traditional tillage in the North China Plain. *European Journal of Soil*

328 *Biology*. 2010;46:243–247.

329 30.Roldan A, Salinas-Garcia JR, Alguacil MM, Caravaca F. Soil sustainability indicators following

330 conservation tillage practices under subtropical maize and bean crops. *Soil and Tillage Research*.

331 2007;93: 273–282.

332 31. Salazar S, Sanchez L, Alvarez J, Valverde A, Galindo P, Igual J, Peix A, Santa-Regina I,

333 Correlation among soil enzyme activities under different forest system management practices.

334 *Ecological Engineering*. 2011;37:1123–1131.

335 32. Salinas-Garcia JR, Velazquez-Garcia JJ, Gallardo-Valdez M, Diaz-Mederos P, Caballero-

336 Hernandez F, Tapia-Vargas L M, Rosales-Robles E. Tillage effects on microbial biomass and

337 nutrient distribution in soils under rain-fed corn production in central-western Mexico. *Soil and*

338 *Tillage Research*. 2002;66:143–152.

339 33.Sidhu HS, Singh M, Humphreys E, Yadvinder Singh, Bijay Singh, Dhillon SS, Blackwell J, Bector

340 V, Singh M, Singh S. The Happy Seeder enables direct drilling of wheat into rice stubble.

341 *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*. 2007;47:844–854.

342 34.Sidhu HS, Singh M, Yadvinder Singh, Blackwell J, Lohan SK, Humphreys E, Jat ML, Singh V,

343 Singh S. Development and evaluation of the Turbo Happy Seeder for sowing wheat into heavy

344 rice residues in NW India. *Field Crops Research*. 2015;184:201–212.

345 35.Tabatabai M A. 1982. Soil Enzymes. In: A. L. Page, R. H. Miller, D. R. Keeney (eds.) *Methods of*

346 *Soil Analysis*. Part 2. *Agronomy 9*, American Society of Agronomy. Madison, USA, pp. 903–947.

347 36. Tabatabai MA, Bremner JM. Use of p-nitrophenyl phosphate for assay of soil .phosphatase  
 348 activity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 1969;1:301–307.  
 349 37. Tao J, Griffiths B, Zhang S, Chen X, Liu M, Hu F, Li H. Effects of earthworms on soil enzyme  
 350 activity in an organic residue amended rice–wheat rotation agro-ecosystem. *Applied Soil Ecology*.  
 351 2009;42:221–226.  
 352 38. Walkey A, Black CA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determination of soil organic  
 353 matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*.  
 354 1934;37:29–39.

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

8

39. Wang Q, Bai Y, Gao H, He J, Chen H, Chesney RC, Kuhn NJ, Li H. Soil chemical 355 properties and  
 356 microbial biomass after 16 years of no-tillage farming on the Loess Plateau, China. *Geoderma*.  
 357 2008;144:502–508.  
 358 40. Yadav B K, Tarafdar J C. Phytase activity in the rhizosphere of crops, trees and grasses under  
 359 arid environment. *Journal of Arid Environments*. 2004;58:285–293.  
 360 41. Yadvinder Singh, Gupta R K, Jagmohan S, Gurpreet S, Gobinder S, Ladha J K. Placement  
 361 effects on rice residue decomposition and nutrient dynamics on two soil types during wheat  
 362 cropping in rice–wheat system in northwestern India. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*.  
 363 2010a;88: 471–480.  
 364 42. Yadvinder Singh, Singh M, Sidhu HS, Khanna PK, Kapoor S, Jain AK, Singh AK, Sidhu GK, Avtar  
 365 S, Chaudhary DP, Minhas PS. 2010b. Options for effective utilization of crop residues.  
 366 Directorate of Research, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India. 32pp.  
 367 43. Yadvinder Singh, Kukal SS, Jat ML, Sidhu HS. Improving water productivity of wheat-based  
 368 cropping systems in South Asia for sustained productivity. *Advances in Agronomy*. 2014;127:  
 369 157–258.  
 370 44. Yadvinder Singh, Sidhu HS. Management of cereal crop residues for sustainable rice371  
 wheat production system in the Indo-Gangetic plains of India. *Proceedings of the Indian*  
 372 *National Science Academy*. 2014;80: 95–114.  
 373 45. Yang LJ, Li TL, Li FS, Lemcoff J H, Cohen S. Fertilization regulates soil enzyme activity and  
 374 fertility dynamics in a cucumber field. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2008;116:21–26.  
 375 46. Yang X, Chen C, Luo Q, Li L, Yu Q. Climate change effects on wheat yield and water use in oasis  
 376 cropland. *International Journal of Plant Production*. 2011;5:83–94.  
 377 47. Yuan B, Yue D. Soil microbial and enzymatic activities across a chronosequence of  
 378 chinese pine plantation development on the loess plateau of China. *Pedosphere*.  
 379 2012;22:1–12.  
 380 48. Zhao B, Chen J, Zhang J, Qin S. Soil microbial biomass and activity response to  
 381 repeated drying–rewetting cycles along a soil fertility gradient modified by long-term  
 382 fertilization management practices. *Geoderma*. 2010;160:218–222.

383

384

385 **Table 1. Description of experimental treatments (Kharia et al 2017)**

386

### **Rice (main plots) Wheat (sub-plots)**

T<sub>1</sub>. Zero till direct seeded rice (ZT-DSR). Residues of  
 previous wheat crop were removed. DSR was sown  
 using same drill as in treatment.

T<sub>2</sub>. Conventional direct seeded rice (CT-DSR).

Residues of previous wheat crop were removed.

Tillage for DSR included two passes of harrows and  
 two passes of Tyne plough, followed by planking, The  
 DSR was sown using seed cum fertilizer drill in 20 cm  
 apart.

1. Conventional till wheat after removal of  
 rice residue (CTW-R). Residues of

previous rice removed. Tillage operations for CTW included two passes of harrows and two passes of Tyne plough, followed by planking.

T<sub>3</sub>. ZT transplanted rice using mechanical trans planter (ZT-DTR). Residues of previous wheat crop were removed.

2. Zero till wheat after removal of rice residue (ZTW-R). Wheat was direct seeded in the no till plots using zero till drill.

T<sub>4</sub>. Conventional till puddled transplanted rice (PTR): Residues of preceding wheat crop removed. Puddling (wet tillage) was done twice in 6-8 cm of standing water using a tractor-mounted puddler followed by planking.

3. Zero till wheat + residues (ZTW+R) Residues of previous rice crop retained.

Wheat was directly seeded into residues using Turbo Happy Seeder (Sidhu *et al.*, 2015).\*

387 □ *Kamboj Machinery Manufacturers, Ramdas, Amritsar, Punjab*

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

9

**Table 2. Methods used for analysis of soil samples for different 388 soil parameters**

### **Soil properties Method used Reference**

Organic carbon Wet digestion method Walkley and Black (1934)

Olsen P 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-extractable Olsen *et al.*, (1954)

Available K 1M NH<sub>4</sub>OAc-extractable Jackson (1973)

DTPA- Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn

DTPA extractable Lindsay and Norwell (1971)

Dehydrogenase activity (DHA)

Triphenylformazan (TPF) produced by the reduction of 2, 3, 5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC).

Tabatabai (1982)

Fluorescein

di-acetate activity (FDA)

Fluorescein released by the hydrolysis of fluorescein di-acetate.

Adam and Duncan (2001)

Alkaline phosphatase activity (APA)

p-nitrophenyl method. Tabatabai and Bremner (1969)

Phytase activity (PA) Inorganic phosphate released by hydrolysis using sodium phytate

Ames (1966)

Urease activity (UA) 2M KCl- Phenyl mercuric acetate Douglas and Bremner

(1970)

389

390

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

10

**Table 3. Effect of rice establishment, tillage and rice straw management on 391 dehydrogenase ( $\mu\text{g}$  TPF  $\text{g}^{-1}$  24 hr $^{-1}$ 392 ) activities at various soil layers.**

393

**Treatments Soil layers (cm)**

**0-5 5-10 10-15**

**Rice establishment systems**

**DSR-ZT-DSR 303 177 77.4**

**DSR-CT-DSR 275 168 76.2**

**ZT-DTR 290 163 74.7**

**PTR 286 159 72.4**

**LSD(0.05) NS NSNS**

**Tillage and rice straw management practices**

**CTW-R 265 158 73.4**

**ZTW-R 291 167 73.8**

**ZTW+R 309 175 78.4**

**LSD (0.05) 25.8 NS NS**

394

395

396

397

398

**399 Table 4. Effect of rice establishment, tillage and rice straw management on flouresceindiacetate ( $\mu\text{g}$  fluorescein  $\text{g}^{-1}$  400 dry soil) activities at various soil layers**

401

**Treatments Soil layers (cm)**

**0-5 5-10 10-15**

**Rice establishment systems**

**DSR-ZT-DSR 3.32 2.68 2.45**

**DSR-CT-DSR 3.24 2.74 2.45**

**ZT-DTR 3.16 2.73 2.50**

**PTR 3.12 2.74 2.42**

**LSD(0.05) NS NSNS**

**Tillage and rice straw management practices**

**CTW-R 3.02 2.69 2.42**

**ZTW-R 3.15 2.72 2.41**

**ZTW+R 3.47 2.76 2.52**

**LSD (0.05) 0.120 NS NS**

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

11

**Table 5. Effect of rice establishment, tillage and rice straw management on alkaline 409 phosphatase ( $\mu\text{g}$  p-nitrophenol  $\text{g}^{-1}$  dry soil hr $^{-1}$ 410 ) activities at various soil layers**

411

Treatments Soil layers (cm)

0-5 5-10 10-15

Rice establishment systems

DSR-ZT-DSR 49.4 42.2 33.9

DSR-CT-DSR 42.5 39.0 34.3

ZT-DTR 43.8 39.1 30.5

PTR 41.4 34.7 28.7

LSD(0.05) NS NSNS

Tillage and rice straw management practices

CTW-R 41.4 37.6 31.2

ZTW-R 43.6 37.6 31.7

ZTW+R 47.8 41.0 32.7

LSD (0.05) 3.72 NS NS

412

413

414 Table 6. Effect of rice establishment, tillage and rice straw management on Phytase ( $\mu\text{g}$  inorganic

P released  $\text{g}^{-1}$  dry soil  $\text{hr}^{-1}$  415 ) activities at various soil layers

416

Treatments Soil layers (cm)

0-5 5-10 10-15

Rice establishment systems

DSR-ZT-DSR 0.341 0.273 0.238

DSR-CT-DSR 0.340 0.265 0.234

ZT-DTR 0.328 0.268 0.233

PTR 0.340 0.262 0.237

LSD(0.05) NS NSNS

Tillage and rice straw management practices

CTW-R 0.303 0.254 0.232

ZTW-R 0.310 0.269 0.232

ZTW+R 0.398 0.277 0.241

LSD (0.05) 0.031 NS NS

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

UNDER PEER REVIEW

12

Table 7. Effect of rice establishment, tillage and rice straw management on urease 428 ( $\mu\text{g}$  urea  $\text{g}^{-1}$  dry

soil  $\text{min}^{-1}$  429 ) activities at various soil layers

430

Treatments Soil layers (cm)

0-5 5-10 10-15

Rice establishment systems

DSR-ZT-DSR 2.60 2.13 1.68

DSR-CT-DSR 2.55 2.14 1.70

ZT-DTR 2.58 2.17 1.60

PTR 2.51 2.18 1.66

LSD(0.05) NS NSNS

**Tillage and rice straw management practices**

CTW-R 2.40 2.12 1.63  
ZTW-R 2.54 2.16 1.64  
ZTW+R 2.75 2.20 1.72  
LSD (0.05) 0.070 NS NS

431

432

**Table 8. Effect of rice establishment, tillage and rice straw management on OC (g kg<sup>-1</sup>) content at**

**434 various soil layers**

**Treatments Soil layers (cm)**

**0-5 5-10 10-15**

**Rice establishment systems**

DSR-ZT-DSR 5.88 4.65 3.37

DSR-CT-DSR 5.49 4.93 3.17

ZT-DTR 5.90 4.65 3.43

PTR 5.82 5.03 3.58

LSD(0.05) NS NSNS

**Tillage and rice straw management practices**

CTW-R 5.18 4.56 3.32

ZTW-R 5.79 4.86 3.43

ZTW+R 6.35 5.02 3.41

LSD (0.05) 0.30 0.36 NS

435

436

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

13

**Table 9. Effect of rice establishment, tillage and rice straw management on 437 Olsen P (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

**438 content at various soil layers**

**Treatments Soil layers (cm)**

**0-5 5-10 10-15**

**Rice establishment systems**

DSR-ZT-DSR 9.98 8.35 6.24

DSR-CT-DSR 9.69 7.14 4.72

ZT-DTR 10.1 8.32 5.66

PTR 9.13 7.02 5.72

LSD(0.05) NS NSNS

**Tillage and rice straw management practices**

CTW-R 8.90 6.82 5.23

ZTW-R 9.64 7.81 6.03

ZTW+R 10.7 8.49 5.50

LSD (0.05) 0.799 0.687 NS

439

440

**Table 10. Effect of rice establishment, tillage and rice straw management on available K (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

**442 content at various soil layers**

**443**

**Treatments Soil layers (cm)**

**0-5 5-10 10-15**

**Rice establishment systems**

DSR-ZT-DSR 44.8 38.1 34.8

DSR-CT-DSR 45.4 37.3 37.8

ZT-DTR 47.0 39.1 38.3

PTR 42.7 34.4 36.9

LSD(0.05) NS NSNS

**Tillage and rice straw management practices**

CTW-R 42.6 35.1 36.1  
ZTW-R 40.7 35.3 34.9  
ZTW+R 51.6 41.3 39.8  
LSD (0.05) 6.34 4.01 NS  
444  
445

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

14

Table 11. Effect of rice establishment, tillage and rice straw management on DTPA 446 micronutrients  
447 in 0-5 cm soil layer after three cycles of rice-wheat system.

448

### Treatments

DTPA- extractable micronutrients

(mg kg<sup>-1</sup>)

Zn Mn Fe Cu

Rice establishment systems

ZT-DSR 5.17 8.32 30.0 1.34

CT-DSR 4.95 8.20 28.4 1.29

ZT-DTR 4.87 8.12 29.9 1.23

PTR 4.77 8.30 29.4 1.38

LSD (p=0.05) NS NSNSNS

Tillage and rice straw management practices

CTW-R 4.85 8.19 28.0 1.25

ZTW-R 4.85 8.04 28.5 1.26

ZTW+R 5.14 8.47 31.8 1.43

LSD (p=0.05) 0.24 0.30 2.40 0.09

449

450 Table 12. Yield and yield attributing parameters of wheat as influenced by rice establishment

451 systems, tillage and rice straw management practices under rice-wheat system (Kharia et al 2017).

452

### Treatment

Grain yield

(Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Spike length

(cm)

Grains spike<sup>-1</sup> Grain weight

spike<sup>-1</sup>(gram)

1000 grain

weight (gram)

Rice establishment systems

ZT-DSR 4.84 10.5 53.5 2.08 38.0

CT-DSR 4.84 10.8 52.7 1.97 38.0

ZT-DTR 4.88 10.7 53.7 2.04 35.7

PTR 4.77 11.0 52.9 2.01 37.8

LSD (0.05) NS NSNSNSNS

Tillage and straw management practices

CTW-R 4.77 10.5 53.1 2.01 36.8

ZTW-R 4.47 10.9 52.1 1.90 35.8

ZTW+R 5.26 10.8 54.3 2.16 39.6

LSD (0.05) 0.19 0.30 1.10 0.08 2.20

453

454

455

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

15

**Table 13. Correlation between soil enzyme activities, grain yield of wheat, pH, organic carbon and Olsen-P in 0-5 cm 456 soil layer after**

**457 three cycles of rice-wheat cropping system**

**Properties DHA FDA APA PA UA GY pH OC Olsen-P**

**0-5 cm layer**

**DHA 1 0.826\*\* 0.843\*\* 0.742\*\* 0.896\*\* 0.488 -0.198 0.674\* 0.739\*\***

**FDA 1 0.787\*\* 0.886\*\* 0.910\*\* 0.690\*\* -0.232 0.608\* 0.824\*\***

**APA 1 0.624\* 0.775\*\* 0.500 -0.006 0.497 0.652\***

**PA 1 0.892\*\* 0.857\*\* -0.283 0.694\* 0.759\*\***

**UA 1 0.710\*\* -0.381 0.717\*\* 0.831\*\***

458

459

460

461 DHA-Dehydrogenase activity, FDA-Fluorescein di-acetate, APA-Alkaline phosphatase activity, PA-

Phytase activity, UA-Urease activity,

462 GY-Grain yield, OC-Organic carbon.

463

464 \*\* Significant at  $p < 0.01$ , \* Significant at  $p < 0.05$

UNDER PEER REVIEW

16

**Table 14. Correlation between soil enzyme activities and DTPA-extractable micronutrients (mg kg. 465**

**1) in 466 0-5 cm soil layer**

467

**Parameter Fe Mn Cu Zn**

**Dehydrogenase (DHA) 0.775\*\* 0.453 0.650\* 0.627\***

**Fluorescein di-acetate (FDA) 0.760\*\* 0.624\* 0.717\*\* 0.782\*\***

**Alkaline phosphatase activity (APA) 0.685\* 0.428 0.519 0.771\*\***

**Phytase activity (PA) 0.820\*\* 0.747\*\* 0.847\*\* 0.643\***

**Urease (UA) 0.857\*\* 0.505 0.681\* 0.657\***

468

469 \*\* Significant at  $p < 0.01$ , \* Significant at  $p < 0.05$

UNDER PEER REVIEW