#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international





### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

| Journal Name:            | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science                       |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJPSS_32722  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EVALUATION OF SEED QUALITY IN NATURALLY AGED SEED LOTS OF CORIANDER |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

| Compulsory                | Reviewer's comment  Line 74-78: Instead of repeating the procedure of germination test, it   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| REVISION comments         | can be just mentioned as "aged seeds will be subjected to germination test as mentioned earlier".  Line 80-92: From your result it is clear that weight of the seed lot declines with progress of storage of period. It means after one year, will a 1kg seed packet reduce to 800g seed packet? (You have mentioned almost 2g decline in test weight). it seems to be unacceptable.  Line 171-173: Already it is standardised and entered in Indian Minimum Seed Certification Standards that a certified seed has validity only for 9 months. Kindly state the uniqueness and novelty in your findings.  Finally, the results obtained were not discussed scientifically. They have just being compared with the previous works. It has to be discussed with relevant scientific papers. |   |
| Minor REVISION comments   | The whole manuscript contains many joined words, which needs to be corrected.  |   |
| Optional/General comments |  |   |

## **Reviewer Details:**

| Name:                            | S. Sathish   |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Department, University & Country | Department of Seed Science and Technology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India |