



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_31883
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on Mango (Mangifera indica) value chain in Dilla Zuriya District, Dilla Ethiopia
Type of Article:	Review paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The author (s) has done good works. However, they do not follow my comments in detail and their updates have not been done perfectly. For example, the methodology section in page 2 needs better explanations as I said previously:</p> <p><u>Research Method:</u> The methodology is very weak !! The type of methods should be better introduced in the research method section. The parts of this section need better introducing and explanation. What were the type of method; qualitative , secondary data, and sample or source frame? What are the number of documents you used? When did you collect the data? How did you manage to contact the officers; was the email the best way, how many officers and what was the respond percentage?!. It seems that the sample was two types but what are the criteria for each group and why we have your personal experience involved!!. It seems that the authors have missed a table on the classifications for the documents and information and also demographic analysis for the officer sample. It is not clear if the data collection was based on personal interviews or other ways.</p> <p>I suggest to put Value Chain Analysis (VCA) as a tool of analysis in the methodology and the steps you need to use for quantitative analysis or qualitative analysis. See, for example, FAO VCA or UNIDO VCA. Why you do not provide the main themes and their measurements in this section as a guideline for analysis in a table</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Luai Jraisat</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Faculty of Business & Finance, American University of Madaba (AUM), Jordan</i>