



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_29016
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Urine Sources on Some Soil Health indicators, Maize yield and Its Heavy Metals Uptake in Abakaliki, Southeastern Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	In abstract: Please give one/two sentences talking problem and rationale of your study as initial sentences. Please provide concluding sentence at the last.	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 8: 100 kgNh ⁻¹ or 100 kgNha ⁻¹ , Please make uniform standard indication of units. line 17: Copper and lead uptake by maize grains were 20, 80, 87% and 87, 47, 7% higher in control compared to human, cattle and goat urine sources. This is little bit confusing and messy information, please make it simpler because two data sources are separated with conjunction. Line 132: spelling error formular.....	
Optional/General comments	This is very interesting work.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Roshan Babu Ojha</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Nepal</i>