Effect of Urine Sources on Some Soil Health indicators, Maize yield and Its Heavy Metals Uptake in Abakaliki, Southeastern Nigeria

4 Abstract:

3

The need to find alternative to inorganic fertilizer which is costly and not easily 5 6 affordable to local farmers necessitates research in rare areas. Thus, this experiment was carried out at the Plant and Screen house to study effect of urine 7 sources on some soil health indicators, maize yield and its heavy metals uptake. 8 Completely Randomized Design was used in laying the experiment. 20kg of sieved 9 soil was treated with different urine sources replicated five times. The result 10 indicates that soil pH, total N and organic matter were respectively significantly 11 (P<0.05) higher in different urine sources than control. Human urine had 12 significantly (P<0.05) higher treatment effect on soil pH, percent total N and 13 organic matter compared to other sources of urine. Similarly, human urine was 9-14 10%, 15-27%, 10-47% and 6-5% higher in number of leaves, plant height, grain 15 yield and leaf area index when compared to those of cattle and goat urine sources. 16 Significantly (P<0.05) higher copper uptake by maize grains was obtained in 17 control relative to those of urine sources. Copper and lead uptake by maize grains 18 19 were respectively higher by 20, 80, 87% and 87, 47, 7% in control when compared to human, cattle and goat urine sources. Generally, heavy metals uptake by maize 20 grains is below recommended safe limits for toxicity. Urine from adult animals is 21 recommended as credible alternative for improvement of soil health status and 22 sustainable productivity. 23 **Key words:** effect, maize yield, heavy metals uptake, urine sources, soil health 24 indicators. 25 26 **INTRODUCTION** 27

28 Traditional agriculture relies heavily on mineral fertilizer NPK for crop production

in Nigeria and other developing countries (Nwite, 2015) and incidentally, use of

30 fertilizer is confronted with problems of unavailability, high cost and increase in

- 31 soil acidity. As a result, use of fertilizer is considered to be counterproductive and
- 32 there is need for its alternative source. This alternative source is urine since it
- 33 easily affordable as it could be accessed from livestock and man. It has been
- 34 reported (Adeoluwa and Sulaiman, 2002), that urine contains useful nutrients
- 35 which if carefully harnessed could sustain soil health status and increase its

productivity. Well preserved urine has good quality and could have the same effect
as inorganic fertilizer in optimizing soil fertility status of soil (Nwite, 2015).
Research shows that urine contains major nutrients including nitrogen, phosphorus,
potassium as well as calcium and magnesium which is dependent on age and feed
of the animals (Marino, 2008).

When there is no planned disposal of urine it naturally constitutes health hazard 41 42 due to its pungent odour which could be curtailed through its proper treatment and conversion in treating soil for higher productivity (Nwite, 2015). This offensive 43 odour is attributed to freshly accumulated urine at pH of 6.7 (Hoglung, 2001). 44 Researchers (Heinnonen Tanski and Van Wijk-Sibesma, 2005; Kichman and 45 Peterson, 1995; Steineck et al., 1999; Richert et al., 2002; Malkki and Heinnonen-46 Tanski, 1999) have shown that human urine source was successfully used as 47 fertilizer in crop production and raising flowers in Europe and other countries. 48 Confirmatory studies have been carried out using Barley and under crop and field 49 trials or even under home gardening (Richert et al., 2002). 50

51 With the wide spread scarcity of inorganic fertilizer and its associated problems in 52 food production, there is need for alternative source. If appropriate quantity of 53 urine is applied to the soil at right time, its nitrogen contents could have the same 54 value as that of inorganic fertilizer (Adeoluwa and Sulaiman, 2012). For instance, 55 100 kg N per hectare of urine improved Barley production between 90 – 110 days 56 of planting in Sweden (Richert *et al.*,2002).

57 Naturally, human being could not easily accept food crops produced with urine due 58 to suspicion of its health hazard status and safe for consumption. This however 59 could be overcome by treating urine for quality assurance and safe from health 60 hazards (Nwite, 2015). In Nigeria food crops that grow around urinals or where 61 urine is disposed are normally eaten by human beings and animals without any 62 complaints of health problems. The objective of this experiment was to study effect of urine sources on some soil health indicators, maize yield and its heavy metalsuptake under Abakaliki agroecological environment.

- 65
- 66

MATERIALS AND METHODS

67 Experimental site

The research was conducted in 2014 at Plant and Screen House of Teaching 68 Research Farm, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural 69 and Resources Management, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki. The area is located between 70 latitude (06° 4'N and 08° 65'E) in the South-Eastern zone of Nigeria. The area 71 experiences bimodal pattern of rainfall which is spread from April-July and 72 September-November of each year. There is a break in August normally referred 73 by residents as "August break". At the beginning of rainfall, it is torrential 74 and violent and is characterized by thunderstorm and lightning. The 75 minimum and maximum rainfalls are 1700 and 2000 mm with a mean of 1800 76 mm (ODNRI, 1989). The temperature during rainy season is usually low (27°C) 77 78 but increases to 31 °C in dry season. Relative humidity is 80% in rainy season which declines to 60% during the cold Harmattan periods and dry season of 79 the year (ODNRI, 1989) being characteristics of tropical climate. 80

81 The soil is derived from sedimentary deposits from cretaceous and tertiary periods. According to Federal Department of Agricultural Land Resources 82 83 (FDALR, 1985), Abakaliki agricultural zone lies within "Asu River" and is associated with Olive brown shale, fine grained sandstones and mudstone. It is 84 unconsolidated within 1m depth (Shale residuum) and belongs to the order 85 ultisol classified as *typic haplustult*. The area was grown of short vegetation 86 and medium to tall trees. There is also growth of native grasses, herbs and shrubs 87 88 with patches of ground.

Experimental Design and Treatment Application 90

91 The experimental design used in this study was Completely Randomized Design (CRD). Human urine of male adult was collected from 92 prison inmates while cattle and goat urine was sourced from Cattle and 93 Goats' market located at Gariki and Hossana, Abakaliki respectively. This 94 was to reduce the time needed to collect enough quantity of urine for the 95 study. Plastic containers of 5litres each were provided to both prison and 96 Animal attendance for the purpose of collection of urine. The animals used 97 were of matured age. The choice of these animals was based on ease of 98 99 accessibility since every farming family in the locality can afford to keep them. These animals too are omnivorous and have common feeding habit at adult age. 100 The urine was stored in air-tight plastic containers for 6 months before 101 application to ensure sanitation process. The urine treatments were based on 102 hectare equivalence of 20kg soil. 103 Human urine = $50,000 \text{ mgha}^{-1}$ equivalent to 100 mgkg^{-1} soil

104

Cattle urine = 50000 mgha^{-1} equivalent to 100 mgkg⁻¹ soil 105

Goat urine = 50000 mgha⁻¹ equivalent to 100 mgkg⁻¹ soil 106

Control = 0 mgha⁻¹ equivalent to 0 mgkg⁻¹ soil 107

The urine rates were applied to 20kg of soil weighed into perforated 108 polybags two weeks after germination of maize seeds. These treatments were 109 110 replicated six times to give a total of twenty four experimental polybags in the experiment. The polybags were watered to field capacity as often as moisture is 111 required. The polybags were separated by 0.5m spaces while replicates were set 112 1m apart. 113

Planting of maize 114

Maize variety (Oba super II hybrid) (Zea mays L.) collected from 115 Ebonyi State Agricultural Development Programme (EBADEP), Onu Ebonyi 116

Izzi, Abakaliki was used as a test crop. The maize seeds were planted at two seeds per hole and at 5 cm depth in each pot. Two weeks after germination (WAG), thinning was carried out to allow one plant per stand. Weeds were removed by handpicking at regular intervals till harvest.

121 Agronomic parameters

A total of ten tagged maize plants were used for study. When the husks were dried, the cobs were harvested, dehusked, shelled and grain yield adjusted to 14% moisture content. Plant height was measured with metric ruler from the base of plant to tallest plant leaf at tasseling. Leaf area index (LAI) was determined by the formula according to Nwite *et al.* (2014).

127 128 LAI = Leaf area (m^2) (1) Ground cover (m^2)

129 Soil Sampling

Auger sampler was used to collect soil samples at 0-20 cm depth from site where soil used for experiment was collected. The samples were bulked and used for routine laboratory analysis. Samples were further collected from each polybag for some post-harvest chemical properties determination.

134

135 Laboratory methods

136 The samples were dried, ground and passed through 2 mm sieve and used to determine soil properties. Particle size distribution of the experimental soil 137 was determined using the Bouyoucous method as outlined in Gee and Or 138 (2002) procedure. Soil pH determination was carried out in soil/water solution 139 ratio of 1:2.5. The pH values were read off using pH meter with glass 140 electrode (Peech, 1965). Total nitrogen was determined using Micro-kjeldahl 141 procedure (Bremner, 1996). Available phosphorus determination was done 142 using Bray-2 method as outlined in Page et al. (1982). Organic matter was 143

determined by Walkley and Black (1934) digestion method. Exchangeable bases of calcium (Ca), Magnesium (Mg), Potassium (K), and Sodium (Na) were extracted using ammonium acetate (NH₄OAC) extraction method. Potassium and sodium were determined using flame photometer. The compositions of urine were determined by Atomic Absorption spectrophotometer as well as crop uptake copper (Cu) lead (Pb) using Dewis and Freitas (1976) procedure.

150

151 Data analysis

Data collected from the experiment were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Means were separated using Fishers' Least Significant Difference (FLSD) as outlined in Steel and Torrie (1980). Significance was reported at 5% probability level.

156

158

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

159 **Composition of Urine**

sources

Table 1 shows some major nutrients and heavy metals composition of urine 160 source. There were variations in values of nutrients and heavy metals in urine 161 source. Nevertheless, human urine have highest values of nutrients when 162 compared to livestock sources although, comparable. Cattle and goat urine 163 contained 0.10 mgkg⁻¹ each of copper (Cu) and lead (Pb) but was not found in 164 human urine. The comparable composition of elemental concentrations in animal 165 urine could be attributed to their adult age, omnivorous nature as well as 166 167 similarity in their dietary needs.

168

Table 1. Compositions of some major nutrients and heavy metals in urine

170

171	Parameter	Human urine	Cattle urine	Goat urine
172	pH <mark>kcl</mark>	9.1	9.0	8.9
173	Ammonia <mark>mgkg⁻¹</mark>	0.01	0.01	0.01
174	Nitrogen <mark>%</mark>	4.54	4.52	4.51
175	Phosphorus <mark>mgkg</mark>	0.04	0.03	0.02
176	Potassium <mark>cmol/k</mark>	g ⁻¹ 0.05	0.03	0.03
177	Sodium <mark>cmol/kg⁻¹</mark>	0.29	0.28	0.28
178	Copper mgkg ⁻¹	-	0.10	-
179	Lead mgkg ⁻¹	-	-	0.10

181 **Properties of Soil before initiation of study**

Table 2 shows physicochemical properties of soil before initiation of study. 182 Sand fraction was dominant in the particle size distribution. The textural 183 class was sandy loam. The pH was 5.0 which indicate strongly acidic soil 184 (Landon, 1991). Nitrogen was 0.13% and according to Enwezor et al. (1981) 185 is very low and organic matter which had 2.2% value was moderate using 186 Benchmark of FMAWRD (2002) for Tropical soils. Phosphorus (20.40 187 mgkg⁻¹) was high (Enwezor et al., 1989). Exchangeable calcium was of 188 medium value but magnesium, potassium and sodium were very low (Asadu 189 190 and Nweke (1999). Cation exchange capacity recorded very low values (Asadu and Nweke, 1999). It implies that the soil was of low fertility status 191 as obtained in Abakaliki areas for soils used for maize production as well as 192 193 other crops.

195	Soil properties		Values
196	Sand (gkg ⁻¹)		750
197	Silt (gkg ⁻¹)		140
198	Clay (gkg ⁻¹)		110
199 200	Texture class pH <mark>kcl</mark>	5.0	Sandy Loam
201 202	Total Nitrogen (%) Organic matter (%)		0.13 2.2
203	Available phosphorus (mgkg ⁻¹)		20.40
204	Calcium (cmol kg ⁻¹)		3.10
205	Magnesium (cmol kg ⁻¹)		0.92
206	Potassium (cmol kg ⁻¹)		0.17
207	Sodium (cmol kg ⁻¹)		0.10
208	Cation exchange capacity (cmol kg ⁻¹)		7.50

194 **Table 2.** Properties of soil before initiation of study

209 Effect of Urine Sources on Some Soil Health Indicators

210 Effect of urine sources on some soil health indicators is shown in Table 3. Soil health indicators are used in this study to indicate parameters that are 211 determinant of soil fertility status. Urine sources had significantly (P<0.05) 212 213 higher treatment effect on pH when compared with the control. Human source of urine had significantly (P<0.05) higher pH than those of cattle and goat urine 214 215 sources, respectively. On the other hand, human urine was 5 and 6% higher in 216 pH than the urine from cattle and goat. Similarly, significantly (P<0.05) higher treatment effect was obtained in percent total nitrogen in human and cattle 217 218 sources of urine relative to control. Furthermore, human urine showed significantly (P<0.05) higher treatment effect on percent total nitrogen 219 220 compared to those of cattle and goat sources of urine. Available phosphorus obtained in different urine sources slightly varied from that of the control. The 221 available phosphorus of human source of urine was 14% higher than control and 222 generally marginally higher than those of cattle and goat sources of urine. There 223 was significantly (P<0.05) higher treatment effect of urine sources on percent 224 organic matter relative to control. Urine obtained from human and goat was 225 significantly (P<0.05) higher in percent organic matter than the one from cattle. 226 This represents 21 and 14% increments in percent organic matter in human and 227 goat sources of urine compared to that of cattle source. 228

The significant increments of pH, percent nitrogen, organic matter and improvement of available phosphorus show that these soil health indicators were released into the soil by urine sources. This finding indicates that urine could substitute mineral inorganic fertilizer as it could be used as fertilizer to supply essential and major nutrients to soil on one land and on the other improve soil health status. These findings are in line with the report of Adeoluwa and Sulaiman (2012) that urine used as fertilizer improved soil health

status. Several researchers (Gutser et al., 2005; Schonning, 2001; Adeoluwa and 236 237 Cofie, 2012) reported positive impact of urine on nitrogen which increased and sustained soil fertility. Higher significant positive effect of human urine source 238 on soil health indicators suggests that it could be more superior than other urine 239 240 sources in improving soil health indicators (Nwite, 2015). This finding had earlier been reported by Benge, (2006) and Adeoluwa and Sulaiman (2012). 241 242 This by extension suggests that indeed, urine sources and particularly human urine could serve as useful alternative fertilizer for crop production. 243

The positive impacts of the urine fertilizer on soil health indicators indicate an improvement on the soil health status. The human urine source improved the soil pH keeping it within a safe range of 5.6-6.0, nitrogen and organic matter at significant levels than other sources. Peverly and Gates (1973) stated that organic fertilizers perform better with some crops. This is further supported by Adeoluwa and Cofie (2012) findings that urine fertilizer improved some health indicators.

251

252	Table 3. Effect of urine sources on some soil health indicators
-----	--

253	Treatment	pH H ₂ O	Total N%	Pmgkg ⁻¹	OM%
254	Control	<mark>5.1d</mark>	<mark>0.10b</mark>	25.60	1.05d
255	Human urine	<mark>6.0a</mark>	<mark>0.14a</mark>	29.65	<mark>1.76a</mark>
256	Cattle urine	<mark>5.7</mark> b	<mark>0.12b</mark>	28.24	<mark>1.40a</mark>
257	Goat urine	<mark>5.6c</mark>	<mark>0.11b</mark>	28.30	<mark>1.62b</mark>
258	FLSD(0.05)	0.1	0.02	NS	0.05

P – Available phosphorus, OM(%) – Percent organic matter, N(%)-Percent
 Total nitrogen. Treatment means with different letters indicate significant
 differences.

262

264 Effect of Urine Sources on Agronomic Yield of Maize

Table 4 shows effect of urine sources on agronomic yield of maize. Urine 265 sources had higher number of leaves, plant height, taller maize plants and larger 266 leaf area when compared with the control, respectively. Human urine source 267 was 9-10%, 15%-27%, 10-47% and 6-5% higher in these maize agronomic yield 268 than those of cattle and goat urine sources. The effect of urine sources not 269 270 having any significant effect on agronomic parameters of maize could be linked to inherent capability of the maize plant (Benge, 2006). Results in Table 1 did 271 not show much variation on nutrients compositions and this could have 272 influenced maize agronomic parameters. The generally higher agronomic yield 273 274 of maize in urine sources relative to control could be attributed to improved soil health status by urine treatment. This by implication underscores the usefulness 275 of urine as credible of alternative to inorganic fertilizer for sustenance of soil 276 fertility status and in increased maize production that is safe for human 277 consumption. Human urine increased agronomic yield of maize due to its high 278 279 nutrients (Table 1) and its ability to release same into the soil. Benge (2006) and Adeoluwa and Sulaiman (2012) pointed out that human urine increased soil 280 281 fertility and Jathropha production.

202	Table 4. Effect of unite sources on agronomic yield of maize				
283	Treatment	No of leaf	Plant height (cm)	Grain yield (g/pot)	LAI
284	Control	11.2	58.12	2.0	0.60
285	Human urine	13.4	85.08	4.2	0.66
286	Cattle urine	12.2	72.14	3.8	0.62
287	Goat urine	12.0	61.98	2.2	0.63
288	FLSD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS
289	LAI – Leaf are	a index.			
290					
291					
292					

282 **Table 4.** Effect of urine sources on agronomic yield of maize

293 Effect of Urine Sources on Heavy Metals Uptake by Maize Grains

Effect of urine sources on heavy metals uptake by maize grains is shown in Table 5. The result showed significantly (P<0.05) higher effect of copper uptake by maize grains in control compared to urine sources of human, cattle and goat. This accounted for 20, 80 and 37% increments of copper uptake in maize grains in control respectively when compared to human, cattle and goat sources of urine. Lead uptake by maize grains was 87, 47 and 7% higher in control relative to human, cattle and goat sources of urine.

The significantly higher copper uptake by maize grains grown in control plot 301 302 compared to those grown in urine sources treated plots could be attributed to inputs from soil rather than urine fertilizer. Analysis of urine sources indicated 303 304 very low presence of copper and lead (Table 1). The same trend of higher Cu uptake by maize grains was shown by control in Pb uptake by maize grains 305 compared to those obtained under urine sources treatment. These findings show 306 307 that urine could be used as fertilizer for crop production without placing man at 308 a risk of ecotoxicity of heavy metals. This finding could be attributed to improved health status of soil (Table 3) and low presence of heavy metals in 309 urine sources. The likelihood of heavy metals to build up in soil amended with 310 311 urine fertilizer appears to be higher in soils treated with goat and cattle urine than human urine. Adewole *et al.* (2008) reported heavy metal uptake by crops 312 313 in their work and noted that these heavy metals were stored in crop parts. 314 Anikwe and Nwobodo (2002) and corroborated by Asadu et al. (2008) in their findings observed that human beings were at risk of heavy metals toxicity if 315 they could utilize crops grown around areas contaminated with heavy metals 316 due to eco-toxicity. This could be possible through recycling of heavy metals 317 318 through food chain. Heavy metal of lead has the capacity to cause brain, renal or reproductive disorders in human beings. The heavy metals of copper and lead 319

are below 0.0-2.0 and 0.01 rated as medium to low (LASEPA, 2005) values and
far below 0.0-5.0 (WHO, 1996) or 2-1500 and 2-300 recommended as normal
by Alloway (1990). However, the interesting result is that heavy metal uptake
by maize grains could not be linked to urine treatment of soil.

- 324
- 325

326	Table 5. Effect of urine sources on heavy metals uptake by maize grains			
327	Treatment	\longrightarrow mgkg ⁻¹ \longleftarrow		
328		Cu	Pb	
329	Control	0.30a	0.30	
330	Human urine	<mark>0.04a</mark>	0.04	
331	Cattle urine	<mark>0.24b</mark>	0.16	
332	Goat urine	<mark>0.06c</mark>	0.28	
333	FLSD (0.05)	0.05	NS	

334 Cu – Copper, Pb – Lead, Treatment means with different letters indicate
 335 significant differences from each other.

336 Conclusion

This study has shown that urine sources could improve soil health status 337 and serve as useful alternative fertilizer for maize crop production. Urine 338 sources significantly improved soil health indicators. Agronomic parameters 339 340 responded positively to improved soil health status and performed better in 341 urine sources than control. Perhaps, the greatest beneficial aspect of use of urine 342 as fertilizer is low input of heavy metals which keep them below safe limits and 343 without any danger of eco-toxicity. In view of its superior performance over other urine sources, human urine could be harvested for treatment of soil for 344 345 higher productivity rather than be allowed to be wasted through improper disposal. 346

References

Adeluwa, O. O. and Cofie, O. (2012). Urine as an alternative fertilizer in
Agriculture: *In:*Effects on Amaranths (*Amaranthus caudatus*) Production.
Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems 8:1. doi:
10.1017/51742170511000512.

Adeluwa, O. O. and Suleiman, O. N. (2012). Effect of human urine on the growth
 performances of *Jathropha curcas* seedlings and some soil health indices.
 Nigerian Journal of Soil Science 22 (2):186-193.

Adewole, M.B. Adeoye, G.O. and Sridhar, M.K.C. (2008). Effect of inorganic
 and organo mineral fertilizers on the uptake of selected heavy metals by
 Helianthus annus L and *Tithornia diversifolia* (Hems h) under green house
 condition. Journal of Toxicological and Environmental. Chemistry 91(5):
 970-980.

- Alloway, B. J. (1990). Heavy metals on soils. New York: John Wiley and Sons,
 Inc 280p.
- Anikwe, M.A.N. and Nwobodo, K. C. A. (2002). Long-term effect of municipal
 wastes disposal on soil properties and productivity in sites used for urban
 agriculture in Abakaliki, Nigeria. Bio-resources Technology 83:24-50.

Asadu, C. L. A. and Nweke, F. I. (1999). Soils of arable crop fields in sub-Sahara
Africa: Focus on cassava growing areas, collaborative study of cassava in
Africa. Working Paper No. 18. Resources and Crop -Management Division,
IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria. 1782p.

- Benge, M. (2006). Assessment of the potential of *Jathropha curcas*, (*biodiesil*) for
 the energy production and other uses in developing countries. Posted on EC
 Ho's website with permission of the author. July 2006 and updated August
 2006. 2: 22. htp./www.ascension-. publishing.com/B/Z/Jathropha. Pdf
 download on January 9, 2012.
- Bremner, J. M. (1996). Nitrogen-Total. *In*: Sparks, D. L. (ed). Methods of Soil
 Analysis. Chemical Methods. American Society of Agronomy 5(3):10851121.
- Dewis, J. and Freitas, F. (1976). Physical and Chemical Methods of Soil and Water
 Analysis. Soil Bulletin 18, FAO, UN, Rome.

- Enwezor, W. O., Udo, E. J., Usoroh, N. J. Ayoade, K. A., Adepetu, J. A., Chude,
 V. O. and Udegbe, C. J. (1989). Fertilizer Use and Management Practices for
 Crops in Nigeria, Series No 2. Fertilizer Procurement and Distribution
 Division, Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Water Resources and Rural
 Development, Lagos, Nigeria.
- Enwezor, W.O., Udo, F. J. and Sobulo, R.A. (1981). Fertility status and
 productivity of acid sands: *In*: Acid sands of Southeastern Nigeria. Soil
 Science Society of Nigeria. 1: 56-73.
- Federal Department of Agricultural Land Resources (FDALR) (1985).
 Reconnaissance Soil Survey of Anambra State, Nigeria. Soil Report, Kaduna.
 390 3p.
- Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Rural Development (FMAWRD)
 (2002). Fertilizer Use and Management Practice for Crops in Nigeria.
 Produced by the Federal Fertilizer Department. *In*: Aduayi, E. A., Chude, V.
 O. Adebusuyi, B. A. and Olayiwola, S. O. (eds), Abuja 2002. 188p.
- Gee, G. W. and Or, D. (2002). Particle Size Analysis. *In*: Dane. J. H. and Topp, G.
 C. (eds). Methods of Soil Analysis. Physical Methods. Soil Science Society
 America. 5(4):255-293.
- Gutser, R., Ebertseder, T., Weber, A., Schrami, M. and Schmmidhlter, U. (2005).
 Short-term and residual availability of nitrogen after long-time application of
 organic fertilizers on arable land. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science
 168:439-446.
- Heinnonen-Tanski, and Van Wijk-Sibesma, C. (2005). Human excreta for plant
 production. Bioresource Technology 96:403-411.
- Hoglung, C. (2001). Evaluation of Microbial Health Risks Associated with the
 Reuse of Source-Separated Human urine. Ph.D Thesis, Department of
 Biotechnology, Applied Microbiology, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH)
 Stolkolm Sweden. 62-64.
- Kirchmann, H. and Peterson, S. (1995). Human urine-chemical composition
 and fertilizer use efficiency. Fertilizer Resources 40:149-154.
- 410 Lagos State Environmental Protection Agency (LASEPA, 2005). Lagos State
 411 Environmental Reports 3: 28-33.

- Landon, J. R. (eds) (1991). Booker, Tropical Soil Manual. A hand book for
 Soil Survey and Agricultural Land Evaluation in Tropics and Subtropics
 New York, USA, John Wiley and Sons: Inc. Third Avenue.
- Malkki, S. and Heinonen-Tanski, (1999). Composition of toilets in permanent
 houses. *In*: 1 Use of municipal organic wastes. Proceedings of Nigerian
 Journal of Forestry Seminar 292 DIAS-report. 13: 147-154.
- 418 Marino, C. (2008). Urine composition depends on certain factors. Journal of
 419 Soil Science Society of America. 73:159-219.
- 420 Nwite, J.N. (2015). Effect of urine source on soil chemical properties and maize
 421 yield in Abakaliki, South-Eastern Nigeria. International Journal of Advance
 422 Agricultural Research 3:31-36.
- 423 Nwite, J. N. (2013). Evaluation of the productivity of a spent Automobile oil
 424 contaminated soil amended with organic wastes in Abakaliki, Southeastern
 425 Nigeria. Ph.D Thesis, University of Nigeria, Nsukka 130p.
- Nwite, J. N., Okolo, C. C., Ezeaku, P. I. and Enyioko, C. (2014). Effect of
 Integrated Nutrient Management on Soil Chemical properties and maize
 yield on a sandy loam in Abakaliki, Nigeria. International Journal of
 Agriculture and Biosciences 3(6):278-282.
- 430 Overseas Development of Natural Resources Institute (ODNR) (1989). Nigeria
 431 Profile of Agricultural Potential ODA, United Kingdom. 3p.
- 432 Page, A. L., Miller, R. H. and Keeney, D. R. (1982). Methods of Soil
 433 Analysis. American Society of Agronomy 9:539-579.
- 434 Peech, M. (1965). Hydrogen activity. Methods of Soil Analysis. *In*: Black CA
 435 (ed). American Society of Agronomy 9(1):914-926.
- Perverly, J.H. and Gates, P.B. (1993). Utilization of municipal solid waste and
 sludge compost in crop production systems. *In*: Sewage sludge, Land
 utilization and the Environment. Proceedings of a conference by American
 Society of Agronomy.
- Richert Stintizing, A., Rodhe, L., Akerhieln, H. and Stenieck, S. (2002).
 Human urine as a fertilizer and plant nutrients application technique and environmental effects. *In*: Venglosk, J., Greserova, G. (eds). Proceedings

443 of 10 International Conference Ramiran 2002 Network. FAO European
444 System of Cooperative Research Network 161-162 Pp.

Schonning, C. (2001). Urine diversion-hygienic risks and microbial guidelines
for reuse. Department of Parasitology, Mycology and Environmental
Microbiology. Swedish Institute for Infections.

- 448 Steel, G. D. and Torrie J. H. (1980). Procedures of statistics. A biometrical
 449 approach, 2nd ed. New York, McGraw Hill, Book Company 63p.
- 450 Steineck, S., Richert Stintzing, A., Rodhe, L., Elinquist, H. and Jakobssom,
 451 M. C. (1999). Plant nutrients in human and food refuse. *In*: Proceedings
 452 of Nigerian Journal of Forestry Seminar 292. DIAS report. 13:125-130.
- Walkey, A. and Black, A. (1934). An examination of the Degtjareff method for
 determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chronic
 acid titration method. Soil Science 37:29-38.
- World Health Organization (WHO, 1996). Guideline for drinking quality water.
 Switzerland 1(2&3):50-57.