



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_32460
Title of the Manuscript:	Responses of physiological indices of forage sorghum under different plant populations in various nitrogen fertilizer treatments
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>In this manuscript the authors have studied a number of physiological indices of forage sorghum plants grown under different plant densities and different levels of nitrogen supply. This study is very interesting but there are a number of weaknesses and shortcomings which need to be addressed.</p> <p>First of all, it is not clear which were the various treatments. Authors refer to four different plant densities and to four different levels of nitrogen, but it is not clear if they have applied these four different levels of nitrogen to each one of the four different plant densities. And if this is the case, the values depicted in Figure 1 for every density treatments are the means of the four different levels of nitrogen? Again, if this is the case, I don't think it is the appropriate way to present and analyse their results. In any case, the authors have to clarify in details the experimental setup as well as the different treatments.</p> <p>Page 2, Materials and methods: how did the authors perform the statistical analysis?</p> <p>Page 3, equations: the authors need to describe in details the variables of each equation and how did they determine each one of them.</p> <p>Figures: authors need to include in each legend the description of the different treatments (what is the d1, d2, etc.). Moreover, mean values and SDs or SEs, as</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	well as statistical significant differences are necessary in every figure.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Styliani N. Chorianopoulou</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Crop Science Department, Agricultural University of Athens, Greece</i>