



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_35891
Title of the Manuscript:	The historical interpretation of the interactions between plants-herbivores: an methodological exercise with the genus Piper
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Materials and methods are not clear for a paper and they are no wrote as materials and methods. Hypothesis of the research must be write in the introduction section. Statistical analysis it is not explain. Poor results and discussion, in the last one only one reference and discussion is precisely to compare results with others researchers.	Thank you very much for your observations which clearly improve the manuscript. We integrate most of them. The methodology was clarified and the hypotheses moved at the end of the introduction. In addition we include the explanation of the statistical analyses. We added the references in the discussion and contracted against other works. Thank you.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		