



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_34975
Title of the Manuscript:	VARIATION OF SOIL NUTRIENTS WITH DIVERSE HILL SOILS: A CASE STUDY OF CHITTAGONG HILL TRACT, BANGLADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript lacks of scientific rigor to be considered acceptable to a magazine devoted to disseminating new findings based on the scientific method. The authors express their results on the basis of chemical analyses in several soil samples, but do not develop a hypothesis. The authors also did not develop a statistical design with different experimental treatments to test the hypothesis, and there is also no discussion of the results. I believe that the article has valuable information that can be published in magazines of technical divulgation, which will certainly be very useful for professionals and farmers in the area studied.	Dear sir, thanks for your review. I added a nutrients trend for professionals & farmers for better understanding. Finally, Modify my results and discussion.