



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_34493
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Rhizosphere effects of Melocannabaccifera on soil microbial properties under different fallow phase following shifting cultivation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Why in introduction authors discussed about the species of semi arid condition. it's better to the discuss about the RS of Tropical Semi Evergreen, Tropical Moist Deciduous, Subtropical Broadleaved Hill and Subtropical Pine Forests, as they are main forest types of Mizoram.</li> <li>2) In discussion part, use this reference too: Zhihua Tu, Lihua Chen, Xinxiao Yu &amp; Yushan Zheng. 2014. Rhizosphere soil enzymatic and microbial activities in bamboo forests in southeastern China. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition Volume 60, 2014 - Issue 2</li> </ol>	<p>Thank you for your comments. This has been taken care in the ms as per suggestion.</p> <p>This reference has been included in the ms as per suggestion.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) In title correct Melocanna baccifera; instead of Melocannabaccifera and on many places there are many typing errors, which need to be thoroughly checked by editor.</li> <li>2) Line number 16, page no. 1 On 16 contrary, magnitude of rhizosphere effect of M. baccifera was greater short fallow phase 17 compared to longer fallow for all microbial properties except in APA and GSA.?? can't understand</li> <li>3) Stipa tenacissima and Retama sphaerocarpa instead of Stipatenacissima and Retamasphaerocarpa species.</li> </ol>	<p>Changes were made in the ms.</p> <p>This line has been changed in the ms.</p> <p>Changes were made in the ms.</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Reference style should be as per the journal guidelines.</li> </ol>	Changes were made in the ms as per the journal guidelines.