



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_34399
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND POTASSIUM ON AGRONOMIC PARAMETERS, YIELD AND UPTAKE IN MAIZE: A GREEN HOUSE EXPERIMENT
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	- Necessary to edit the text; author(s) should be focus highly on the grammar, and sentence construction	Text has been edited, grammar and sentence construction has been improved.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Overall, the contribution of the paper is fairly good, however, it requires rewriting for all the sections.</p> <p>The highlighted figures, words, and sentences should be corrected.</p> <p>Lines 270-273: Did the study consider the action of plant growth hormones and soil microorganism responsible for the solubilisation of phosphates?</p> <p>Conclusion doesn't reflect the objective of the study; it needs to be beefed up.</p>	<p>All sections have been rewritten.</p> <p>Highlighted figures, words, and sentences have been corrected.</p> <p>No</p> <p>I have written the conclusion such that it addresses the study objectives.</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		